

Falsifications in the books of Ellen White

Goran Šušljć



Are the claims that today's Church beliefs are a result of an "advance" in "truth" correct?

- "One thing it is certain is soon to be realised,—the **great apostasy**, which is developing and **increasing and waxing stronger, and will continue to do so until the Lord shall descend** from heaven with a shout." {Ellen White: Testimonies, Series B, No.7, S. 57 & NYI February 7, 1906, par. 1}

Fallen theologians needed the strongest arguments for their apostasy, and as the "main evidence" for the Trinity they are using few quotes "from" Ellen White, such as the "three heavenly dignitaries", the "heavenly trio" or the "three living persons" quotes. To perfectly falsify an original text, you have to imitate the original font perfectly, otherwise it would not be a real falsification!

Think about the statement made by ROGER MORNEAU, who was a Satanist and came back to the true God. He wrote about how demons had themselves typed texts on the typewriters. The fallen angels are also appearing as perfect imitations of dead people. Is it possible to detect falsifications of the handwriting from their hands? Certainly not, because they can do perfect imitations. For this reason, the best answer to the question about if something is a falsification or not, is to check if the text is consistent with the majority of the remaining quotes, or if it is 180 degrees opposite. We are at war with supernatural Beings and not just with humans from the other side!

Ellen White herself wrote in **1909** that God the Father and His Son Jesus are the only two Divine Beings:

- "**God and Christ alone know** what the souls of men have cost" {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, 1909, par. 8}

A true prophet never contradicts him/herself. Further development of truth does not mean going on the opposite direction, which is what happened with the "truth" of the Trinity, but only a deepening of an already revealed truth! We see an example of an "addition" below:

Originals:

- "**Jesus** answered and said unto him, If a man loves **Me**, he will keep **My** words: and **My Father** will love him, and **We will come unto him**, and make our abode with him." {John 14:23}
- "He that hateth **Me** hateth **My Father** also." {John 15:23}

Falsifications:

- "If a man love **Me**, he will keep my words; and **My Father** will love him, and **We will come** unto him, and make our abode with him." (John 15:23) **We, i.e., the Father, Son, and the HOLY GHOST**, [will come] and make our abode in him." {Ellen White: 8MR, 408.2}

Here was deliberately written chapter 15 instead of chapter 14 (Gospel of John) to better hide the falsification. Why deliberately? Although this verse only speaks of the Father and Jesus, the falsification of the text also includes the Holy Spirit (i.e. the Trinity). How can we recognize that something is fake? When a small number of texts contradicts the larger texts. Why does the Trinity teaching come hand in hand with the worship of the Holy Spirit? Because we are right before the Second coming of Jesus, and Satan wants to emphasise his false divinity. The only basis for ecumenism is the confession of the Triune God. Accepting the Trinity is the only requirement to join the world ecumenical organisations!

In the live broadcast (in year 1985) by John Akenberg and Walter Martin, (author of the book 'Are Adventists a Sect?'), a **journalist from Evangelical circles, they clearly demonstrated the true history and faith of the old Adventists.**

This happened during the **interview with Dr. William Johnsson**, who was one of the most important Adventist theologians of the General Conference and **editor-in-chief of the Adventist Review**.

Walter Martin knew Adventist history much better than most Adventists did, even more than Dr. Johnsson himself:

- **"Adventists accepted the Trinity much later after the death of Ellen White.** In the last years of her life and for **many years after her death, this doctrine was not part of the Adventist faith**; it was not taught. **Ellen White had an Arian belief until her death.** For this reason, **some of her quotes have been removed**, because those very clearly disrupted the new trinitarian teachings."

Crushed by this evidence, Dr. Johnsson admitted the following in defense: **"If the whole thing was true, was Ellen White at that time, not a prophet"**, instead of humbly saying that he would study the whole topic and the writings of Ellen White, and would make a decision about what the truth really was.

Did the falsifications begin during Ellen White's lifetime and did they really take place?

Ellen White was sent, against her will, to Australia from 1891 to 1900 so that the leaders of the apostasy could begin the falsifications of her texts without interference from her:

- "I have not, I think, revealed the entire workings that led me here to Australia. Perhaps you may never fully understand the matter. The **Lord was not in our leaving America. He did not reveal that it was His will that I should leave Battle Creek. The Lord did not plan this, but He let you all move after YOUR OWN imaginings.** The Lord would have had W. C. White, his mother, and her workers remain **in America, we were needed** at the heart of the work, and had your spiritual perception discerned the true situation, you would never have consented to the movements made. But the Lord read the hearts of all. There was so **great a willingness to have us leave that the Lord permitted this thing to take place.** Those who **were weary of the testimonies borne** were left without the persons who **bore** them. Our separation from Battle Creek was to let men have **their own will and way**, which **they thought superior** to the way of the **Lord**." {Ellen White: 11LtMs, Lt 127, 1896, par. 4}

During 1877-1888, before moving to Australia, Ellen White wrote the original book "Spirit of Prophecy - **Lord Jesus Christ**". During her absence, her secretary **MARIAN DAVIS** compiled this book by herself. The new name of the book (which unfortunately also contains a small number of manipulated quotes) was the "**Desire of Ages**".

According to Marian Davis, she "only" looked for suitable quotes from EGW and included them in the book. At that time, Marian Davis was surrounded and influenced by the leaders of apostasy of the time, such as Prof. PRESCOTT.

- "At that time, Professor **PRESCOTT** was tremendously interested in **presenting Christ as the great "I Am" and in emphasising the eternity of His existence, using frequently the expression "The Eternal Son"**. Also he connected the **"I AM"** of Exodus 3:14, which of course was Christ the Second Person of the Godhead, with the fulfillment of Jesus in John 8:58, which we all agree to; but then linked it up with other "I am" in that Gospel - 7 of them, such as "I am the Bread of life" "I am the Light of the world" "I am the door of the Sheep" etc. all very rich in their spiritual teaching - but which seemed a little far fetched to me especially as the "I am" in all those latter cases is merely in the copula in the Greek, as well as in English. But he insisted on his interpretation. Sr. **Marian Davis seem to fall for it**, and lo and behold, when "Desire of Ages" came out, there appeared that **IDENTICAL TEACHING** on pages 24 and 25, which, I think, **can be looked for in vain in any of Sr. White's published works prior to that time.**" {H. C. Lacey letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom Aug. 30, 1945}

- "In this connection, of course you know that Sr. **Marian Davis** was entrusted with the **preparation** of "**Desire of Ages**" and that she gathered her material from every available source from Sr. White's books already in print, from unpublished manuscripts, from private letters, stenographical reports of her talks etc. - but perhaps you may not know that she (Sr. Davis) was greatly worried about finding material for the first chapter. She appealed to me personally many times as she was arranging that chapter (and other chapters too for that matter) and I did what I could to help her; I have good reason to believe that she also appealed to **Professor Prescott for similar aid**, and got it too in far richer and more abundant measure than I could render." (H.C. Lacey letter to L.E. Froom Aug. 30, 1945)

After reading the book 'Desire of Ages' from 1898, many theologians of that time asked if Ellen White had **suddenly accepted the Trinity**. How such Trinitarian "additions" came into the books of Ellen White can be seen in the following example: In the original quote from the second volume - Spirit of Prophecy: "The **Great Controversy between the Lord Jesus Christ and Satan**" from 1877, Ellen White wrote the following:

- "Martha, not comprehending the full meaning of Jesus, answered that she knew he would arise in the resurrection, at the last day. But Jesus, seeking to give a true direction to her faith, said, **I am the resurrection, and the life; he that believeth in Me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me, shall never die. Believest thou this?**" {Ellen White, 'Spirit of Prophecy vol. 2', 1877, p. 362-363}

During the absence of EGW, this quote got (in the year 1898) in the book "Desire of Ages" a falsified extension", which is marked with the RED color:

- "Martha answered "I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection at the last day." Still seeking to give a true direction to her faith, Jesus declared, "I am the resurrection, and the life." **In Christ is life, original, unborrowed, underived.** "He that hath the Son hath life." 1 John 5:12. The **Divinity** of Christ is the believer's assurance of eternal life. "He that believeth in Me," said Jesus, "though he were dead, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me shall never die. Believest thou this?" {Ellen White, Desire of Ages, 1898, p. 530}

The book "Desire of Ages" is used today as a special "proof" for the doctrine of the Trinity. Most Adventists did not even get any information that the official archive does not include even a single original chapter of the "Desire of Ages". During her time in Australia, Ellen White had her new books printed exclusively in a NON-Adventist print shop because she obviously had no longer confidence to print them with the Pacific Press.

And just at the time of her absence, the supplemented book the "Desire of Ages" was "coincidentally" printed in the Pacific Press. Has Ellen White, as God's prophetess, recognized all the apostasy and attacks on Adventists?

- "Message after message has come to me from the Lord concerning the dangers surrounding you (**A. G. Daniells**) and **Elder Prescott**. I have seen that satan would have been greatly pleased to see Elders Prescott and Daniells undertake the work of a general overhauling of our books that have done a good work in the field for years. **But neither of you is called of God to that work....I have been instructed that the Lord is not the author of the proposal to make many changes in books already published.**" {Ellen White, Lt 70, 1910, p. 2+15}

Prof. Prescott was confronted with the truth that he had made falsified "additions" to Ellen White's books. He said "calm down," during the 1919 Bible conference, because he "only" wrote one word in the "Great Controversy" without EGW knowing it so that the statement from EGW would be "more understandable". This confirms a source from which other "understandable" quotes in her books came from. Many think that such falsifications are not possible because all quotes from EGW have been confirmed as authentic by the conference, which is considered the official "voice of God". What was Ellen White's attitude towards this?

- “Before the **fire came which swept away the Review and Herald factory**, I was in distress for many days.... It was then that I saw the representation of danger, a **sword of fire** turning this way and that way...The next news was that the Review and Herald building had been consumed by fire, but that not one life had been lost. In this the Lord spoke mercy with judgment. **The mercy of God was mingled with judgment to spare the lives of the workers.**” {Ellen White: Ms 20, 1903, April 3, par. 6}
- “The very worst thing that could now be done would be for the Review and Herald office to be once more built up in Battle Creek. The way has been opened for it to break its association there, association with worldly men, which ought to be broken. Unjustifiable commercial business has been carried on, because the money that it brought in was needed...Pernicious matter has been published right in our office, and if some part of the work had to be delayed, it was the work on the books containing the light of truth. This was greatly displeasing to the Lord. **The apprentices were being educated in the false doctrines contained in the matter brought in. And the REVIEW and HERALD presses were sending these FALSE doctrines out to the world.**” {Ellen White: Ms 20, 1903, April 3, par. 8}
- “Yet we **hear that** the voice of the **Conference is the voice of God**. Every time I have heard this, I have thought that **it was almost blasphemy**. The voice of the Conference ought to be the **voice of God, but it is not...**” {Ellen White, 16LtMs, Ms 37, 1901, par.18}

The heavenly TRIO - Three LIVING Persons?

Falsification:

- “There are **THREE LIVING PERSONS of the heavenly trio**; in the name of these three great powers--the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit-- those who **receive Christ by living faith** are baptised, and these powers will co-operate with the obedient subjects of heaven in their efforts to live the new life in Christ...” {Ellen White: Testimonies for the Church, Series B, No. 7, 63, 1905. Evangelism, p. 615}

Those words are a falsification, and a blasphemous attack to the true God. They contradict all the Revelation verses about the seal of the **ONLY LIVING God**, which are calling us to worship the only true God, and to make the decision of whose seal we will accept. The fallen theologians are trying through the title “**THREE LIVING Persons**” to turn the seal of the living God (Father) into a seal of the “LIVING” Trinity.

Who holds the title, “the **ONLY LIVING God**?”

Original:

- “And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the **SON of the LIVING GOD.**” {Matthew 16:16}
- “For they themselves shew of us what manner of entering in we had unto you, and how ye turned to God from idols to serve the **LIVING and true God**; And to wait for **His Son** from heaven, Whom he raised from the dead, even Jesus, which delivered us from the wrath to come.” {1. Thessalonians 1:9.10}
- “...it is **God**, the **ONLY true and LIVING God**, to Whom our worship and reverence are due. ...Let the brightest example the world has yet seen be your example, rather than the greatest and most learned men of the age, who know **not God, nor Jesus Christ** Whom he has sent. The **Father and the Son alone are to be exalted.**” {Ellen White: YI, July 7, 1898 par. 2}

- The **SEAL of the LIVING GOD** belongs only to God the Father, and not to a “living” trio! It shows the name and identity of its Owner.
- “And I saw another angel ascending from the east, having the **seal of the LIVING God**: and he cried with a loud voice to the four angels, to Whom it was given to hurt the earth and the sea, Saying, Hurt not the earth, neither the sea, nor the trees, till we have sealed the servants of our God in their foreheads.” {Revelation 7:2,3}
- “And I looked, and, lo, a Lamb stood on the mount Zion, and with Him an hundred forty and four thousand, having **His FATHER's NAME written in their foreheads.**” {Revelation 14:1} Textus Receptus

In some translations, influenced through the Codex Sinaiticus, it says that the seal of God also includes the name of Jesus, but this does not correspond to the original Bible and the rest of Revelation. Only God the Father bears the seal, and His Son Jesus is the only way to the Father and to His Seal:

- “Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: **no man cometh unto the Father, but by Me.**” {John 14:6}

Alternate interpretation:

The following is the proof to all readers that there was falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy and the Bible. But, even if we did not have the following information, all the other controversial texts and verses would not be able to provide any evidence about the Trinity.

By isolating some text, you can prove almost any kind of heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot, at the same time, oppose and support the Trinity.** Using context is the only way to interpret confusing quotes like the “three heavenly powers” quote.

We have the information about the falsifications, but even if we found that those quotes were original, we would still not be able to prove the doctrine of the **Trinity!**

- “Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **ONE God** and **one Saviour**; and one Spirit—the **Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks.” {Ellen White, 9T, p. 189}
- “**God is the Father of Christ; Christ is the Son of God.** To Christ has been given an exalted position. **He has been made equal with the Father.** All the counsels of God are opened to His Son.” {Ellen White, Testimonies Volume 8, p. 268}
- “The **divine Spirit** that the world's Redeemer promised to send, is the presence and power of God.” {Ellen White: ST, November 23, 1891}
- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the **dictionary** that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics.**” {W. C. White 1935 Letter to H. W. Carr}

God is omnipresent! When we take all of the texts in context, what remains is that the Father is power, the Son is power, and the Holy Spirit is the power of the Father and the Son. Why should the Spirit, which proceeds from the Father and Son as the third symbolic personality, not have the same power if he is the presence of the Father and the Son in the Universe?

- “**Christ** declared that after His ascension, He would send to His church, as His crowning gift, the Comforter, who was to take His place. This **Comforter is the Holy Spirit,—the soul of HIS life**, the efficacy of his church, the light and life of the world. With **HIS Spirit Christ** sends a reconciling influence and a power that takes away sin.” {Ellen White: RH, 19. May 1904. par. 1}

- **"Christ gives them the breath of HIS own SPIRIT, the life of His own life. The Holy Spirit puts forth its highest energies to work in heart and mind."** {Ellen White: DA, p. 827}
- **"And when He had said this, He breathed on them, and saith unto them, Receive ye the Holy Ghost:"** {John 20:22}

The breath of Christ is His Spirit and Life, not a third Divine Being. When we regard the Father and the Son as the first and second person, and the third person as their power and their own omnipresence, we can correctly interpret the 'Three highest powers in heaven' and 'Heavenly Trio' quotes. But even still, today's theologians take the following isolated quotes from Ellen White, and establish their Trinity doctrine:

- **"There are three living persons of the heavenly trio; in the name of these three great powers--the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit-- those who receive Christ by living faith are baptised, and these powers will co-operate with the obedient subjects of heaven in their efforts to live the new life in Christ."** {Ellen White: Testimonies for the Church, Series B, No. 7, 63, 1905. Evangelism, p. 615}

Is this statement evidence of the Trinity? Three living persons? Who is this third person? Are we teaching that the Holy Spirit is a "lifeless energy" spreading everywhere? Because the Holy Spirit is the soul of Jesus' life, it must be alive. Who lives in us? The Father and Jesus in their omnipresence. The Bible verse below enables us to understand again what the heavenly trio really is, and that the Holy Spirit, as a third personality, is not independent, but (in a way that is incomprehensible to us) is the manifestation of the Father and the Son.

- **"Simon Peter, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ, to them that have obtained like precious faith with us through the righteousness of God and our Saviour Jesus Christ: Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the knowledge of God, and of Jesus our Lord, According as His divine power hath given unto us all things that pertain unto life and godliness, through the knowledge of Him that hath called us to glory and virtue: Whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises: that by these ye might be partakers of the divine nature, having escaped the corruption i.e. in the world through lust."** {2 Peter 1:1-4}
- **"The Saviour is our Comforter. This I have proved Him to be."** {Ellen White: 8MR, p. 49, 1892}
- **"Christ tells us that the Holy Spirit is the Comforter, and the Comforter is the Holy Ghost, "the Spirit of truth, which the Father shall send in My name. [...] This refers to the omnipresence of the Spirit of Christ, called the Comforter."** {Ellen White: 14MR, p. 179}

Using the term 'heavenly trio' and the teaching by Dr. Kellogg, other theologians started preaching heresies about a "fused" God. William Edwin Boardman spread his "truth" that these three personalities existed "as one" god. Ellen White resolutely refused it, stating that they are a heavenly trio and not three "fused" persons.

A trio means 3 and not 1. This doctrine has arrived in the Adventist Church as a further stage in the apostasy, as it was decided in a General Conference session to take the point of faith, that this "one" God consists of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit.

Some theologians went even further in their apostasy and claim that the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit are completely "one" God, whose three personalities are just different forms of HIS manifestation in the plan of salvation. But we have to stay in the Bible. There is only one God, Who has one literal Son. The use of the name 'ONE God' means exclusively God the Father.

Three greatest and highest heavenly Powers?

Original:

- "But in the **transgression** of man both the **Father and the Son were dishonored.**" {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, December 12, 1895, par. 7}
- "**God and Christ ALONE know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, **1909**, par. 8}
- "The **Father and the Son ALONE** are to be **exalted.**" {Ellen White: The Youth's Instructor, July 7, 1898, par. 2}
- "It is not essential for us to be able to define just what the **Holy Spirit** is. Christ tells us that the Spirit is the Comforter, "the Spirit of truth, which proceedeth from the Father." It is plainly declared regarding the Holy Spirit that, in His work of guiding men into all truth, "**He shall NOT SPEAK of HIMSELF.**" John 15:26; 16:13." {Ellen White: AA 51.3}

We can read in all the original texts that only the Father and the Son bear the dignity and honor and that only They are entitled to be exalted as the heavenly Leaders. We can also read that the Holy Spirit does not speak from itself and that only God the Father and the Son have the knowledge of how much human souls cost. If the Holy Spirit does not have this knowledge then he cannot be Divine Being!

Falsifications:

- "Keep yourselves where the **three great powers** of heaven, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, can be your efficiency" {Ellen White: SW, February 23. 1904, p. 122 }
- "We are to cooperate with the **three highest powers** in heaven,—the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost,—and these powers will work through us, making us workers together with God." {Ellen White: Special Testimonies, Series B, Nr. 7, p. 51. 1905} {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}
- "**Our sanctification is the work of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit.** It is the fulfillment of the covenant God has made with those who bind themselves up with Him, to stand with Him, His Son, and His Spirit in Holy fellowship. Have you been born again? Have you become a new being in Christ Jesus? Then cooperate with the **three great powers of heaven** who are working in your behalf." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 908.11, 1901}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even these controversial texts or verses, when compared to the other verses and texts, can not provide any evidence for the Trinity. **By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy.** We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" – by not taking the quote out of context.

Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!** In the last book of the Bible, Revelation, which is, according to the supporters of the Trinity, the summit of the understanding of the truth, we see the description of 'He Who (the Father) sits on the throne, and the lamb' (who is not sitting on the chair). In Revelation, it is impossible to produce any Trinity. Jesus is symbolically described as a Lamb to emphasise His sacrifice for us.

Many Trinity advocates attempt to destroy such clear evidence, by claiming that the Trinity sits on the throne and that the Lamb is just a representation of the sacrifice and not a direct description of Jesus! This teaching is completely pointless because in this verse the Father and the Son, both receive the honor.

- "And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and power, be unto **Him That sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever.**" {Revelation 5:13}

The truth is present in many other places in the Bible, such as:

- "There is one body, and one Spirit, even as ye are called in one hope of your calling; One Lord (Jesus), one faith, one baptism, **One God and Father of all, who is above all, and through all, and in you all.**" {Ephesians 4:4-6}

Ellen White also clearly wrote in 1905 that the Holy Spirit is not a third being, and defined what these three heavenly forces are, and what the heavenly trio means, which is not a trio of 3 Beings:

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ** —is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, **1905**}

If we look at other of her quotes, we can get an understanding of what she meant by 'heavenly trio' and by 'the three highest forces in heaven':

- "Cumbered with humanity, **Christ** could not be in every place personally; therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and send the Holy Spirit to be His successor on earth. The **Holy Spirit is Himself (JESUS), divested of the personality of humanity** and independent thereof. **He would represent Himself** as present in all places by His Holy Spirit, **as the Omnipresent.**" {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}
- "We want the **Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

Did Ellen White suddenly believe in 1898 that the Holy Spirit had become a "third being"? Certainly not, as she stated in countless quotes that only the Father and the Son are Divine Beings and that They manifest Their power and presence through their Holy Spirit. It's important to take these quotes and compare them with all other quotes and their context, to avoid errors like, for example, when churches use the story where the "ghost" of dead Samuel comes to Saul, to "prove" life after death.

- "We are to cooperate with the **three highest powers in heaven**,--the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost,--and these powers will work through us, making us workers together with God." {Ellen White: Special Testimonies, Series B, Nr. 7, p. 51. **1905**} {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}
- "Keep yourselves where the **three great powers of heaven**, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, can be your efficiency." {Ellen White: SW, February 23. **1904**, p. 122 }
- "Our sanctification is the **work of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit.** It is the fulfillment of the covenant God has made with those who bind themselves up with Him, to stand with Him, His Son, and His Spirit in Holy fellowship. Have you been born again? Have you become a new being in Christ Jesus? Then cooperate with the three great **powers of heaven** who are working in your behalf." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 908.11, 1901}

Those three highest powers are not defined, only enumerated. It is said that there are three supreme powers in heaven, without one statement about the structure of those three powers. What about God the Father, God the Son, and **God the Holy Spirit**? We can only understand this question in the light of what has been written already.

The truth is that soon after declaring his pantheistic beliefs, Dr. Kellogg also accepted the doctrine of the Trinity. His belief was on three eternal, co-equal divine Beings, just like most Adventists believe today! We know that the statements of Ellen White cannot oppose, and at the same time, support the Trinity. The only way in which we can interpret the expression “three heavenly powers” and not take her quote out of context is by comparing the quote with the rest of her writings.

- “Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **one God and one Savior; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks”. {Ellen White, 9T, p. 189}
- **“God is the Father of Christ; Christ is the Son of God. To Christ has been given an exalted position.** He has been made equal with the Father. All the counsels of God are opened to His Son”. {Ellen White, Testimonies Volume 8, p. 268}
- “The **Divine Spirit** that the world's Redeemer promised to send, **is the presence and power of God**”. {Ellen White: ST, November 23, 1891}
- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics**”. {W. C. White 1935 Letter to H. W. Carr}

Based on these quotes, is God (the Father) not present as well? When we combine all of the quotes, we can conclude that the Father is power, the Son is power, and the Holy Spirit is the power OF the Father and the Son. And as we read in the book Evangelism: the Father is God, the Son is God, and the Holy Spirit is IN God! If the Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son as the third symbolic personality, shouldn't he have the same power and strength, as the personal presence of the Father and the Son in the Universe?

- “Christ declared that after His ascension, He would send to his church, as His crowning gift, the Comforter, who was to take His place. **This Comforter is the Holy Spirit,—the soul of His (JESUS) life,** the efficacy of His church, the light and life of the world. **With HIS Spirit Christ sends a reconciling influence and a power** that takes away sin.” {Ellen White: RH, 19. May 1904. par. 1}
- **“Christ gives them the breath of HIS OWN Spirit,** the life of His own life. The Holy Spirit puts forth its highest energies to work in heart and mind.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 827}
- “And when He had said this, **He breathed on them,** and saith unto them, **Receive ye the Holy Ghost**” {John 20:22}

The breath of Christ is His Spirit and Life, not a third Divine Being. If we regard the Father and Son as the first and second person, we can interpret correct interpretation of the terms ‘Three highest powers in heaven’ and ‘Heavenly Trio’, although today's theologians take the following quotations from Ellen White, which in isolation enables to establish their heresy of trinity :

- “There are **three living persons of the heavenly trio;** in the name of these three great powers--the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit-- those who receive Christ by living faith are baptised, and these powers will co-operate with the obedient subjects of heaven in their efforts to live the new life in Christ.” {Ellen White: Testimonies for the Church, Series B, No. 7, 63, 1905. Evangelism, p. 615}

Is this statement an evidence of the Trinity? Are the three persons alive?

Who is this third person? Are we teaching, that the Holy Spirit is a "deadly force" spread everywhere? Because the Holy Spirit is the soul of Jesus' life, it must be alive. Who lives in us? The Father and the Son, by Their omnipresence. The quote below enables us to understand again, what the heavenly trio really is, and that the Holy Spirit, as a third person personality is not an independent being, but the manifestation of the Father and the Son.

- "Simon Peter, a servant and apostle of of Jesus Christ, to them that have obtained like like precious **faith** with us through the righteousness of **God (Father) and our Saviour Jesus Christ**: Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the **knowledge of God, AND of Jesus** our Lord, According as **His divine power** hath given unto us all things that pertain unto life and godliness, through the knowledge of Him that hath called us to glory and virtue: Whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises: that by these **ye might be partakers of the divine nature**, having escaped the corruption i.e. in the world through lust." {2 Peter 1:1-4}
- "**Christ tells us that the HOLY Spirit is the Comforter**, and the Comforter is the Holy Ghost, "the Spirit of truth, which the Father shall send in My name. [...] **This refers to the omnipresence of the SPIRIT of CHRIST, called the Comforter.**" {Ellen White: 14MR, p. 179}
- "The **Saviour is our Comforter**. This I have proved Him to be" {Ellen White: 8MR, p. 49, 1892}

Using the term 'heavenly trio' and the teaching by Dr. Kellogg, other theologians started preaching heresies about a "fused" God. William Edwin Boardman spread his "truth" that these three personalities existed "as one" god. Ellen White resolutely refused it, stating that they are a heavenly trio and not three "fused" persons. A trio means 3 and not 1. This doctrine has arrived in the Adventist Church as another stage in the apostasy, as it was decided in a General Conference session to take the point of faith, that this "one" God consists of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit.

Three Authorities?

Original:

We have to stay with the Bible. There is only one God, Who has one literal Son. The name 'ONE God' refers in the Bible exclusively to God the Father.

- "**The Father and the Son alone are to be exalted.**" {Ellen White: The Youth's Instructor, July 7, 1898, par. 2}
- "But in the **transgression** of man both the **Father** and the **Son** were dishonored." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, December 12, 1895, par. 7}
- "**God and Christ alone know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, **1909**, par. 8}
- "It is not essential for us to be able to define just what the **Holy Spirit** is. Christ tells us that the Spirit is the Comforter, "the Spirit of truth, **which proceedeth from the Father.**" It is plainly declared regarding the Holy Spirit that, in His work of guiding men into all truth, "**He shall not speak of Himself.**" John 15:26; 16:13." {Ellen White: AA 51.3}

We can read in all of the original quotes that, only the Father and the Son have the dignity and honor of heavenly leaders. We can also read that the Holy Spirit does not speak of itself and that only God the Father and the Son know the cost of a human soul. The fact that the Holy spirit doesn't know this cost indicates the opposite of a Divine Being!

Falsifications:

The falsified expressions below completely contradict complete the texts above, which contradicts all other texts from Ellen White. Only through such comparisons can we realize that these changes were planned:

- "The **Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, the three Holy dignitaries** of heaven, have declared that they will strengthen men to overcome the powers of darkness. All the facilities of heaven are pledged to those who by their baptismal vows have entered into a covenant with God"
- "The **eternal heavenly dignitaries--God, and Christ, and the Holy Spirit**--arming them [the disciples] with more than mortal energy, ...would advance with them to the work and convince the world of sin." {Ellen White: Ms 145, 1901. Evangelism, p. 616.4}

It is interesting that in the "EGW" quotes below, which were "recently discovered", there is a form of praying and worship to the Trinity, that has entered the SDA church in last years as the apostasy deepens. No Trinitarian in Ellen White's time prayed that way! Is this a coincidence or a continuation of attacks on the truth, to proof the Trinity?

- "When I feel oppressed, and hardly know how to relate myself toward the work that God has given me to do, I just **call upon** the **three great Worthies**, and **say; You** (Trinity) know I cannot do this work in my own strength. **You** must work in me, and by me and through me." {Ellen White: 7MR 267.2 **Sermons and Talks**, Vol. 1, 367, Sermon given October 20, 1906}
- "And then the golden harps are touched, and the music flows all through the heavenly host, and **they fall down and worship** the **Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit** (Trinity)." {Ellen White: MS139, 1906}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even these controversial texts or verses, when compared to the other verses and texts, can not provide any evidence for the Trinity. **By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy.** We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" – by not taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. **They have one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ** —is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, **1905**}
- "We want the **Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66 April 10, 1894 par. 18}
- "**Cumbered with humanity, Christ could not** be in every place personally; therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and **send the Holy Spirit** to be His successor on earth. The **Holy Spirit is Himself**, divested of the personality of humanity and independent thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by **His Holy Spirit**, as the **Omnipresent.**" {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

These are these three heavenly dignitaries - The Father, Son and Their Spirit, Their almighty living personal presence.

The above three quotes explain the meaning of other quotes where the three heavenly dignitaries are enumerated without having to go deeper into who and what they are.

- "The **testimonies themselves will be the key that will explain the messages given**, as scripture is explained by scripture." "Regarding the testimonies, nothing is ignored; nothing is cast aside; but time and place must be considered." {Ellen White: 1SM, p. 42, 57}

We can only interpret the quotes below in the context of the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy. Many Trinity representatives emphasise that Ellen White was only an old prophet and that we recently "realised the truth more clearly" so that we only need biblical "evidence" for trinity. At the same time, they use Ellen White quotes out of context, to show her authority as "evidence" of Trinity.

But at the same time, many reject her as a prophet of God when deeper research leads them to the fact that Ellen White did reject the Trinity till the end of her life. Does the Spirit of the Father and of Jesus, which comes forth from them and makes them omnipresent, have no dignity?

Does their spirit, through which the Father and Son speak to us, not bear their dignity? Without dignity, the Holy Spirit would not have a divine origin! The spirit means Their personal presence everywhere. The Godly Being who, bears the highest dignity on the throne, is God the Father, and not a Trinity! Ellen White clearly writes that only God the Father and Jesus are entitled to be exalted! Nowhere in her quotes do we find that all three have to be worshiped, or that all three are one being. We can get the definition of the properties in other quotes:

- "**By the Spirit the Father and the Son will come and make their abode** with you." {Ellen White: Bible Echo and Signs of the Times, January 15, 1893 par. 8}
- "My perplexities were lessened a little when **I learned from the dictionary** that **one** of the **meanings of personality**, was **Characteristics**." {W.C. White, 1935, Letter to H.W. Carr}

Does God the Father have a higher dignity than Jesus? According to Ellen White, yes:

- "The **great Jehovah** has proclaimed from **HIS throne**, "This is **My** beloved **Son**." {Ellen White: DA, p. 579.4}
- "**It is God, the ONLY true and living God**, to Whom our **worship** and **reverence** are due. [...] The **Father and the Son alone are to be exalted**." {Ellen White: YI, July 7, 1898 par. 2}
- "And immediately I was in the spirit: and, behold, **a throne** was set **in heaven**, and **ONE seat** on the throne." {Revelation 4:2}
- "But in the transgression of man both the **Father and the Son were dishonored**." {Ellen White: ST, December 12, 1895 par. 7}

if the Holy spirit is a third Divine Being, why didn't Ellen White write about worshipping him or why is the Holy Spirit not mentioned as a third Divine Being before the beginning or after the end of the great controversy? The Bible and Spirit of Prophecy only mention the Father and the Son as Divine Beings! In the book of Revelation, the entire fourth and fifth chapters describe 'He Who (Father) sits on the throne', and the Lamb, who sits on His right side. It is impossible to produce a Trinity in the book of Revelation.

The pictorial description of Jesus as a lamb emphasizes the depth of His sacrifice for us. Many Trinity advocates attempt to destroy such clear evidence, claiming that the Trinity sits on the throne and that the Lamb is just a representation of the sacrifice. Otherwise, we can read, that in all eternity, after the victory over sin, only Father and Son are worshiped:

- And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and power, be **unto Him That sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever.**" {Revelation 5:13}
- "In your hands will be placed a golden harp, and touching its strings, you will join with the **redeemed** host in filling all heaven with songs of **praise to God and His Son.**" {Ellen White: AUCR January 15, 1903, par. 14}
- "All the redeemed saints will see and appreciate as never before the **love of the Father and the Son**, and **songs of praise** will burst forth from **immortal tongues.**" {Ellen White: TMK, p. 371}
- "The hour for joyful, happy **songs of praise to God and His dear Son** had come. Satan had led the heavenly choir. He had raised the first note, then all the angelic host united with him, and glorious strains of music had resounded through Heaven in **honor of God and His dear Son.** But now, instead of strains of sweetest music, discord and angry words fall upon the ear of the great rebel leader." {Ellen White: 1SP, p. 28, 1870}
- "The Lord encourages all who seek Him with the whole heart. **He gives them His Holy Spirit**, the **manifestation of His presence and favor.**" {Ellen White: 9T, p. 230, 1909}

Is the omnipresent Holy Spirit, which proceeds from the Lord as the manifestation of His presence, without Divine power and knowledge?

Ellen White wrote in {6MR 29.3} about the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit and describes them as "three representatives of heavenly authority". Holy Spirit is the personal presence of God the Father and Christ and representative of Their authority!

Did the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit (Godhead) give themselves for the plan of salvation during the heavenly council?

Falsifications:

- "The **Godhead was stirred** with pity for the race, and **the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit gave themselves to the working out of the plan of redemption.** In order fully to carry out this plan, **it was decided** that Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, should give Himself an offering for sin. What line can measure the depth of this love? God would make it impossible for man to say that He could have done more. With Christ He gave all the resources of heaven, that nothing might be wanting in the plan for man's uplifting. Here is love—the contemplation of which should fill the soul with inexpressible gratitude!" {Ellen White: CH, p. 222} {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 10}

In this "adapted" quote, which is used as "evidence" for the Trinity, we can see the misuse of the term deity/Godhead. This term only denotes the quality of a Divine Being and is not the name of a "triune" God. The real meaning is found in these passages:

Originals:

- "Because that which may be known of **God** is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of **Him** from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even **HIS eternal power and GODHEAD;** so that they are without excuse." {Romans 1:19.20}

- **"In Christ** is gathered all the glory of the Father. **In HIM** is all the **fullness of the GODHEAD bodily**. He is the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of His person. The glory of the attributes of God are expressed in His character." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 907}

According to EGW's original quotes, Who brought our salvation? The Trinity?

- **"The plan of salvation devised by the Father and the Son** will be a grand success." {Ellen White: ST, June 17. 1903. par. 2}
- **"Before the fall of man, the Son of God had united with His Father in laying the plan of salvation."** {Ellen White: RH, 13. September 1906. par. 4}
- **"Before** the foundations of the earth were laid, the **Father** and the **Son** had **united** in a **covenant** to redeem man if he should be overcome by satan. They had clasped Their hands in a solemn pledge that Christ should become the surety for the human race." {Ellen White: DA, p. 834}
- **"In counsel together, the Father and the Son determined** that satan should not be left unchecked to exercise His cruel power upon man." {Ellen White: 18MR, p. 345.5}
- **"A covenant** has been entered into by the **Father** and by the **Son to save the world** through Christ." {Ellen White: ST, October 10. 1892. par. 1}

There is not a single quote that the Holy Ghost was involved in developing the plan of salvation. He could not simply because he is not a third being but the spirit of the Father and of Jesus.

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even these controversial texts or verses, when compared to the other verses and texts, can not provide any evidence for the Trinity.

By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity**. This also shows us the only way to interpret expressions like "three heavenly powers" – by not taking the quote out of context.

Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**

- **"Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ—is to bring unity into their ranks."** {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, 1905}
- **"We want the Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ."** {Ellen White: Letter 66 April 10, 1894 par. 18}

The Godhead - Divinity, is, as we have already seen, the characteristic (property) of a Divine Being, and not the name of one fused "triune" god!

The Jewish word for 'Divinity' is 'Elohut'. And this word is an adjective and not a noun!

- **"The Godhead was stirred with pity for the race, and the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit gave Themselves to the working out of the plan of redemption.** In order fully to carry out this plan, it was decided that Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, should give Himself an offering for sin. What line can measure the depth of this love? God would make it impossible for man to say that He could have done more. With Christ He gave all the resources of heaven, that nothing might be wanting in the plan for man's uplifting. Here is love—the contemplation of which should fill the soul with inexpressible gratitude!" {Ellen White: CH, p. 222} {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 10}

First of all, the above quote does **not say** that **all three prepared** the plan of salvation, but that they gave themselves up to implement the plan that had already been decided. God did everything for us, and through Jesus He gave all of the heavenly resources to complete the plan of salvation. This gives us an inkling about why Ellen White wrote that the Father struggled to allow His Son to come to Earth as a mortal person, risking His eternal existence. Jesus died on the cross for us, and afterward came to us through His Holy Spirit, Himself in another form.

Aside from the Father only Jesus has all of the heavenly resources. The above EGW quote is often used as "proof" that the Holy Spirit is the third Divine Being next to the Father and the Son. Although after reading the quote we could get that impression, that all three - Father, Son, and Holy Spirit - designed the plan of salvation, such an interpretation is not in harmony with all the other statements of the Spirit of Prophecy. In the biblical dictionary from 1828, we can read, that the word person does not mean only one being:

- **"My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that one of the meanings of personality, was characteristics."** {W.C. White, 1935, Letter to H.W. Carr}

Neither the Bible nor the Spirit of Prophecy mention anything about the spirit ever being involved in divine decisions. Why? Because the spirit is not a Divine Being, but only the manifestation of Divine presence!

- **"It is the glory of the gospel that it is founded upon the principle of restoring in the fallen race the divine image, by a constant manifestation of benevolence. This work began in the heavenly courts. There GOD DECIDED to give human beings an unmistakable evidence of the love with which He regarded them. He "so loved the world that He gave HIS only begotten SON, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life."** {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 9}

The Holy Ghost is one of the heavenly resources to help carry out the plan of salvation. God and Jesus are committed to giving all of the heavenly resources for our salvation, which includes giving us their Holy Spirit.

- **"The greatness of God is to us incomprehensible. "The Lord's throne is in heaven" (Psalm 11:4); yet by His Spirit HE is everywhere present.** He has an intimate knowledge of, and a personal interest in, all the works of His hand." {Ellen White: ED 132.2}
- **"For it is God which worketh in you both to will and to do of His good pleasure."** {Philippians 2:13}
- **"The plan of salvation devised by the Father and the Son will be a grand success."** {Ellen White: ST, June 17, 1903, par. 2}
- **"Before the fall of man, the Son of God had united with His Father in laying the plan of salvation."** {Ellen White: RH, 13. September 1906, par. 4}
- **"In counsel together, the Father and the Son determined** that Satan should not be left unchecked to exercise his cruel power upon man." {Ellen White: 18MR, p. 345.5}

- "By Christ the work upon which the fulfillment of God's purpose rests was accomplished. This was the agreement in the **councils of the Godhead**. The **Father purposed in counsel with His Son** that the human family should be tested and proved to see whether they would be allured by the temptations of Satan, or whether they would make Christ their righteousness, keeping God's commandments, and live. God gave to His Son all who would be true and loyal. Christ covenanted to redeem them from the power of Satan, at the price of His own life." {Ellen White: 21MR, 54.3}
- "**Christ** the Word, the **Only Begotten of God**, was one with the eternal Father,—one in nature, in character, and in purpose,—**the ONLY BEING in all the UNIVERSE that could enter into all the counsels and purposes of God.**" {Ellen White: GC, p. 493}
- "Even he shall build the temple of the LORD; and he shall bear the glory, and shall sit and rule upon His throne; and he shall be a priest upon His throne: and the **counsel of peace** shall be **between Them BOTH.**" {Zechariah 6:13}
- "A **covenant** has been entered into by the **Father and by the Son** to save the world through Christ." {Ellen White: ST, October 10, 1892, par. 1}
- "**Before the foundations of the earth were laid, the Father and the Son had united** in a covenant **to redeem man** if he should be overcome by Satan. They had clasped Their hands in a solemn pledge that Christ should become the surety for the human race." {Ellen White: DA, p. 834}

There is no other being besides Jesus Who can hold a council with God the Father. The Divine Councils take place only between the Father and the Son! If the Holy Spirit is a third Divine Being, why is he excluded from the councils of Deity? or is it that the Holy spirit is not a being but the life, character, mind, and personal presence of the Father and Christ in us? What is more logical and in harmony with the rest of inspired quotes?

- "We shall be judged according to the light we have had, according to the privileges we have been granted, according to the opportunity we have had to hear and understand the word of God. **These privileges have been given us through an infinite cost to the Father and the Son.** The plan of redemption has been devised and carried out so far through the sacrifice of all heaven, and the **gift of the Holy Spirit** has been provided, that the divine may unite with the human, and man be elevated in moral and spiritual worth." {Ellen White: YI, 15. June 1893 par. 1}
- "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the **Spirit of God** dwell in you. Now if any man have not the **Spirit of Christ**, he is none of His. And if **Christ be in you**, the body is dead because of sin; but the Spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the **Spirit of Him That raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you**, he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies **by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.**" {Romans 8:9-11}

Here we see that the plan was developed first and it was carried out later, after the fall of man. We read that only the Father and His Son were involved in the planning and that the Holy Ghost was only given to us as a gift.

In this sense, there were of course three, namely the Father, Son, and Their Spirit, Who gave themselves for the implementation of the plan which the two Divine Beings, the Father and the Son, had prepared.

- "That the **Holy Spirit** is to be the **grand helper**, is a wonderful promise. Of what avail would it have been to us that the only begotten Son of God had humbled Himself, endured the temptations of the wily foe, and wrestled with him during His entire life on earth, and died the Just for the unjust that humanity might not perish, if the **Spirit** had not been given as a constant, working, **regenerating agent** to make effectual in our cases what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer?" {Ellen White: 3SM, 137.1}

- "The **imparted Holy Spirit enabled His disciples**, the apostles, to stand firmly against every species of idolatry and to exalt the Lord and Him alone. **Who, but Jesus Christ by His Spirit and Divine power, guided the pens of the sacred historians** that to the world might be presented the precious record of the sayings and works of Jesus Christ?" {Ellen White: 3SM 137.2}

According to the Bible, who had His earthly "disciples"? Jesus! We can also see from this quote, that the Holy Spirit is Jesus personally!

- "The **Holy Spirit was the highest of all gifts** that he could solicit from His Father for the exaltation of His people. The **Spirit was to be given as a regenerating agent**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail." {Ellen White: RH, November 19, 1908, Art. B, par. 5}
- "As the **Divine endowment—the power of the Holy Spirit—was given to the disciples**, so it will today be given to all who seek aright. This power alone can make us wise unto salvation and to fit us for the courts above. Christ wants to give us a blessing that will make us Holy. "These things have I spoken unto you," He says, "that My joy might remain in you, and that your joy might be full." John 15:11. Joy in the Holy Spirit is health-giving, life-giving joy. **In giving us His Spirit, God gives us Himself**, making Himself a fountain of divine influences, to give health and life to the world." {Ellen White: 7T, 273.1}
- "No truth is more clearly taught in the Bible than that **God by His Holy Spirit** especially directs His servants on earth in the great movements for the **carrying forward** of the work of **salvation**." {Ellen White: GC, p. 343}

Did the term "THREE BEINGS" really come from Ellen White?

Only one being can be God! EGW described the Father and the Son as Beings, therefore the leading Trinitarians had to invent a proof that Ellen White also wrote this for the Holy Spirit. The only quote "by" Ellen White that says something about three Beings is used today as one of the main pieces of evidence that she finally realized that the Trinity was true:

- "You are born unto God, and you stand under the sanction and the power of the **three holiest Beings in heaven**, who are able to keep you from falling. You are to reveal that you are dead to sin; your life is hidden with Christ in God. Hidden "with Christ in God,"—wonderful transformation. This is a most precious promise." {White Estate 16. March 1976: {Ellen White: 7MR, 267} {Ellen White: **Sermons and Talks** Volume One 367.3, Sermon given October 20, 1906}

In the context of the source, we can state that this statement does not come from her books and is not a quote by her. This quote is an anonymous transcript of her sermon, and it is questionable whether it even come from her time. The term "three Beings" came from an unknown writer, we don't know his name and we don't know if it is authentic. These words were added as "her" statement in 7MR by ignoring the other facts! Ellen White only approved manuscripts with her signature!

She gave no authorisation for this text. Ellen White only got the printed part of this sermon, which she did sign. The text with the approved section is in 'Review and Herald' from December 1906, but it does not contain the term "three Beings"! This anonymous statement about three Beings was "discovered" in the year 1976! This quote is accompanied by another falsification in which Ellen White directly prays to the Trinity:

- "When I feel oppressed, and hardly know how to relate myself toward the work that God has given me to do, I just **call upon** the **three great Worthies**, and **say**; **You** (Trinity) know I cannot do this work in my own strength. **You** must work in me, and by me and through me." {Ellen White: 7MR 267.2 **Sermons and Talks**, Vol. 1, 367, Sermon given October 20, 1906}

It is claimed, however, that this unsigned and not authorised part of Ellen White's quote is true "because" she did preach the sermon and only signed the first part of the sermon because she became the other part of this text not in her hands. With such logic, we could add to any text other anonymous quotes to prove any desired conclusion. In this sense, we could also claim that the Apocrypha are also "true" because they come from the same time as the Bible and have to do with similar subjects. Dr. Kellogg, as a carrier of the apostasy, supplemented or shortened quotes from EGW, giving them a completely different meaning. What did Ellen White herself say about the manuscripts that did not have her signature?

- "And now to all who have a desire for truth I would say: **Do not give credence to unauthenticated reports as to what Sister White has done or said or written.** If you desire to know what the Lord has revealed through her, read her published works. Are there any points of interest concerning which she has not written, do not eagerly catch up and report rumors as to what she has said." {Ellen White: 5T 696.1}
- "I read over all i.e. copied, to see that everything is as it should be. I read all the book manuscript before it is sent to the printer." {Ellen White: 3SM 90.6}
- "I have all my publications **closely examined**. I desire that nothing shall appear in print without careful investigation." {Ellen White: 10MR 12.4}

Who or what is the Godhead? A Trinity?

Falsification:

- "The **Godhead was stirred** with pity for the race, and the **Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit gave Themselves to the working out of the plan of redemption.** In order fully to carry out this plan, it was **decided** that Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, should give Himself an offering for sin. What line can measure the depth of this love? God would make it impossible for man to say that He could have done more. With Christ He gave all the resources of heaven, that nothing might be wanting in the plan for man's uplifting. Here is love—the contemplation of which should fill the soul with inexpressible gratitude!" {Ellen White: CH, p. 222} {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 10}

In the "adapted" quote above, which serves as "evidence" for the Trinity, we can see the how the term 'Godhead' is misused. This term only describes characteristics of a Divine Being and does not describe the name of a "triune" God. The true meaning:

Original:

- "Because that which may be known of **God** is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of **Him** from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even **HIS eternal power and GODHEAD**; so that they are without excuse." {Romans 1:19,20}
- "**In Christ** is gathered all the glory of the Father. **In HIM** is all the **fullness of the GODHEAD bodily**. He is the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of His person. The glory of the attributes of God are expressed in His character." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 907}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is **NO falsification** of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even these controversial texts or verses, when compared to the other verses and texts, can not provide any evidence for the Trinity. **By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy.** We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity**. This also shows us the only way to interpret expressions like “three heavenly powers” – by not taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**

The Book “Evangelism”:

- **“We want the Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.”** {Ellen White: Letter 66 April 10, 1894 par. 18}
- **“The Holy Spirit is Himself (Jesus), divested of the personality of humanity and independent thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by His Holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent.”** {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

Is it possible that the Holy Spirit is present through his Holy Spirit if these words did not refer to Jesus himself, as the Trinitarians claim? If this was true it means that there would be two Holy Spirits.

- “Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. **They have one God and one Saviour; and ONE Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks.” {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3 **1905.**}

The book “Evangelism”, which at first glance contains indisputable evidence for the Trinity, carries statements of the Spirit of Prophecy that are taken out of context, giving them a different meaning. By taking a complete look at all of Ellen White's statements we can find what is the correct teaching. As we will see, this book does not have the full context. The compilation of quotes came about 20 years after Ellen White's death, through the leadership of Dr. LeRoy Froom, who worked hard to prove the Trinity, and was officially tasked by the General Conference to investigate this issue. Dr. Froom openly wrote that he removed the old and meaningless Adventist teachings that made us funny to the rest of the world! The following statement of the Spirit of Prophecy, which reads “the fullness of the Godhead,” is used as the main evidence for the existence of the Trinity, because it talks about the Holy Spirit and the Deity:

- “The Father **IS** all the fullness of the Godhead bodily”
- “The Son **IS** all the fullness of the Godhead manifested”
- “The Comforter that Christ promised to send after He ascended to heaven is the Spirit **IN** all the fullness of the Godhead”

{Ellen White: Special Testimonies, Series B, No. 7, pp. 62, 63. **1905**, and Evangelism, pp. 614, 615}

But if we read this text more carefully, we will see that there are fundamental differences within this title, namely:

- that the Father and Son **IS** the Fulness of the Godhead.
- that the Holy Spirit is **IN** the Fulness of the Godhead.

Let us now summarise the indirect message of this statement: The Father IS the Divine Ruler, the Son IS the Divine Ruler, the Holy Spirit is in the Divine Ruler. In other words, the spirit is in the Father and the Son, and thus He is not a Divine Being like the Father and the Son are. If something comes from something, then it must first be in it, just like a spring of water is already in its source in order to be able to come out of it.

The above quote does NOT state that all Three are the fullness of the Deity. If the Trinity was true, that's what the quote should say. We have seen that the Father is a Divine Being, that the Son is a Divine Being. They are not one being and they do not represent the Trinity! It does not say that the Father and the Son are Deities, but that Each one is a Deity - a Divine Being.

This quote is another proof that the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son, and that he is not a third Divine Being. The term Divinity – Godhead, signifies a characteristic and does not mean God. Dictionaries in most languages state that the term "Godhead" does not mean a number or a being, but the Divine nature, i.e.. Divine qualities (Divinity). Since the above quote clearly states that both the Father and the Son are each Godhead, we would end up with three Trinities if the term Godhead meant Trinity! The Father is the embodied fullness of the Deity. He is invisible to us mortals.

The only way to know God and His Deity is when He manifests Himself. Christ inherited the Deity from His Father and that is why He is all the fullness of the Deity **manifested**. The deity of the Son of God is His right and inheritance, which He acquired by His heavenly birth (Hebrews 1: 4). This Divine fullness dwells in Christ because it was pleasing to the Father (Colossians 2: 9; 1:19). Christ possessed the glory of His Father by divine birth. Hebrews 1: 3; John 1:14; 2 Corinthians 4: 6).

- **"In Christ is gathered all the glory of the Father. In Him is all the fullness of the Godhead bodily.** He is the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of His person. The glory of the attributes of God are expressed in His character." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 907}
- **"The love of God, manifested toward fallen man in the gift of his beloved Son, amazed the Holy angels."**God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life." **The Son was the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of his person. He possessed divine excellence and greatness. He was equal with God. It pleased the Father that in him all fullness should dwell.**" {Ellen White: 2SP, p. 38}

According to the Bible itself, the term "Godhead" represents a character and a title:

- "For the invisible things of Him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even **His eternal power and Godhead**; so that they are without excuse." {Romans 1:20}
- "But I would have you know, that the Head of every man is Christ; and the head of the woman is the man; and **the Head of Christ is God.**" {1 Corinthians 11:3}

The word "Head", as part of the word "Godhead", means the title of the Divine Ruler, Who is the head of the whole universe! The verse below emphasises that God the Father is the head and His Son! In the Hebrew Bible, the original word for "Deity" is the word "Elohut". That word is an adjective and not a noun, and as such, it describes God's attributes.

That quality is "Deity, i.e. Divinity", and is analogous to the human attribute, "humanity". It describes a characteristic without defining other areas, and it does not mean the noun, "human". When a good man is full of love for others, then we give him the adjective humanity. Likewise, the attribute Divine signifies and confirms the characteristics of incomprehensibly deep power, strength, love, and mercy!

One man in most cases is not a humanist, but he is always a man, without Divine qualities. One being who is not God cannot be the "fullness of the Godhead." That is why the Holy Spirit is not Godhead, but is **IN** the Godhead of the Father and the Son, Who are his Source in the whole Universe. One spirit cannot have its own spirit. That is why we are filled with the fullness of God because Jesus Himself is in us with His Holy Spirit:

- "That **Christ** may dwell **IN your hearts** by faith; that ye, being rooted and grounded in love, May be able to comprehend with all saints what is the breadth, and length, and depth, and height; And to know the love of Christ, which passeth knowledge, that ye might be filled with all the fulness of God." {Ephesians 3:17-19}
- "But God hath revealed them unto us by His Spirit: for the Spirit searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God. For what man knoweth the things of a man, **save the spirit of man which is in him**? even so the things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God. Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the **SPIRIT WHICH is OF GOD**; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God." {1. Corinthians 2:10-12}

While Jesus was on Earth, all the fullness of His Father's Deity was in Him:

- "For Him dwelleth all the fullness of the Godhead **bodily**" {Colossians 2:9}
- "To wit, that **God** (the Father) was **IN** Christ, reconciling the world unto Himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them; and hath committed unto us the word of reconciliation." {2 Corinthians 5:19}

Is one Divine Being given by God the Father to His Son not in unlimited fullness? Does not the Spirit emanating from God have unlimited fullness of Divine power? In the quote below we see even more that the Holy Spirit is the power of God, which proceeds from the Father and the Son and represents Their power and presence:

- "The Comforter that Christ promised to send after He ascended to heaven, is the Spirit **IN all the fullness of the Godhead**, making manifest the power of divine grace **to all who receive and believe in Christ as a personal Saviour**." {Ellen White: SpT, Series B, No. 7, pp. 62, 63. 1905} and {Ellen White: Evangelism, pp. 614, 615}
- "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not **the Spirit of Christ**, he is none of His. And if **Christ be IN you**, the body is dead because of sin; but the Spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the Spirit of him that raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you, he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies **by His Spirit that dwelleth in you**." {Romans 8:9-11}}
- "The Comforter that Christ promised to send after He ascended to heaven, is the Spirit **IN all the fullness of the Godhead...**" {Ellen White: BTS, March 1, 1906}

The Holy Spirit is **IN** the fullness of the Father and the Son. It is Their Spirit! With His Spirit, Jesus is our Comforter. God the Father is invisible to our mortal eyes. The only way to know God is through His Son, Who inspired the writers of the Bible. Jesus inherited His Godhead from the Father. The fulness of the Father lives in Christ because it pleased the Father to do so:

- "For it pleased the Father that in him should all fulness dwell" {Colossians 1:19}
- "For in Him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead **bodily**." {Colossians 2:9}

If 'Godhead' means a 'Trinity', then how is the fullness of the Trinity bodily in Christ? 'Godhead' does not mean 'Trinity', but 'Divine Nature'. Ellen White never said that in Christ is the fullness of the Trinity in bodily form.

The above verses confirm the statements of Ellen White where she wrote that the Father and the Son **are** the fullness of the Deity, which means that God the Father Himself lives in Jesus.

- "The Father gave **HIS Spirit without measure to His Son, and we** also may partake of **its fullness.**" {Ellen White: GC, p. 477}

What else is needed to understand this old truth? This clear truth helps us understand what this next quote says:

- "**The eternal Godhead**—the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost—is involved in the action required to make assurance to the human agent, ... confederating the heavenly powers with the human that man may become, through heavenly efficiency, partakers of the divine nature and workers together with Christ." {Ellen White: UL 148.4}

The term, "The Eternal Godhead - the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost", does not refer to a single merged Deity of three persons or three Divine Beings. This word is an adjective of the 'eternal Deity' of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, not a noun, and it describes the Divine attributes (such as love and mercy) of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit emanating from them.

If the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son, then, of course, He has Divine, and not human or inanimate, attributes, and as such, He can only pass on God's love and mercy to us. In this quote, there is only a less precise description of the adjective Godhead which is explained in-depth in other quotations, where we have seen that "God the Father IS the Deity", that "Jesus, the Son, IS the Deity" and that "the Holy Spirit is IN that Deity of the Father and the Son".

- "**Christ had not ceased to be God when He became man. Though He had humbled Himself to humanity, the Godhead was still His own.** Christ alone could represent the Father to humanity, and this representation the disciples had been privileged to behold for over three years." {Ellen White: DA 663.5}

The Godhead still belonged to Christ even after His incarnation. Did a Trinity belong to Christ even after His incarnation?

- "Christ in His **Godhead** shone forth as He burst from the tomb, and rose triumphant over death and the grave." {Ellen White: ST May 30, 1895. TA 209.4}

Did Jesus shine in His 'Trinity', or is it that Godhead does not mean 'Trinity' or 'God' after all? Godhead, as an adjective, depending on the context may mean the Divine nature, status, or quality, and even people can receive it as a gift:

- "As in humility they submitted to the molding influence of the Holy Spirit, **they received of the fullness of the Godhead and were fashioned in the likeness of the divine.**" {Ellen White: AA 49.3}
- "Through being partakers of the divine nature we may stand pure and Holy and undefiled. The **Godhead** was not made human, **and the human** was not deified by the blending together of the **two natures**. Christ did not possess the same sinful, corrupt, fallen disloyalty we possess, for then He could not be a perfect offering." {Ellen White: Manuscript 94, 1893. Manuscript Releases, Vol. 6, pp. 110-112}

Could it be that the Trinity was incarnated? Was the Trinity mixed with human nature? The real truth is that Jesus' Divine Sinless Nature (Godhead), His Divine Personality, was mixed with His human nature on Earth! If the Holy Spirit were the fullness of the Godhead, which the adherents of the Trinity want to prove, then it would mean that the Holy Spirit himself is the "fullness of the Trinity", and thus the Trinity itself!

However, a deeper analysis of the translation of the quote in the book *Evangelism*, shows us not only that the word 'Trinity' is included in it (while it does not exist in any quote from Ellen White), but also that 'Godhead' is translated differently into our language, i.e. translated as "triune" God, and in another place as 'God the Father'. The word 'Trinity', which appears as a subtitle on the website 'whiteestate.org', was never written by Ellen White!

Did Dr. Froom attack the truth in other areas as well? In the year **1957**, in his book "**Questions on Doctrine**", Froom wrote that reconciliation was completed through Jesus' sacrifice on the cross. This was the clash of Calvinist and Adventist theology. Which one ended up crushed to dust? Adventist theology, of course! If the whole process of reconciliation is completed on the cross, **Jesus' intercession** in the heavenly sanctuary, described in the Epistle to the Hebrews, **becomes meaningless**. If everything ended on the cross, then we would be saved in advance, and thus we would get the message that we did not need to fight against sin! And that is exactly what the Calvinists teach. And not only that, but they also teach that the end of the time of probation is only symbolic, because it is "impossible" to abandon sin. Did Ellen White announce this attack on the truth from the Epistle to the Hebrews?

- "We cannot find words fitly to explain the latest development of ideas held by some. They contain threads of pantheism. These ideas are so mixed with the truth, that the truth is made of no effect. These specious theories constitute **a denial** of the personality of God, **the atonement of Christ and His work in the sanctuary**. They take away the vital principles which have made us a separate people." {Ellen White: MS 8, 1914, Part 32}

The teaching that Jesus took Adam's pre-fall nature when He came to Earth came from Dr. Froom as well:

Dr. Froom:

- "Christ's flesh and nature in the incarnation were different from ours. He was "exempt" from our genetic inheritance, and took only **the sinless nature of Adam as it was before the fall**". {Dr. **LeRoy Froom**; *Questions of Doctrine*, p. 383, and heading, p. 650}

Ellen White:

- "He **took** upon himself fallen, **suffering** human nature, degraded and **defiled by sin**". {Ellen White: YI, 20. December 1900}

Nick Ionashku - Froomism:



The only power in defeating sin = Jesus OR the third Being/Person/Personality, the Holy Spirit?

Originals:

Our only defense against sin is Jesus alone:

- **"CHRIST is the source of every right impulse. He is the ONLY ONE who can arouse in the natural heart enmity against sin. He is the source of our power if we would be saved.** No soul can repent without the grace of Christ. The sinner may pray that he may know how to repent. God reveals Christ to the sinner, and when he sees the purity of the Son of God, he is not ignorant of the character of sin. **By faith in the work and POWER of Christ, enmity against sin and satan is created in his heart.**" {Ellen White: RH, 1. April 1890 par. 5}
- **"The ONLY DEFENCE against evil is the indwelling of CHRIST in the heart through faith in His righteousness."** {Ellen White: DA, p. 324}
- **"Our condition through sin has become preternatural, and the power that restores us must be supernatural, else it has no value. There is but ONE POWER that can break the hold of evil from the hearts of men, and i.e. the power of God in JESUS Christ."** {Ellen White: 8T, p. 291 1904}

Falsifications:

- **"Sin could be resisted and overcome only** through the mighty agency of the **THIRD Person** of the **Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power." {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}
- **"The prince of the power of evil can only be held** in check by the **power of God** in the **THIRD person of the Godhead, the Holy Spirit.**" {Ellen White: SpT, Series A, No. 10, p. 37. 1897} {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses, in conjunction with the remaining verses and texts, cannot provide any evidence in favor of the Trinity. By isolating some text, we can prove almost any heresy.

We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **can not, at the same time, oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret expressions like "three heavenly powers", without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of the falsifications, we can still see in the text below, that even if these texts were original, the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven!**

At first glance, the quote below could be used as a strong support for the Trinity:

- **"Sin could be resisted and overcome only through** the mighty agency of the **Third Person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power." {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}

However, we should also read the other quotes to have a complete and clear picture:

- **"We want the Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ."** {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

- **“Cumbered with humanity, CHRIST could not be in every place personally;** therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and **send the Holy Spirit to be His successor on earth.** The **Holy Spirit IS HIMSELF**, divested of the personality of humanity and independent thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by **His Holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent.**” {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

When we read the first quote, we can read that **ONLY** the Holy Spirit can separate us from our sins! The other two quotes though, state that Jesus personally is the Holy Spirit, which shows us that the first quote does not support a Trinity! We read below the continuation of the above quote, that the Holy Spirit is also the power of Jesus.

- “It is the **Spirit THAT** makes effectual what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer. It is by the Spirit that the heart is made pure. Through the Spirit the believer becomes a partaker of the divine nature. **Christ has given His Spirit as a Divine power** to overcome all hereditary and cultivated tendencies to evil, and to impress His own character upon His church.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}

This text does not say that God is a “Trinity” or that the Holy Spirit is a “third God”, but that the Spirit of God is the divine power of Jesus, which He gave to us so we could conquer sin. We have already read the meaning of this text in the chapter ‘Evangelism’ - that the Holy Spirit is **IN** the Father and the Son, while the Father and Son **ARE** the fullness of Godhead. The designation of the Holy Spirit as a ‘third person’ or ‘another comforter’ means the presence and power of Jesus, through which we are strengthened, while His physical body is not near us! If we isolated the first half of the verse, we could end in the wrong direction, however when we take the whole text in context, we have clear evidence against the Trinity! We have already seen, that the person-personality can only denote one characteristic:

- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics.** It is stated in such a way that I concluded that there might be personality without bodily form which is possessed by the Father and the Son.” {**W. C. White, 1935**, Letter to H. W. Carr}

Do the following words describe an independent being? If that is the case, does it mean that the Holy Spirit stands above God and Jesus, because as the quote says, only His power could help us in the fight against sin? This would actually destroy the doctrine of the Trinity because the doctrine teaches three Beings of equal rank.

- “The **prince of the power of evil** can **only** be held in check by the power of God in the **third person of the Godhead, the Holy Spirit.**” {Ellen White: SpT, Series A, No. 10, p. 37. 1897 and Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}

The following quotes from the Spirit of Prophecy confirm the answer to the question about what is the only force that can free our hearts from the bondage of sin. As we will read, it is the power of God through Jesus Christ:

- “The **ONLY defense against evil** is the indwelling of **Christ in the heart** through faith in His righteousness.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 324}
- “The **Spirit was given as a regenerating agency**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail. The power of evil had been strengthening for centuries, and the submission of man to this satanic captivity was amazing. **SIN could be RESISTED and overcome ONLY through the mighty agency of the THIRD person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fulness of divine power. It is the Spirit that makes effectual what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer. It is by the Spirit that the heart is made pure. Through the Spirit the believer becomes a partaker of the divine nature. **CHRIST has given HIS SPIRIT as a divine power** to overcome all hereditary and cultivated tendencies to evil, and to impress His own character upon the church.” {Ellen White: RH, May 19, 1904 par. 3}

- **"Christ** is the source of every right impulse. **He is the ONLY ONE** who can **arouse in the natural heart enmity against sin. He is the source of our power** if we would be saved. No soul can repent without the grace of Christ. The sinner may pray that he may know how to repent. God reveals Christ to the sinner, and when he sees the purity of the Son of God, he is not ignorant of the character of sin. **By faith in the work and power of Christ, enmity against sin** and Satan is created in his heart." {Ellen White: RH, 1. April, 1890 par. 5}
- "Our condition through sin has become preternatural, and the power that restores us must be supernatural, else it has no value. There is **but ONE power that can BREAK the hold of evil from the hearts of men, and i.e. the power of God in JESUS Christ.**" {Ellen White: 8T, p. 291 **1904**}

The Holy Spirit, the "third person", the Spirit of Jesus, is our source of renewal. We read in the last quote, that the power of Christ is that which gives us the strength to resist sin. Ellen White wrote it well. She said that Jesus saves us with His Spirit, and that this Spirit is not another individual being like the Father and the Son are. Only Jesus can give us victory over sin. His spirit is His representative, with Whom He restores the lost image of God in us and is only in that sense symbolical the third personality of God. The representatives of the doctrine of the Trinity take this expression in isolation as "proof" of the "third" God who exists alongside Father and Jesus.

We can see in the Bible (Revelation 1:4; 3:1; 4:5; 5:6; Isaiah 11:2; 1 Corinthians 6:19; 12:13) that all three persons living in heaven, what should clearly show us that the Holy Spirit is not a dead personality, as Dr. Kellogg had taught in the first stage of his apostasy until he moved on to the literal Trinity in the second faze of his apostasy. Of course, the Holy Spirit is alive because it is the presence of the Father and the Son. More specifically, the Holy Spirit is the service and manifestation of Christ's power and omnipresence, and His Holy life. In the quotations below we clearly see that the life of Jesus is what frees us from sin. The life of Jesus is His Spirit. This Comforter, the Holy Spirit, is what we call, in our limited understanding, the third personality of the Godhead, but which is not a third independent being!

- "So the **life-giving power of the Holy Spirit, proceeding from Christ**, and imparted to every disciple, pervades the soul, renews the motives and affections, and even the most secret thoughts, and brings forth the precious fruit of Holy deeds." {Ellen White: 3SP, p. 418, 1878}
- "The Holy Spirit was the highest of all gifts that he could solicit from His Father for the exaltation of His people. The **Spirit was to be given as a regenerating agent**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail." {Ellen White: RH, 19. November, 1908 par. 5}
- "That the Holy Spirit is to be the **grand helper**, is a wonderful promise. Of what avail would it have been to us that the only begotten Son of God had humbled Himself, endured the temptations of the wily foe, and wrestled with Him during His entire life on earth, and died the Just for the unjust that humanity might not perish, if the Spirit had not been given as a constant, working, **regenerating agent** to make effectual in our cases what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer?" {Ellen White: 3SM, p. 137.1}
- "Not until the **life of Christ becomes a vitalising power** in our lives can **we resist the temptations** that assail us from within and from without." {Ellen White: MH, p. 130}
- "**Christ** declared that **after His ascension, he would send to His church**, as His crowning gift, the **Comforter, who was to take His place. This Comforter is the Holy Spirit,—the soul of HIS (Jesus) life**, the efficacy of His church, the light and life of the world. **With HIS Spirit** Christ sends a reconciling influence and a power that takes away sin." {Ellen White: RH, 19. May **1904** par. 1}

If this “great helper” would be himself a Divine Being, like Father and the Son are, wouldn’t it then be disrespectful to call him a “regenerating agent”? The Holy Spirit has a personality because it is the living presence of the Lord Jesus. According to Revelation, the Holy Spirit comes out from the Father and is given to His Son Jesus, Who then sends it into the Universe as God’s power, although of course, we cannot understand how that works. We accept everything that the Word of God says without developing our own, non-biblical philosophy about it!

- “Simon **Peter**, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ, to them that **have obtained like precious faith** with us through the righteousness of **God (Father) and our Saviour Jesus Christ**: Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the **knowledge of God, and of Jesus** our Lord, According as **His divine power** hath given unto us all things that pertain unto life and godliness, through the knowledge of Him that hath called us to glory and virtue: Whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises: **that by these ye might be partakers of the Divine nature**, having escaped the corruption i.e. in the world through lust.” {2. Peter 1:1-4}
- “The **Holy Spirit**, which **proceeds from the only begotten Son of God**, binds the human agent, body, soul, and spirit, to the perfect, divine-human nature of Christ. This union is represented by the union of the vine and the branches. Finite man is united to the manhood of Christ. Through faith human nature is assimilated with Christ’s nature. We are made one with God in Christ.” {Ellen White: RH, 5. April **1906**, par. 16}

Through our belief in Jesus we receive the promised gift, the Holy Spirit, and we know that this is the greatest gift that God could give to us. This gift is His only begotten Son, Who gives us life through His Divine power, so that we can **be partakers of the Divine nature**. The third person is the personal presence of God and His Son Jesus:

- “**Christ tells us** that the **Holy Spirit is the Comforter**, and the Comforter is the Holy Ghost, “the Spirit of truth, which the Father shall send in My name. [...] This refers to the **omnipresence of the Spirit of Christ, called the Comforter**.” {Ellen White: 14MR, p. 179}
- “The **divine Spirit** that the world’s Redeemer promised to send, is the **presence and power of God**.” {Ellen White: ST, November 23, 1891}
- “Yes; in giving the Holy Spirit, it was impossible for God to give more. To this gift nothing could be added. By it all needs are supplied. The **Holy Spirit is the vital presence of God**, and if appreciated will call forth praise and thanksgiving, and will ever be springing up unto everlasting life. The restoration of the Spirit is the covenant of grace.” {Ellen White: ST, 7. august **1901**}

Because the Father and the Son are omnipresent, Their Holy Spirit also present in heaven. It is also present at our baptism, as the manifestation of God and Christ.

- “The **Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost**, powers infinite and omniscient, receive those who truly enter into covenant relation with God. They are present at every baptism, to receive the candidates who have renounced the world and have received Christ into the soul temple.” {Ellen White: 6BC, p 1075.5}

We know that the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and goes through the Son into the whole Universe and to our hearts. If that were not the case, it would mean that Jesus “does not” give us His power (although He is the One knocking on the door of our hearts)!

Of course, when He is in our hearts, He gives us power through His Holy Spirit.

- “The **only defense against evil is** the indwelling of **Christ IN the heart**.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 324}

- "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of His. And if Christ be IN you, the body is dead because of sin; but the Spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the Spirit of Him that raised up Jesus from the dead dwell IN you, he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies by His Spirit that dwelleth in you." {Romans 8:9-11}

God the Father sent His Son Jesus again, after He raised Him, this time as His Holy Spirit, so that He can support us to win the battle against sin. We know that the Holy Spirit is blessing us! Otherwise, the word of the prophet of God would contradict itself.

Since Ellen White first wrote that the Holy Spirit is the only force against sin, and because she gave the same attribute to Jesus, we can interpret the whole context as the Holy Spirit being Jesus personally, in another form.

- "Unto you first **God**, having **raised up His Son Jesus**, **SENT HIM to bless you**, in turning away every one of you from His iniquities." {Acts 3:26}

IT or Person - Personality - Being?

Did EGW use the term "person or personality" when she referred to the Holy Spirit? Those two expressions contradict all other EGW quotes about the Holy Spirit. We can read in the quote below that Ellen White explicitly preceded the Adventists from the apostasy teachings of A.T. Jones. He claimed, that the Holy Spirit is one being (person).

Ellen White wrote in **1906** that A.T. **Jones** had chosen the deceptions of **satanic** power:

- "I am **sorry for A. T. Jones**, who has been **warned over and over again**. Notwithstanding these warnings, he has allowed the enemy to fill his mind with thoughts of self-importance. **Heed not his words**, for he has **rejected the plainest light** and has **chosen darkness instead**. The Holy One (1. Peter 1,16 = Jesus) hath given us messages clear and distinct, but some poor souls have been blinded by the falsehoods and the deceptive **influences of satanic agencies** and have turned from truth and righteousness to follow these fallacies of satanic origin." {EGW Ms 39, **1906.5**}

One year later, A.T. Jones wrote in 'The Medical Missionary' that we must "**FINALLY**" "**understand**" and **ASSUME that the Holy Spirit is not an IT**, but a **person**:

AT. Jones **1907**: Here we see his **demand to change the faith and accept the Holy Ghost as a person**:

- "And the **Holy Spirit is a person**. This **great truth is not recognized**, indeed it is **not believed, by more than a very few even of Christians**. For everybody knows that almost invariably, with very, very few exceptions, the Holy Spirit is referred to and spoken of by Christians as "it." But the word "it" never applies to a person. The **word "IT"** in the very genius of our language, **refers and applies only to things, never to persons**; to things of inanimate substance, as a stone, a horse, a tree; or to things of concept, or experience, as space, height, breadth, peace, joy, grief, an impression, an influence. But the Holy Spirit is none of these: the Holy Spirit is not an influence; nor an impression, nor peace, nor joy, nor any thing. The Holy Spirit gives peace, and gives joy, assuages in grief, makes an impression, exerts an influence; but the Holy Spirit is none of these things, nor any other thing. No, eternally no! The **Holy Spirit is a Person**, eternally a divine Person. And **he must be always recognized** and **spoken of as a person**, or he is not truly recognised or spoken of at all." {A.T. Jones: "The Medical Missionary" page 98.5 - 98.7} {<https://m.egwwritings.org/en/book/1162.98>}

The above statement by A.T. Jones, in 1907, reveals the LIE that Church of that day, along with the Pioneers and Ellen White, had accepted the Trinity doctrine. If the terms 'person' or 'personality' were used to describe the Holy spirit around that time, why did A.T. Jones write that **apart from just a few people** (actually those which brought the apostasy in our church at that time), **ALL other Adventists** described the **Holy Spirit** with the word **IT**?

It is quite strange if the SDA congregation had really accepted the Trinity at that time, as it is claimed today with written "pieces of evidence" that actually only came from the apostasy teachers of that time.

Ellen White wrote that A.T. Jones was hopeless in his apostasy, and in fact, was a servant of Dr. Kellogg:

Ellen White **1908**: A.T. Jones and Dr. Kellogg, together, proclaim the same heresy:

- "We did **not see anything** to encourage us in the **hope** that Elder **Jones is coming out from the darkness i.e. upon him**. He feels that he understands himself; but he is as the blind and is feeling about to know what step to take next. I felt that I must speak plainly to him. I told him that notwithstanding the warnings that had been given regarding **Dr. Kellogg's influence** over him, he **had gone into the very path he had been cautioned not to take**; he had not spiritual discernment to understand the doctor's religious standing. I told him that **he had become Dr. Kellogg's voice to the people**." {Ellen White, Lt 234, 1908.5}
- "He (Dr. Kellogg) told me that **he NOW believed in God the Father, God the Son, and God the Holy Ghost**; and **HIS view** was that it was **God the Holy Ghost**, and **NOT God the Father**, that filled all space, and every living thing." {Letter by A. G. Daniells to W. C. White on October 29, 1903 p. 1+2}

The only topic in the CONTINUATION of the Alpha apostasy by Dr. Kellogg was entirely false doctrine about God and the Holy Spirit. Why did EGW write this warning if the Holy Spirit was already considered God in our church? H.C. Lacey wrote a letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom, describing his old beliefs and his family's beliefs about the Trinity.

In this letter, the question is not whether H.C. Lacey believed in the Trinity or not, but rather about his statement that, in the Adventist church, the Holy Spirit was described as "it", and everyone had rejected the Holy Spirit as a 3rd being!

- "As to any **special controversy**, or agitation, over the matter of the **Trinity**, I cannot recall anything serious at all. Of course I have always known that elder **Uriah Smith** was an **Arian** in belief, ('Thoughts on Daniel and the Revelation' reveal that!) and that **our people undoubtedly generally followed that view**. But we, as a family, had been brought up in the Church of England, and were naturally, may I say, Trinitarians. We just believed it, subconsciously, and I do not remember our ever discussing the question with the brethren who brought us into the Truth, Elder M. C. Israel, and young brother W. L. H. Baker. One thing I do recall is my mother's remarking on the strange language **used by our ministers in speaking of the Holy Ghost as IT and 'ITs'** as though they thought of the Holy Spirit as an influence, instead of as a Person. That seemed very strange to her, and in a measure to me also (I was about 17 then)." {H. C. Lacey letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom Aug. 30, 1945}

God has ensured that despite the intentional changes to most of EGW quotes, some have not been changed from their original meaning. For example, in the "Great Controversy" there is a quote where she uses the word 'IT'. Those who are really looking for the old truth shell find it!

- "The Father gave His Spirit without measure to His Son, and we also may partake of **IT's** fullness." {Ellen White: Great Controversy, p. 477}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is **NO falsification** of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses, in **conjunction** with the remaining verses and texts, cannot provide any evidence in favour of the Trinity. **By isolating some text, we can prove almost any heresy.** We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot, at the same time, oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret expressions like “three heavenly powers”, without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of the falsifications, we can still see in the text below, that even if these texts were original, the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven!** At first glance, the quote below could be used as a strong support for the Trinity:

Who is the third person? A being? According to the Spirit of Prophecy, it is not:

- “Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They **have one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks.” {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3 **1905**}
- “We want the **Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**” {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

If a person has a body, life, and spirit, is their spirit another being or only a part of this person? At the same time, the expression “my son” naturally means another being, which does not apply to a spirit, because a spirit is always only a part of a being! Most of Ellen White's quotes, nearly 100,000 pages, state that the Holy Spirit is actually the Spirit of the Father and the Spirit of Jesus. On the other hand there's about ten quotes that the impression that the Holy Ghost could be one Divine being, but these quotes are the minority.

Therefore, using these isolated quotes as “evidence” for the Trinity doctrine, is a not correct way to find the truth. If you really want to know who or what the Holy Spirit is, you should evaluate ALL the inspired quotes to find the answer, and not just the isolated quotes. That is the method that the theologians are using to prove the unbiblical Trinity. Through such methods, we could also “prove” life after death, like when we took out of context the description of the spirit of “Samuel” and Saul.

- “**Sin could be resisted and overcome ONLY through** the mighty agency of the **Third person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}
- “Our sanctification is the **work of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit.** It is the fulfillment of the covenant God has made with those who bind themselves up with Him, to stand **with Him, His Son, and His Spirit in Holy fellowship.** Have you been born again? Have you become a new being in Christ Jesus? Then **cooperate with the three great powers of heaven** who are working in your behalf.” {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 908.11, 1901}

But why do these apparently opposing quotes exist in the writings of Ellen White? It may seem like they are opposing, however, Ellen White explains in other of her writings the meaning of such quotes. An important principle to keep in mind is: The quotes of a prophet can only be in harmony with the rest of their writings, because otherwise, the prophet would not be a prophet of God! We can see in her quotes that Ellen White uses the term ‘third personality’ to emphasise that the Holy Spirit is not an unconscious energy but a different kind of manifestation of Jesus, this time omnipresent and independent of His body.

Jesus is personally among us and in us through His Spirit. The Holy Spirit, the Spirit of Jesus, is certainly not a deadly force. The Spirit of Prophecy calls this conscious presence of God a conscious personality, which shows us the difference to the teaching of Dr. Kellogg, who pantheistically described the Holy Spirit as an “unconscious force”.

EGW also wrote that the Holy Spirit is the personal presence of Jesus, separate from His human personality. This is something hard for us humans to comprehend. But despite it being hard for us to comprehend how that works, we do not have guidance about having a third false god! Ellen White wrote clearly that, the Holy Spirit is not a third God, but the Spirit of Jesus!

- “The **Holy Spirit is Himself** (Jesus) **divested of the personality of humanity** and independent thereof. **He would represent Himself as present in all places by His Holy Spirit**, as the **Omnipresent**.” {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}
- “This refers to the **OMNIPRESENCE** of the **SPIRIT OF CHRIST**, called the Comforter. ...There are many **mysteries** which I do not seek to understand or to explain; they are too high for me, and too high for you. **On some of these points, silence is golden**.” {Ellen White: 14MR, June 11, 1891, p. 179.2}

Although Ellen White warned us, that SILENCE is GOLD (about the Holy Spirit of Jesus), the fallen theologians lift up the Holy Spirit as a third God! When we talk about this question, we should be particularly careful about the meaning of individual words, as Joseph Waggoner, one of our most important pioneers and one of the greatest fighters against the Trinity, himself said:

- “There is one question, which has been much controverted in the theological world upon which we have never presumed to enter. It is that of the **personality of the Spirit of God**. Prevailing ideas of person are very diverse, often crude, and the word is differently understood; so that unity of opinion on this point cannot be expected until all shall be able to define precisely what they mean by the word, or until all shall agree upon one particular sense in which the word shall be used. But as this agreement does not exist, it seems that a discussion of the subject cannot be profitable, especially as **it is not a question of direct revelation**.” {J. H. Waggoner: The Spirit of God, p. 8+9}

He is telling us here that when we talk about the Holy Spirit, we should pay more attention to the definition of the word 'personality' because it can have different meanings. **William White** also explains that he did not correctly understand what his mother was teaching about the Holy Spirit until he found the dictionary meaning of the word 'personality':

- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that **one of the meanings of personality, was characteristics**. It is stated in such a way that I concluded that there might be personality without bodily form which is possessed by the Father and the Son” {**W. C. White, 1935**, Letter to H. W. Carr}

What is one of the meanings of the word 'person - personality'?

- “In **describing to His disciples the office work of the Holy Spirit**, Jesus sought to inspire them with the joy and hope that inspired His own heart. He rejoiced because of the abundant help He had provided for His church. The **Holy Spirit was the highest of all gifts** that He could solicit from His Father for the exaltation of His people. **The Spirit was to be given as a regenerating agent**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail. The power of evil had been strengthening for centuries, and the submission of men to this satanic captivity was amazing. **Sin could be resisted and overcome only through the mighty agency of the Third Person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power. It is the Spirit that makes effectual what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer. It is by the Spirit that the heart is made pure. Through the Spirit the believer becomes a partaker of the divine nature. **Christ has given His Spirit as a divine power to overcome all hereditary and cultivated tendencies to evil**, and to impress His own character upon His church.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 671. + RH, 19. November 1908}

This quote expands on how to understand the Spirit of Jesus as "the third person of Godhead": It is the presence of Jesus, His power, His service, and His gift, without which His sacrifice would be useless. We already know that Divinity does not mean a being, but a characteristic. As is known in the Adventist Church, Ellen White used Noah Webster's 1828 dictionary, which in definition 6 explains the different meanings of the word person-personality:

- **"How different is the same man from himself, as he sustains the Person of a magistrate and **that of** a friend."** {Noah Webster: Person – 6th Character of office}

A person, although being a single individual, can have different roles. Each of his roles represents a special person, in a sense. For example, as a judge, a person has a different personality, in terms of his service and behavior, than in his private life or than as a friend. The Bible also uses the term 'another' when describing the same individual:

- **"And the Spirit of the LORD will come upon thee, and thou shalt prophesy with them, and shalt **be turned into another man**."** {1 Samuel 10:6}

As the Holy Spirit came into him, Saul temporarily became a different person, which means that he received a different personality. We can use Webster's definition of the word personality. At the moment when he received the Holy Spirit, Saul was already the king of Israel, but with the Holy spirit, he received a new ministry as a prophet, and through this, he became one different person, although being the same individual. This is how Saul could be a different person as a king and as a prophet.

- **"While Jesus ministers in the sanctuary above, He is still by His Spirit the minister of the church on earth.** He is withdrawn from the eye of sense, but His parting promise is fulfilled, "Lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world." (Matthew 28:20.) While He delegates His power to inferior ministers, His energising presence is still with His church." {Ellen White: DA, p. 166}
- **"The Holy Spirit is a person, for He beareth witness with our spirits that we are the children of God. When this witness is borne, it carries with it its own evidence. At such times we believe and are sure that we are the children of God...The Holy Spirit has a personality, else He could not bear witness to our spirits and with our spirits that we are the children of God. He must also be a divine person, else He could not search out the secrets which lie hidden in the mind of God. **"For what man knoweth the things of a man, save the spirit of man which is in him? even so the things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God."** {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 616+617}**

Although the first part of the quote leaves the impression that the Holy Spirit could be a "being", we have to seek the truth more deeply with an honest heart.

And this is already in the second part of this quote! Does God (the Father) Himself not know what is in Him, but only a "third being" His Holy Spirit?

- **"But **God (the Father) hath revealed them unto us by HIS Spirit:** for the Spirit searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God. For what man knoweth the things of a man, save the spirit of man which is in him? even so the **things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God**. Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the **spirit which is OF God**; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God." {1 Corinthians 2:12}**

The apostle Paul compares man with his spirit and our God with His spirit. And just as the human spirit is not a different and independent being, but is only a part of man, so the spirit of God is also only part of His personality.

- “The greatness of God is to us incomprehensible. **“The Lord's throne is in heaven”** (Psalm 11:4); **yet by HIS Spirit HE is everywhere present. HE has an intimate knowledge** of, and a personal interest in, all the works of His hand.” {Ellen White: Ed, p. 132}

The Spirit has a personality because God has personality. Through the gift of His Spirit, God gives Himself to us. Of course, when God gives Himself to us in spirit, He does not remain without His personality. The Holy Spirit is not a faceless power or essence. No, IT is very personal and intimate. IT is the personality of God. The Spirit is God's DIVINE person. This is because God, from Whom IT proceeds, is a DIVINE Person.

- “As the divine endowment—the **power of the Holy Spirit—was given to the disciples**, so it will today be given to all who seek aright. This power alone is able to make us wise unto salvation and to fit us for the courts above. Christ wants to give us a blessing that will make us Holy. “These things have I spoken unto you,” He says, “that My joy might remain in you, and that your joy might be full.” (John 15:11.) Joy in the Holy Spirit is health-giving, life-giving joy. **In giving us His Spirit, God gives us Himself**, making Himself a fountain of Divine influences, to give health and life to the world.” {Ellen White: 7T, p. 273}
- **“God is a Spirit; yet He is a personal Being; for so He has revealed Himself.”** {Ellen White: MH, p. 413}

The Holy Spirit is a Person because God is a Person. The Holy Spirit is not a third Divine being, but the Personality of God the Father. The Holy Spirit is also the personality of Christ:

- “It is the **Spirit** that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing; the words that I speak unto you, they are Spirit, and they are life.” **Christ is not here referring to His doctrine, but to His Person, the Divinity of His character.**” {Ellen White: Review and Herald, 5. April 1906 par. 12}

The term ‘third-person – personality’, which is used for the Holy Spirit, shows us that the Holy Spirit is the manifestation of Jesus, but independent of His body and physical presence. During Jesus speaks personally with the Beings present in heaven, His Holy Spirit represents His symbolically second personality, because Jesus speaks at the same time through His Holy Spirit with all Beings in the whole universe.

- “No truth is more clearly taught in the Bible than that **God by His Holy Spirit especially directs His servants on Earth** in the great movements for the carrying forward of the work of salvation.” {Ellen White: GC, p. 343}
- “The **imparted Holy Spirit enabled His disciples, the apostles**, to stand firmly against every species of idolatry and to **exalt the Lord and Him alone**. Who, but **Jesus Christ by His Spirit and divine power**, guided the pens of the sacred historians that to the world might be presented the precious record of the sayings and works of Jesus Christ?” {Ellen White: 3SM 137.2}

Who did His disciples have, according to the Bible? Only Jesus, of course! Through those words, we can see that Jesus is also His Holy Spirit!

All of this helps us to understand the following words of the Spirit of Prophecy, which never contradict each other (although if used isolated, it could support another opinion):

- “The **Holy Spirit is the Comforter, in Christ's name. He personifies Christ, yet is a distinct personality**. We may have the Holy Spirit if we ask for it and make it [a] habit to turn to and trust in God rather than in any finite human agent who may make mistakes.” {Ellen White: 20MR 324.2}

What is this quote describing? Let us repeat: Christ is in heaven as our heavenly High Priest and He is, at the same time, personally present on Earth through His Holy Spirit - the Comforter. We have read in many other quotes from Ellen White that only Jesus is the Comforter. We can see in context then, that the Comforter and the heavenly High Priest are two different personalities of the same being, Jesus Christ. Just like Saul was a different person as a king and as a prophet.

Jesus is personally present in us through His Spirit, and He personally sees and hears everything. That is no other personality of Jesus, i.e. the personality of Jesus in a different form, in a different manifestation than physical. The Holy Spirit is the presence of God and Christ but is manifested as one personality, not two. He is, at the same time, the Spirit of Jesus and of the Father. How? We do not understand this, and it has not been revealed to us or the angels. But this is not a reason for us to take a few quotes out of context and make a new God out of them! Ellen White is very clear on this question:

- "We cannot be with Christ in person, as were His first disciples, but He has sent **HIS Holy Spirit** to guide us into all truth." {Ellen White: Ms 30, **1900**}
- "Christ is not now with us in person, but through the agency of the Holy Spirit." {Ellen White: RH, September 16, **1909**}

Avondale: Who was the Person walking around us?

Avondale is an Adventist school in Australia where Ellen White spoke in 1899. There she talked about the Holy Spirit. The record of her words about the Holy Ghost has been subtly adapted and is often used by the promoters of the Trinity to represent the Holy Spirit as an independent Divine being.

Changed:

- "We need to realise that the **Holy Spirit**, who **is as much a person as God is a person**, is **walking through these grounds**." {Ellen White: Evangelism 616, 1899 From a talk to the Students at Avondale-School in Australia}

If this supplemented quote were really true, elevating the Holy Spirit to the level of God, it would have to harmonise with the following quotes:

Originals:

- "**CHRIST WALKS UNSEEN through our streets**. With messages of mercy He comes to our homes. With all who are seeking to minister in His name, He waits to co-operate. He is in the midst of us, to heal and to bless, if we will **receive Him**." {Ellen White: The Ministry of Healing, p. 107}
- "Remember that **Jesus is beside you wherever you go, noting your actions and listening** to your words. Would you be ashamed to **hear His voice** speaking to you, and to know that he hears your conversation?" {Ellen White: YI, February 4. 1897 par. 3}
- "The **Lord Jesus standing by the side of the canvasser, walking with them**, is the chief worker. If we recognise Christ as the One who is with us to prepare the way, the Holy Spirit by our side will make impressions in just the lines needed." {Ellen White: CM, p. 107}
- "We want the **Holy SPIRIT, which is JESUS Christ**." {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses, in conjunction with the remaining verses and texts, cannot provide any evidence in favour of the Trinity. By isolating some text, we can prove almost any heresy.

We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot, at the same time, oppose and support the Trinity**. This also shows us the only way to interpret expressions like “three heavenly powers”, without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of the falsifications, we can still see in the text below, that even if these texts were original, the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven!**

Avondale is an Adventist school where Ellen White gave a talk during its opening in 1899. She talked about the Holy Spirit there and those words are often quoted by promoters of the Trinity, to represent the Holy Spirit as a divine being. Who is this third Person? Is it a Third Being or is it the personal presence of Jesus, in a different way? According to the Spirit of Prophecy, it is certainly not a third Divine Being!

- “Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks.” {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, **1905**}
- “We want the **Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ**.” {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

The following quote, which is found in the book ‘Evangelism’, is a shortened version of the full quote:

- “We need to realise that the Holy Spirit, who is as much a person as God is a person, is walking through these grounds...” {Ellen White: Evangelism 616, 1899, From a talk to the Students at Avondale-School in Australia}

The original quote without abbreviation:

- “The **Lord** (Jesus) says this because He knows it is for our good. He would build a wall around us, to keep us from transgression, so that His blessing and love may be bestowed on us in rich measure. This is the reason we have established a school here. The Lord instructed us that this was the place in which we should locate, and we have had every reason to think that we are in the right place. We have been brought together as a school, and we need to realise that the Holy **Spirit, who is as much a person as God is a person, is walking through these grounds**, unseen by human eyes, **that the LORD GOD is our Keeper and Helper. HE** (God) **hears every word** we utter and knows every thought of the mind.” {Ellen White: Ms 66, 1899} {Ellen White: Talk, April 13, 1899} {Ellen White: 7MR 299.2}

At first glance, this quote, especially the short version when is taken out of context, appears to clearly support the doctrine of the Trinity and the “third” Divine Being. But if we carefully read the whole quote, we can see that it says that the Holy Spirit is a person, because God is also a person, this time present in a different way than on the throne.

- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the **dictionary** that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics**” {William C. White, **1935**, Letter to H.W. Carr}

We continue to read in the quote that God is our protector and that God himself is the One Who knows our thoughts. So we see here too that it is His spirit!

The Holy Spirit never has the title of 'Lord' or 'God'! Jesus is the one that has the title of 'Lord'. We can see that Jesus knows our thoughts and hears our words! We read the same message in this quote as in the one below, namely that Jesus was also among them in Avondale through His Spirit.

- “The **Lord Jesus standing by the side of the canvasser, walking with them**, is the chief worker. If **we recognise Christ as the One who is with us** to prepare the way, the **Holy Spirit by our side will make impressions in just the lines needed.**” {Ellen White: CM, p. 107}
- “Cumbered with humanity, **Christ** could not be in every place personally; therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and send the Holy Spirit to be His successor on earth. The **Holy Spirit is Himself**, divested of the personality of humanity and independence thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by His Holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent.” {Ellen White: Lt119, 1895.18}
- “Remember that **Jesus is beside you wherever you go, noting your actions and listening to your words.** Would you be ashamed to hear His voice speaking to you, and to know that he hears your conversation?” {Ellen White: YI, February 4. 1897 par. 3}
- “The Rock of faith is the **living presence of Christ in the church.**” {Ellen White: DA 414.3}
- “Yes; in **giving the Holy Spirit**, it was impossible for **God** to give more. To this gift nothing could be added. By it all needs are supplied. The **Holy Spirit is the vital presence of God**, and if appreciated will call forth praise and thanksgiving, and will ever be springing up unto everlasting life. The restoration of the Spirit is the covenant of grace.” {Ellen White: ST, 7. august 1901}

All of these quotes refer to Jesus as the one Who is present among us. But since we know that He is in the heavenly sanctuary, the only meaning of the above quotes is that Jesus is with us through HIS Holy Spirit.

- “Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and, lo, I am with you always, even unto the end of the world. Amen.” {Matthew 28:20}

We cannot take apart a quote to produce a false statement, as has been the case with EGW's sermon from Avondale. The same constellation is with the Bible. We have to study the Bible and compare verses within their context.

Other contradicting quotes:

- “We **cannot be with Christ in person, as were His first disciples**, but He has sent **HIS Holy Spirit** to guide us into all truth.” {Ellen White: Ms 30, 1900}
- “**Christ is not now with us in person, but through the agency of the Holy Spirit.**” {Ellen White: RH, September 16, 1909}
- “The **Holy Spirit is the Comforter**, in **Christ's name. He personifies Christ**, yet is a **DISTINCT PERSONALITY**. We may have the Holy Spirit if we ask for it and make it [a] habit to turn to and trust in God rather than in any finite human agent who may make mistakes.” {Ellen White: 20MR 324.2}

The above statements tend to contradict other EGW quotes. Ellen White wrote in 1909 that only the Father and the Son know everything! If the Holy Spirit were a Person, he should know everything just like Father and Son do:

Originals:

- **"God and Christ alone know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, 1909, par. 8}
- "It is not essential for us to be able to define just **WHAT the Holy Spirit is**. Christ tells us that the Spirit is the Comforter, "the Spirit of truth, **which proceeded from the Father**." It is plainly declared regarding the Holy Spirit that, in His work of guiding men into all truth, **"He shall not speak of Himself."** John 15:26; 16:13." {Ellen White: AA 51.3}
- "The greatness of God is to us incomprehensible. "The **Lord's throne is in heaven**" (Psalm 11:4); yet **by His Spirit HE is everywhere present**. He has an intimate knowledge of, and a personal interest in, all the works of His hand." {Ellen White: Ed, p. 132}

What happened with the book "The Desire of Ages"?

Did Ellen White announce any manipulations or falsifications of her testimonies? Of course, she did, because as a prophet of God, she saw in advance what would happen to her writings. She put an end to uncoordinated quotes that completely deviate from all her other writings and from the Bible:

- "Whatever **wrong construction may be placed upon my testimony** by those who profess righteousness, yet know not God, I shall in humility go forward with my work". {Ellen White: 1SM, p. 73, Ms 4, 1883}
- "There will be those once united with us in the faith who will search for new, strange doctrines, for something odd and sensational to present to the people. They will bring in all conceivable fallacies, and will present them as coming from Mrs. White, that they may beguile souls" {Ellen White: 1SM 41.3}
- "There are those who will misinterpret the messages that God has given, in accordance with their spiritual blindness." {Ellen White: 1SM 41.5}
- "But the reports that are circulated, that any of my helpers are permitted to add matter or change the meaning of the messages I write out, are not true." {Ellen White: 1SM 50.4}
- "The Lord has said to me: "Bear the testimonies. Your work is not to settle difficulties; your work is to reprove, and to present the righteousness of Christ." {Ellen White: 1SM 52.5}
- "If the Testimonies (writings) speak not according to the word of God, reject them." {Ellen White: 5T, p. 691}

The book "Desire of Ages" is also used as one of the "main proofs" for the Trinity.

Is this "evidence" solid, given that the few quotes there don't align with the rest of the Bible and all other texts of the Spirit of Prophecy? Ellen White has written 54 books, 4,000 articles for the "Review and Herald" and "Signs of the Times", as well as about 500 other contributions to our church's literature.

Her literary legacy contains about 100,000 pages, in which other than a few isolated quotes, there is no evidence in favour of the Trinity. Ellen White was sent to Australia against her will from **1891 to 1900** so that the bearers of the apostasy could carry out the falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy without her resistance.

- "I have **not**, I think, revealed the entire workings that led me here to **Australia**. Perhaps you may never fully understand the matter. **The Lord was not in our leaving America**. He did not reveal that it was His will that I should leave Battle Creek. **The Lord did not plan this**, but He let you all move after **your own imaginings**. The Lord would have had W. C. White, his mother, and her workers remain in America, we were needed at the heart of the work, and had your spiritual perception discerned the true situation, you would never have consented to the movements made. But the Lord read the hearts of all. **There was so great a willingness to have us leave** that the Lord permitted this thing to take place. **Those who were weary of the testimonies borne were left without the persons who bore them**. Our separation from Battle Creek was to let men have their own will and way, which they thought superior to the way of the Lord." {Ellen White: 11LtMs, Lt 127, 1896, par. 4}

In 1888, just before leaving, Ellen White finished the original book "Spirit of Prophecy – **The Lord Jesus Christ**". During her **absence from the US**, EGW's secretary, **MARIAN DAVIS**, supplemented the book **without asking for EGW's approval**. According to her own statement, she "only" found suitable quotes from EGW and compiled them. Marian Davis was then surrounded by the apostasy carriers, like Professor **PRESCOTT**, and under their influence she was taken away from the old truth. In a letter to Dr. Froom, H. C. Lacey made it clear that prof Prescott, by emphasising true teachings, managed to turn them in the wrong direction, influencing Marian Davis during Ellen White's absence.

- "At that time, Professor **PRESCOTT** was tremendously interested in **presenting Christ as THE GREAT "I Am"** and in emphasising the eternity of His existence, using frequently the expression "The Eternal Son". Also he connected the "I AM" of Exodus 3:14, which of course was Christ the Second Person of the Godhead, with the fulfillment of Jesus in John 8:58, which we all agree to; but then linked it up with other "I am's" in that Gospel - 7 of them, such as "I am the Bread of life" "I am the Light of the world" "I am the door of the Sheep" etc. all very rich in their spiritual teaching - but which seemed a little far fetched to me especially as the "I am" in all those latter cases is merely in the copula in the Greek, as well as in English. But he insisted on his interpretation. Miss **Marian Davis** seemed to **fall for it**, and lo and behold, when "**Desire of Ages**" came out, there appeared that **identical teaching** on pages 24 and 25, which, I think, can be **looked for IN VAIN in any of Mrs. White's published works prior to that time**." {H. C. Lacey letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom Aug. 30, 1945}
- "In this connection, of course you know that Miss **Marian Davis** was entrusted with the preparation of "**Desire of Ages**" and that she gathered her material from every available source - from MrsWhite's books already in print, from unpublished manuscripts, from private letters, stenographical reports of her talks etc. - but perhaps you may not know that she (Miss Davis) was greatly worried about finding material for the first chapter. She appealed to me personally many times as she was arranging that chapter (and other chapters too for that matter) and I did what I could to help her; **I have good reason to believe that she also appealed to Professor Prescott for similar aid**, and got it too in far richer and more abundant measure than I could render." (H.C. Lacey letter to L.E. Froom Aug. 30, 1945)

After reading "Ellen White's" 1898 book "Desire of Ages", many theologians of the time asked the question, did EGW suddenly change her beliefs, accepting the Trinity?

- "This was greatly **displeasing to the Lord**. **The APPRENTICES were being EDUCATED in the FALSE DOCTRINES contained in the matter brought in**. And the **Review and Herald presses were sending these false doctrines out to the world**." {Ellen White: MS 20, 1903, April 3, par. 8}

Example of "addition":

Here is a quote from Ellen White's book, "The **Great Controversy** between the Lord Jesus Christ and Satan", from 1877:

- "...answered that she knew he would arise in the resurrection, at the last day. But Jesus, seeking to give a true direction to her faith, said, "I am the resurrection, and the life; he that believeth in Me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me, shall never die. Believest thou this?" {Ellen White, The Great Controversy between Christ and Satan, 1877, p. 362-363}

In **1898**, in the book "**Desire of Ages**", the book had an "addition" (marked in capital letters and underlined with a black line):

- "Martha answered, "I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection at the last day." Still seeking to give a true direction to her faith, Jesus declared, "I am the resurrection, and the life." **In Christ is LIFE, ORIGINAL, UNBORROWED, UNDERIVED.** "He that hath the Son hath life." 1 John 5:12. **THE DIVINITY** of Christ is the believer's assurance of eternal life. "He that believeth in Me," said Jesus, "though he were dead, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me shall never die. Believest thou this?" {Ellen White, Desire of Ages, **1898**, p. 530}

Even after Ellen White's death, the "corrections" continued. We all know of the case of Ellen White's 1934 statement "Desire of Ages", which previously stated that the Dove at Jesus' baptism symbolised His love and humility, which shows that the Holy Spirit was not an "independent" Being.

This statement was "accidentally" removed during the time of Dr. Froom, who, according to his statement, "harmonised" our standard literature with the beliefs of the rest of "Christianity", so that we could finally be ecumenically accepted by other churches.

Dr. Fred Veltman researched the sources of the book "Desire of Ages" in the area of GC from 1982 to 1990 and concluded that today there are no handwritten original manuscripts of that book!

Two parallel teachings about the Trinity: Is it a Fused Being or three Beings?

Are the claims of the church's "PROGRESS" in the knowledge of "TRUTH" correct?

- "One thing it is certain is soon to be realised,—**THE GREAT APOSTASY**, which is **DEVELOPING AND INCREASING AND WAXING STRONGER**, and will continue to do so until the Lord shall descend from heaven with a shout." {Ellen White: Testimonies, Series B, No.7, S. 57 & NYI February 7, 1906, par. 1}
- "But the Lord will raise up **men** (i.e. individuals) of keen perception, who will give **these truths** their proper place in the plan of God."! {Ellen White: SpTB02 51.2}

The old truth:

- "Read Genesis chapter 1. The last crowning work of God was to make man. "And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion" over the things We have created. **"So God created man in his own image."** He gave man a **personal form in God's own image**. "So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female, created he them." This is plainly defined that **God had as verily a personality as the human agencies Whom He created.**" {Ellen White: Ms 171, 1904}
- "From eternity there was a complete **unity between the Father and the Son**. They were two, yet little short of being identical; **two** in individuality, yet **one in spirit**, and heart, and character." {Ellen White: YI, December 16. 1897 par. 5}

- **"The personality of the Father and the Son**, also the unity that exists between Them, are presented in the seventeenth chapter of John, in the prayer of Christ for His disciples: "Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on Me through their word; that they all may be one; as Thou, Father, art in Me, and I in Thee, that they also may be one in Us: that the world may believe that Thou hast sent Me." John 17,20, 21. **The unity** that exists between Christ and His disciples **does not destroy the personality of either**. They are one in purpose, in mind, in character, **but not in person. It is thus that God and Christ are one.** {Ellen White: MH, p. 421}
- "The Lord Jesus came to our world to represent the Father. He represented God not as an essence that pervaded nature, but as a God who has a personality. **Christ was the express image of His Father's person**; and He came to our world to restore in man God's moral image." {Ellen White: 19MR, p. 250 1891}
- "God sent His own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, liable to physical infirmities, tempted in all points like as we are. **He was the Son of the living God. His personality DID NOT begin with His incarnation in the flesh.**" {Ellen White: Lt 77, 3. August 1894}

Jesus was already the Son of God before He came to Earth. He was not part of a "fused heavenly Trinity".

- "Christians should bear in mind that **God has a personality as verily as Christ has**. They should so represent Christ's person and conduct that by doing His works they will manifest the character and spirit of the Father. **Christ is the express image of His Father's person and character.**" {Ellen White: Ms 130, 27. Oktober, 1902}
- **"The subject of speculation regarding God's personality we will not venture to express**, except in the language of the Word (the Bible) which represents His personality. **There is to be no discussion over this question** lest God would give unmistakable revelation of WHAT **He is that would extinguish the one** who dares **venture on the Holy ground in his speculative theories**, as some ventured to do in opening the ark to see what was in it as its power and how God was manifested. The men were slain for their curiosity teaching. **Let human Beings consider that by all their searching they can never interpret God**. When the redeemed shall be pure and clean to come into His presence, they will understand that all that has reverence to the eternal God, the unapproachable God, cannot be represented in figures. It is safe to contemplate God, **the great and wonderful God, and Jesus Christ, the express image of God**. God (and not God the Trinity) gave **His only begotten Son** to our world, that we might through His righteous character behold the character of God. In heaven we shall be in the eternal presence of God" {Ellen White: Ms 223, 30. November 1902}

God's Prophet teaches that **ONLY** the Father and the Son have a Personality. They are one in character but not one in Being! How? Because they are one in intention, Spirit, and character. They are one in their Holy Spirit. If all of Three were that one God, as our theology has finally taught completely legally since 1980, then that would mean that God is "fused" just like in pagan religions! If one God "contains" three Persons, then those different persons represent only "roles" of that one God. This is exactly what inspired pagan religions, such as the Indian god, Shiva, which has many hands, or like the god, Brahma, which has many heads.

Ellen White clearly states that there are only two Beings, God the Father and His Son Jesus. In the Acts of the Apostles, Stephen sees in his vision one God, the Father, and His Son, not Three beings. In this way, we can understand more deeply what Ellen White described in {"The Message in Revelation", October 23, 1903}, among other things, when she announced the manifestation of the pagan gods in the Christian world in the last days.

The teaching of the fused Trinity was not taught during her operation. Part of that teaching is the incomprehensibly deep twisting of the Bible in that direction.

- "And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and power, be **unto Him That sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever.**" {Revelation 5:13}
- "Hereby know we that we dwell in Him, and He in us, because He hath given us **OF His Spirit.**" {1 John 4:13}

In this verse, we read that Jesus, symbolically represented as the Lamb, is given honor and glory especially and independently of the Father, who sits on the throne. The Father and the Son are not one "fused God." There is not a single place in the Bible or the Spirit of Prophecy that describes whether, before the origin of sin, during, or after its destruction, the Holy Spirit receives prayer and worship! However, we see this being done today, not only in charismatic churches, but it is also slowly penetrating the Adventist church!

How does God look like? Spiritualism

Spiritualism is not a misspelled word spiritism, but a false philosophical doctrine about God's nature, as well as the teaching that spirits exist beyond the matter, and that they are by themselves the only reality. Many proponents of the "truth" about the Trinity claim today that God described to us the Father and the Son in heaven in a similar form with body and face, to have a "symbolic representation" in our limited minds. Spiritualism teaches that God took the form of people only while on Earth, like Jesus did, but that He is only a spiritual Being without form or body. These people blasphemously reject the literal description of heaven in the Bible. This teaching is of Hindu-Buddhist origin! The Bible tells us quite clearly that God the Father has a body and a face:

- "And **the Father** Himself, Which hath sent Me, hath borne witness of Me. **Ye have neither heard His voice at any time, nor seen His shape.**" {John 5:37}
- "And I saw a great **white throne, and Him** That sat on it, from Whose **face** the earth and the heaven fled away; and there was found no place for them." {Revelation 20:11}

Ellen White wrote that she saw a worried expression on Jesus' face while He was talking to His Father about the possibilities of saving fallen man! The Father, like His Son Jesus, has a face and a form, after which similitude we are created. Since the Bible says that we are created in God's image, the teaching that God doesn't have a body goes directly against what the Bible says! If we believed this teaching, we would end up in error, like other pagan religions that don't believe God or angels have a body.

Ellen White, unequivocally described Lucifer's physical form:

- "His forehead was high and broad, showing a **powerful intellect.** His form was perfect." {Ellen White: 1SP 17.1}

Are these foreheads and bodies perhaps symbolic, so that we, as limited Beings, can imagine something for ourselves? As if in their limitations we could not understand anything without form, although on the other hand we know and understand that the Holy Spirit does not have a bodily form! Jesus said "it is written" and not to interpret everything philosophically and symbolically. This has taken us to the point that now the dimensions of the new Jerusalem of Revelation is only symbolic because it is impossible that the capital of the universe is so great! It stems from such assumptions that God does not have all the power, or that we determine to Him what is possible for Him! May God be merciful to us, and awaken many sincere but seduced souls before it is too late, and I refer to a huge part of our theologians. At creation, God said and showed that we received His form but without His power and nature! If the Holy spirit is a third independent Being, why was it not in the council when the plan of creation/salvation was done?

- “And **God said, Let us make man in our image**, after our likeness; [...] We take not the fallacies of man but the Word of God that **man was created after the IMAGE of God and Christ.**” {Ellen White: Ms 236, 5. January 1902}

The Holy Spirit, of course, does not have a bodily form, because if it did, it wouldn't have been poured out during Pentecost, nor could he dwell in us. Did this "triune" God, who contains Jesus as a part of himself, talk to Jesus? Jesus does not exist twice, once in the blinded Trinity and once again independent. It is against the Bible. And man, as the image of God, is only one being, not three in one!

- “Created to be **“the image and glory of God”** (1 Corinthians 11:7), Adam and Eve had received endowments not unworthy of their high destiny. Graceful and symmetrical in form, regular and beautiful in feature, their countenances glowing with the tint of health and the light of joy and hope, **they bore in outward resemblance the likeness of their Maker.** Nor was this likeness manifest in the physical nature only. Every faculty of mind and soul reflected the Creator's glory.” {Ellen White: Ed, p. 20, 1903}
- “When Adam came from the Creator's hand, he bore, in his **physical**, mental, and spiritual nature, a **likeness to his Maker.**” {Ellen White: Ed, p. 15. 1903}
- “**I asked Jesus if His Father had a form like Himself. He said He had**, but I could not behold it, for said He, “If you should once behold the glory of His person, you would cease to exist.” {Ellen White: EW, p. 54}
- “He (Jesus) was in the express image of his Father, not **in features alone**, but in perfection of character.” {Ellen White: RH, December 17, 1872 par. 1}
- “God, in counsel with his Son, formed the plan of creating man in their own **image.**” {Ellen White: RH, February 24, 1874 par. 3}
- “Forasmuch as ye are manifestly declared to be the epistle of Christ ministered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart. And such trust have we through Christ to God-ward:...but our sufficiency is of God;... but the spirit giveth life... **Now the Lord is that Spirit: and where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty.** But we all, with open face beholding as in a glass the glory of the Lord, are changed into the same image from glory to glory, even as by the Spirit of the Lord.” {2 Corinthians 3:3-18}
- “God is a Spirit: and they that worship Him must worship Him in spirit and in truth.” {John 4:24}
- “**God (the Father) is a spirit; yet He is a (physical) personal being, for man was made in His image.** As a personal being, **God has revealed Himself in His Son.**” {Ellen White: Education, p. 131.6, 1903}
- “There are also celestial bodies, and bodies terrestrial: but the glory of the celestial is one, and the glory of the terrestrial is another.” {1 Corinthians 15:40}


The statement that the Father and the Son are at the same time Spirit does not mean that They do not have a body! As a final step of Omega Apostasy comes a symbolic interpretation of the entire Bible according to any desire and need for evidence. So now the book of the prophet Daniel, the statue from Nebuchadnezzar's dream, Revelation, and the Epistle to the Hebrews with the heavenly sanctuary are just symbols so that we can understand the basic principles without concrete literal announcements of the future or definitions of revealed truths. The next step, of course, is to also take the end of the time of probation as symbolic, because it does not apply to us, but the unconverted people of the world. According to the principle of Spiritualism, the 12 tribes of Israel are only symbolic and not literal, so that we can only imagine God's people praying to Him, and that they did not actually exist literally.

Every place in the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy with clear truth is called "symbolism." Satan decently converted us to pagan religion, as did the Jews, who eventually prayed to Val in the temple itself. We are doing the same today, when with theological praise all over the world, and even here in the book from Andrews "Trinity" is printed, on the title page of which is the Druid magic symbol of Satan worship! How do the pioneers and the Spirit of Prophecy define Spiritualism? Contrary to today's claim, that James White only rejected the Catholic form of the Trinity, we will see below that he resolutely refuted every form of the Trinity! James White wrote an article on the topic in the non-Adventist magazine, Day Star, although the editor of that magazine had an opposite and incorrect view on this topic. We'll also see a quote from Ellen White, which is in complete harmony with her husband's quote! God opens the way to the truth in many places:

- "We cannot find words fitly to explain **the latest development of ideas held by some. They contain threads of pantheism** (polytheism, i.e. additional "gods"). These ideas are so mixed with the truth, that the truth is made of no effect. These specious theories constitute a denial of the personality of God, the atonement of Christ (completely death) and His work in the sanctuary. They take away the vital principles which have made us a separate people." {Ellen White: Ms 8, 1914}
- "Here, upon the train, we met a man of marked physical and mental powers, just returning from his missionary field in China. [...] As we conversed with this gentleman, feelings of profound respect were aroused for the sacrifice he has made, and is still making. [...] But after catechising us upon the **trinity**, and finding that we were not sound upon the subject of **his triune god**, he became earnest in denouncing unitarianism, which takes from Christ his divinity, and leaves him but a man. Here, as far as our views were concerned, he was combating a man of straw. **We do not deny the divinity of Christ.** [...] We believe him to be the divine person **addressed by Jehovah in the words, "Let us make man."** He was with the Father before the world was. [...] **We HAVE NOT as much SYMPATHY with Unitarians that deny the divinity of Christ, as with TRINITARIANS** who hold that the Son is the eternal Father, and talk so **mistily about the three-one God.** Give the Master all that divinity with which the Holy Scriptures clothe him." {James White: RH, 6. June 1871, 196, Section: Western Tour} {http://docs.adventistarchives.org/docs/RH/RH18710606-V37-25__B.pdf} The entire edition of the RH with that quote was "accidentally" removed from the "EGW Writings" page!
- "I have frequently been **falsely charged with teaching views peculiar to spiritualism.** But, before the editor of the "Day Star" run into that delusion, the Lord gave me a view of the sad and desolating effects that would be produced upon the flock, by him and others, in teaching the spiritual views. I have often seen the lovely Jesus, that he is a person. I asked him if his Father was a person, and had a form like himself. Said Jesus. "I am in the express image of my Father's Person. I have often seen that the spiritual view took away all the glory of heaven, and that in many minds the throne of David, and the lovely person of Jesus had been burned up in the fire of spiritualism. I have seen that some, who have been deceived, and led into this error, would be brought out into the light of truth, but it would be almost impossible for them to get entirely rid of the deceptive power of spiritualism. Such should make thorough work in confessing their errors, and leaving them forever. {Ellen White: ExV, p. 64, 1851}
- "The way **spiritualisers have disposed of or denied the only Lord God and our Lord Jesus Christ is first using the old unscriptural Trinitarian creed**, that Jesus Christ is the eternal God, though they have not one passage to support it, while we have plain scripture testimony in abundance that he is the Son of the eternal God." {James S. White: Day Star, January 24, 1846}
- "Extreme views of "God in nature" undermine the foundation truths of the personality of God and the ministration of angels. **A confused mass of spiritualistic ideas takes the place of faith in a personal God. I take no stock whatever in some of the principles that are now being advocated.**" {Ellen White: Lt 271b, September 18, 1903}

- "I beseech you by the mercy of God to be on your guard. To you and to other ministers and teachers, the Lord says, "Examine yourselves, whether ye be in the faith." The world is full of speculation and **false theories regarding the nature and character of God**. The enemy of our souls is earnestly at work to introduce among the Lord's people pleasing speculation and **incorrect views regarding the personality of God**. " {Ellen White: Lt 230, October 2nd, 1903}

Falsifications in the Bible



There are few falsifications in the **Bible**, but Matthew 28:19,20 and 1 John 5:7 are the most important. We are going to study this question in the following chapters. EGW has herself confirmed that some passages in the Bible have been changed:

- "I saw that God had especially guarded the **Bible**; yet when **copies** of it were **few**, learned **men had in some instances changed the words**, thinking that they were making it more plain, when in reality they were mystifying that which was plain, by **causing it to lean to their established views, which were governed by tradition**." {Ellen White, Early Writings, 220.2+221.1,1882}

Matthew 28:18-20 is changed

- "And Jesus came, and spake unto them, saying, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. **Goe ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptising them in the Name of the Father, and of the Sonne, and of the Holy Ghost: Teaching them to observe all things, whatsoever I have commanded you: and lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world. Amen.**" {Matthew 28:18-20}

In the book of Acts, the evangelist Luke described the same statement of Jesus from Matthew 28:19,20. But in Acts 1:8 Jesus calls us to proclaim His truth to all nations, without any mention of baptism in the name of the Trinity:

- „But ye shall receive power, after that the Holy Ghost is come upon you: and **ye shall be witnesses unto Me** both in Jerusalem, and in all Judaea, and in Samaria, and **unto the uttermost part of the earth**." {Acts 1:8}

Why does this difference exist? Because This verse (Matthew 28:18-20) was changed in the year 325ad to support and introduce the doctrine of the Trinity. We know the following facts: The doctrine of the Trinity” was the basis of many pagan religions alongside “life after death”. During the council in Nicea in 325ad, Emperor Constantine ordered that an ecumenical Bible be compiled to unite pagans with Christians.

He entrusted this task to the leading Bishop Eusebius of Caesarea, who implemented what Bishop Origen had tried earlier but without success.

Interestingly, Bishop Eusebius quoted the above verse differently 17 times in his works before Nicea:

- “Surely none save our only Savior has done this, when, after His victory over death, he spoke the word to His followers, and fulfilled it by the event, saying to them, **“Go ye and make disciples of all nations in My name.”** {Eusebius: Oration in Praise of Emperor Constantine, Chapter 16, Section 8}
- “But while the disciples of Jesus were most likely either saying thus, or thinking thus, the Master solved their difficulties, by the addition of one phrase, saying they should triumph **“In MY NAME.”** And the power of His name being so great, that the apostle says: “God has given Him a name which is above every name, that in the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth,” He shewed the virtue of the power in His Name concealed from the crowd when He said to His disciples: **“Go, and make disciples of all the nations in My Name.”** He also most accurately forecasts the future when He says: “for this gospel must first be preached to all the world, for a witness to all nations.” {Eusebius: Proof of the Gospel, Book III, ch. 7, 136 (a-d), p. 157}
- “Who said to them: **‘Make disciples of all the nations in My Name.’**” {Eusebius: Proof of the Gospel, Book III, Chapter 7, 138 (c), p. 159}
- “Relying upon the power of Christ, who had said to them, **“Go ye and make disciples of all the nations in My name.”** {Eusebius: Book III of his History, Chapter 5, Section 2, (about the Jewish persecution of early Christians)}
- “With one word and voice, He said to His disciples: **“Go, and make disciples of all nations in My Name, teaching them to observe all things whatevers I have commanded you”** {Eusebius: Proof of the Gospel, Book III, ch 6, 132 (a), p. 152}

It is not surprising that, after the introduction of the Trinity in year 325, Eusebius suddenly changed the form of those verses, and used the current version of the verses in his writings. The whole Bible only knows baptism 'in the name of Jesus'. The true Bible certainly does not contradict itself, and Matthew 28:19 directly contradicts all other verses. This is the strongest evidence of the falsification. One is 180 degrees different than the others, and is impossible to have both at the same time. God never contradicts Himself!

- “When they heard this, they were **baptised in the Name of the Lord Jesus.**” {Acts 19:5}
- “But when they believed Philip preaching the things concerning the kingdom of God, and the **Name of Jesus Christ,** they were **baptised,** both men and women.” {Acts 8:12}
- “For as yet he was fallen upon none of them: only they were **baptised in the Name of the Lord Jesus.**” {Acts 8:16}
- “And he commanded them to be **baptised in the Name of the Lord.**” {Acts 10:48}
- “For as many of you as have bene **baptised into Christ, have put on Christ.**” {Galatians 3:27}

Whose name do we call at baptism in order to be washed from sin? A Trinity?

- "Then Peter said unto them, Repent, and be **baptised** every one of you **in the Name of Jesus Christ**, for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost." {Acts 2:38}
- "And now, why tarriest thou? Arise, and **be baptised**, and **wash away thy sins**, calling on the **name of the Lord**." {Acts 22:16}

Is there any other name under heaven besides Jesus who can save us?

- "He that believeth and is baptised, shall be saved, but he that believeth not, shall be damned." {Mark 16:16}

Many Theologians are making the claim that baptism should be in the name of Trinity.

Actually, baptism and immersion in the water are a symbol of His (and not of a triune) death, His lying in the grave, and His resurrection.

ONLY Jesus died, and through baptism, we show acceptance of His sacrifice for us and thereby become Christians.

- The immersion in the water symbolises the death of Jesus on the cross
- The short stay in the water symbolises the time of Jesus in the grave
- The emergence from the water symbolises the resurrection of Jesus

The apostle Paul clearly emphasises that baptism is only in the name of Jesus and that this is directly connected with the sacrifice of Jesus on the Cross:

- "Know ye not, that so many of us as were **baptised into Jesus Christ, were baptised into His death?** Therefore we are **buried with Him by baptism into death**, that like as Christ was **raised** up from the dead by the glory of the Father: even so we also should walk in newness of life" {Romans 6:3}
- "**Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for you? or were ye baptised in the name of Paul?**" {1 Corinthians 1:13}
- "**Buried with Him in baptism**, wherein also you are **risen with Him** through the faith of the operation of God, who hath raised Him from the dead." {Colossians 2:12}

Below is the excerpt from Shem Tob's MSS Hebrew Matthew Gospel, Matthew 28th chapter, which comes from a book by Dr. George Howard, a specialist in the Hebrew language. The original of this manuscript is in the Jewish Theological Seminary of America in New York.

This Gospel was saved by Hebrews during the first century and was discovered in the 14th century. In the 14th century, there was no discussion of the Trinity!

And in this old original manuscript of the Gospel, Matthew 28:19, there is no trinitarian form of baptism, there is no statement by Jesus in this regard.

Matthew wrote his Gospel in Hebrew and not in Greek, as most theologians claim today:

- "**Matthew** also issued a **written** Gospel among the **Hebrews in their own dialect while Peter and Paul** were preaching at Rome and laying the foundations of the church." {Irenaeus in the second century: Adv. Haer. 3.1.1}

[MT 28:9-20]

⁹והמה הולכות וישׁו עבר לפניהם אומר השם יושׁיעכן. והם
קרבו אליו ויקדו לו וישתחוו לו.
¹⁰אז אמר להן ישׁו אל תפחדו אמרו לאחי שילכו לגליל ושמה
יראוני.
¹¹ובעוד שהן הולכות איזה מהשומרים באו לעיר והגידו
לגדולי הכהנים כל הנעשה.
¹²ויצעדו לעצה עם זקני העם. ויתנו ממון רב לפרשים.
¹³ואמרו להם אתם תאמרו שבאו תלמידיו לילה וגנבוהו בעודכם
ישנים.
¹⁴ואם זה יבא לאוזן פילאט אנו נדבר עמו בענין יניחכם.
¹⁵והם לקחו המטבע ואמרו כן כמו שלמדום. וזה הדבר בסוד בין
היהודים עד היום הזה.
¹⁶ואחר זה כאשר השנים עשר תלמידיו הלכו לגליל נראה להם בהר
¹⁷אשר בו התפללו. וכאשר ראוהו השתחוו לו ויש מהם שנסתפקו בו.
¹⁸וישׁו קרב אליהם ואמר להם לי נתן כל היכולת בשמים ובארץ.
¹⁹לכו אתם
²⁰ושמרו אותם לקיים כל הדברים אשר ציויתי אתכם עד עולם.

9. As they were going Jesus passed before them saying:
May the Name deliver you. They came near to Him, bowed down to
Him, and worshipped Him.
10. Then Jesus said to them: Do not be afraid; tell My brothers that they
should go to Galilee and there they will see Me.
11. While they were going some of the guards entered the city and
declared to the chief priests all that had happened.
12. They came together for counsel with the elders of the people. Then
they gave much money to the horsemen
13. and said to them: Say that His disciples came by night and stole Him
while you were sleeping.
14. If this should come to the ears of Pilate we will tell him that he should
leave you alone.
15. They took the money and said thus as they instructed them. This is the
word [held] in secret among the Jews unto this day.
16. After this when His twelve disciples came to Galilee He appeared to
them in the mountain where they had prayed.
17. When they saw Him they worshipped Him, but there were some of them
who doubted Him.
18. Jesus drew near to them and said to them:
To Me has been given all power in heaven and earth.
19. **Go**
20. **and (teach) them to carry out all the things
which I have commanded you forever.**

The same is also stated in the other 3 Bible translations:

- "Then, coming toward them, Jesus spoke, saying, 'All power has been given me in heaven and on earth. So, go and make disciples in all nations **IN MY NAME**, teaching them to obey all the things that I commanded you. And [Look!] I'll be with you every day until the end of the age." {Matthew 28:18-20} Bible 2001
- "Jesus approached and said to them, "All authority has been given to me in heaven and on earth. Consequently, when you go, disciple all nationalities **IN MY NAME**. Teach them to keep everything I commanded you to do. Understand this: I am with you every day until the consummation of the age!" {Matthew 28:18-20} MIT - Idiomatic EN - 2006
- "Yeshua [God is Salvation] drew near to them and said to them: "All power has been given to Me in heaven and earth". "Go and make disciples, of all the nations, to believe **IN MY NAME**" "and teach them to observe all the words which I have commanded you, forever" {Matthew 28:18-20} New Messianic Version Bible

Today's Bible says that the apostles should go and all should baptise in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, which is a contradiction of the rest of the New Testament, where the apostles were all exclusively baptised in the name of Jesus! The true Bible can never contradict itself! **The Catholic Church, which proudly proclaimed a change in the Sabbath, confirms itself that it changed Matthew 28:19 -**

- "The **basic form of our** (Matthew 28:19 Trinitarian) profession of faith **took shape during the second and third centuries in connection with the ceremony of baptism**. So far as its place of origin is concerned, the text (Matthew 28:19) **came from the city of Rome**." {Joseph Ratzinger (pope Benedict XVI): Introduction to Christianity, 1968 edition, pp. 82, 83.}
- "The **baptismal formula was changed from the name of Jesus Christ to the words Father, Son, and Holy Spirit by the Catholic Church in the second century**." {Britannica Encyclopedia, 11th Edition, Volume 3, page 365}
- "The passages in Acts and the Letters of St. Paul. These passages seem to point to the **earliest form as baptism in the name of the Lord**." Also, we find. "Is it possible to reconcile these facts with the **belief that Christ commanded His disciples to baptise in the triune form?** Had Christ given such a command, it is urged, the Apostolic Church would have followed him, and we should have some trace of this obedience in the New Testament. **No such trace can be found**. The only explanation of this silence, according to the anti-traditional view, is this the **short Christological (Jesus Name) formula was (the) original, and the longer triune formula was a later development**." {The Catholic University of America in Washington, D. C. 1923, New Testament Studies Number 5: The Lord's Command To Baptise An Historical Critical Investigation. By Bernard Henry Cuneo, page 27}

Despite these unbeatable facts, the disarmed bearers of the Trinity doctrine show the works of Tertullian (160-220ad) from Carthage, who wrote about the Trinity much earlier than the council of Nicaea (325ad) happened. Does this mean that if a person wrote their belief prior to the establishment of the doctrine, the teaching has to be true?

According to the method, a few hundred years later, somebody could prove with the books of Dr. Kellogg, that we have believed in the Far Eastern nature of the Holy Spirit, or that the Apocrypha were true. But when an Apostasy occurs, it always begins in a small circle and spreads slowly more and more to the larger masses. If Tertullian really represented the faith of that time, why did the majority of the (Arian) bishops in Nicaea reject the Trinity? Arian teaching is misrepresented by claiming that Jesus is not recognised as a Divine being, which is a lie. True Arian teaching rejects only the Trinity!

All of the old Adventist pioneers, including Ellen White, were (semi) Arians. Why would the Trinity have to be established in the year 381ad, if it was already part of the Christian faith? Why was Prof. Prescott unable to establish a Trinity with the chief leaders of the General Conference in 1919? Why was determined resistance, if we, as a church, had already believed in the Trinity for a while?

Today it is said that James White, known as the GREATEST OPPONENT of the Trinity Doctrine, used the modern version of the verse at a baptism, without any opposition from EGW. If that were the truth, it would mean that James White believed in the One God, but would have practiced the opposite! Do we really have original evidence today that Adventists were really baptised in the name of the Trinity prior to EGW losing her influence to the church in 1888?

What did Ellen White write about baptism? In whose name should it be?

- "But with clearer light, they gladly accepted Christ as their Redeemer, and with this step of advance came a change in their obligations. As they received a purer faith, there was a corresponding change in their life. In token of this change, and as an acknowledgment of their faith in Christ, they were **rebaptised in the name of Jesus.**" {Ellen White AA, p. 285.1}
- "After the wonderful manifestation of the Holy Spirit on the Day of Pentecost, Peter exhorted the people to repentance and **baptism in the name of Christ**, for the remission of their sins." {Ellen White: Acts 2:38, 39 und GC ix.2}

Then, how is it that there are some quotes from EGW describing baptism "in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit"? In any case, these would contradict the previous quotes, as well as the entire Bible.

There is ample evidence of how many quotes were removed from her books and how many were brought in by infiltrated servants from the other side.

However, we must not be discouraged and reject the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy. The simplest criterion for us to be able to recognise in prayer whether a verse or quote is original or not is to see whether it harmonises with the rest of the Bible or Spirit of Prophecy, or if it teaches something that is 180 degrees opposite. Ellen White also announced that her quotes would be under attack:

- "Whatever **wrong construction may be placed** upon my testimony by those who profess righteousness, yet know not God, I shall in humility go forward with my work." {Ellen White: SM1, p.73, and Manuscript 4, 1883}

A Collection of Evidences Against the modern Wording of Matthew 28:19:

The Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics:

- "Matthew 28:19: It is the central piece of evidence for the traditional (Trinitarian) view. If it were undisputed, this would, of course, be decisive, but its trustworthiness is impugned on grounds of textual criticism, literary criticism, and historical criticism. The same Encyclopedia further states that: "The obvious explanation of the silence of the New Testament on the triune name, and the use of another (JESUS NAME) formula in Acts and Paul, is that this other formula was the earlier, and **the triune formula is a later addition.**"

Edmund Schlink, The Doctrine of Baptism, page 28:

- "The baptismal command in its Matthew 28:19 form cannot be the historical origin of Christian baptism. At the very least, it must be assumed that the text has been transmitted in a form **expanded by the [Catholic] church.**"

The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, I, 275:

- "It is often affirmed that the words in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost are not the ipsissima verba [exact words] of Jesus, but...**a later liturgical addition.**"

Hastings Dictionary of the Bible 1963, page 1015:

- "The Trinity...is not demonstrable by logic or by Scriptural proofs,...The term Trias was first used by Theophilus of Antioch (c AD 180),...(The term Trinity) not found in Scripture..." "The chief Trinitarian text in the NT is the baptismal formula in Mt 28:19...This late post-resurrection saying, not found in any other Gospel or anywhere else in the NT, has been viewed by some scholars as an interpolation into Matthew. It has also been pointed out that the idea of making disciples is continued in teaching them, so that the intervening reference to baptism with its Trinitarian formula was perhaps a later insertion into the saying. Finally, Eusebius's form of the (ancient) text ("in my name" rather than in the name of the Trinity) has had certain advocates. (Although the Trinitarian formula is now found in the modern-day book of Matthew), this does not guarantee its source in the historical teaching of Jesus. It is doubtless better to view the (Trinitarian) formula as derived from early (Catholic) Christian, perhaps Syrian or Palestinian, baptismal usage (cf Didache 7:1-4), and as a brief summary of the (Catholic) Church's teaching about God, Christ, and the Spirit:..."

The Catholic Encyclopedia, II, page 263:

- "The baptismal formula **was changed** from the name of Jesus Christ to the words Father, Son, and Holy Spirit by the Catholic Church in the second century."

Wilhelm Bousset, Kyrios Christianity, page 295:

- "The testimony for the wide distribution of the simple baptismal formula [in the Name of Jesus] down into the second century is so overwhelming that even **in Matthew 28:19, the Trinitarian formula was later inserted.**"

The Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge:

- "Jesus, however, cannot have given His disciples this Trinitarian order of baptism after His resurrection; for the New Testament knows only one baptism in the name of Jesus (Acts 2:38; 8:16; 10:43; 19:5; Gal. 3:27; Rom. 6:3; 1 Cor. 1:13-15), which still occurs even in the second and third centuries, while the Trinitarian formula occurs only in Matt. 28:19, and then only again (in the) Didache 7:1 and Justin, Apol. 1:61...Finally, the distinctly liturgical character of the formula...is strange; it was not the way of Jesus to make such formulas... **the formal authenticity of Matt. 28:19 must be disputed...**" page 435.

The Jerusalem Bible, a scholarly Catholic work, states:

- "It may be that this formula, (Triune Matthew 28:19) so far as the fullness of its expression is concerned, is a reflection of the (Man-made) liturgical usage **established later** in the primitive (Catholic) community. It will be remembered that Acts speaks of baptising "in the name of Jesus,"..."

The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia, Vol. 4, page 2637, Under "Baptism," says:

- "**Matthew 28:19** in particular only canonises **a later ecclesiastical** situation, that its universalism is **contrary to the facts of early Christian history**, and its **Trinitarian formula (is) foreign to the mouth of Jesus.**"

James Moffett's New Testament Translation:

- "In a footnote on page 64 about Matthew 28:19 he makes this statement: "It may be that this (Trinitarian) formula, so far as the fullness of its expression is concerned, is a reflection of the (Catholic) liturgical usage **established later** in the primitive (Catholic) community, **It will be remembered that Acts speaks of baptising "in the name of Jesus**, cf. Acts 1:5 +."

Tom Harpur, former Religion Editor of the Toronto Star in his "For Christ's sake," page 103 informs us of these facts:

- "All but the most conservative scholars agree that at least the latter part of this command [Triune part of Matthew 28:19] **was inserted later**. The [Trinitarian] formula occurs nowhere else in the New Testament, and we know from the only evidence available [the rest of the New Testament] that **the earliest Church did not baptise people using these words** ("in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost") **baptism** was "into" or "in" **the name of Jesus alone**. Thus it is argued that the verse originally read "baptising them in My Name" **and then was expanded** [changed] to work in the [later Catholic Trinitarian] dogma. In fact, the first view put forward by German critical scholars as well as the Unitarians in the nineteenth century, was stated as the accepted position of mainline scholarship as long ago as 1919, when Peake's commentary was first published: "The Church of the first days (AD 33) did not observe this world-wide (Trinitarian) commandment, even if they knew it. The command to baptise into the threefold [Trinity] name **is a late doctrinal expansion**."

New Revised Standard Version says this about Matthew 28:19:

- "Modern critics claim this formula is falsely ascribed to Jesus and that it represents **later** (Catholic) church **tradition**, for **nowhere** in the book of Acts (or any other book of the Bible) is baptism performed with the name of the Trinity..."

The Bible Commentary 1919 page 723:

Dr. Peake makes it clear that:

- "The command to baptise into the threefold name is a late doctrinal expansion. Instead of the words baptising them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost we should probably read simply **"into My Name."**

Theology of the New Testament:

R. Bultmann, 1951, page 133:

- "Under Kerygma of the Hellenistic Church and the Sacraments. The historical fact that the verse Matthew 28:19 was altered is openly confesses to very plainly. "As to the rite of baptism, it was normally consummated as a bath in which the one receiving baptism completely submerged, and if possible in flowing water as the allusions of Acts 8:36, Heb. 10:22, Barn. 11:11 permit us to gather, and as Did. 7:1-3 specifically says. According to the last passage, [the apocryphal Catholic Didache] suffices in case of the need if water is three times poured [false Catholic sprinkling doctrine] on the head. **The one baptising names over the one being baptised the name of the Lord Jesus Christ**," later expanded [changed] to the name of the Father, Son, and the Holy Spirit."

Doctrine and Practice in the Early Church:

Dr. Stuart G. Hall 1992, pages 20 and 21. Professor Stuart G. Hall was the former Chair of Ecclesiastical History at King's College, London England.

Dr. Hall makes the factual statement that Catholic Trinitarian Baptism was not the original form of Christian Baptism, rather the original was the Jesus name baptism.

- "In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit," **although those words were not used, as they later are, as a formula.** Not all baptisms fitted this rule." Dr Hall further, states: "More common and perhaps more ancient was the simple, "In the name of the Lord **Jesus** or, Jesus Christ." This practice was known among Marcionites and Orthodox; it is certainly the subject of controversy in Rome and Africa about 254, as the anonymous tract De rebaptismate ("On rebaptism") shows."

The Beginnings of Christianity: The Acts of the Apostles Volume 1, Prolegomena 1:

The Jewish Gentile, and Christian Backgrounds by **F. J. Foakes Jackson and Kirsopp Lake** 1979 version pages 335-337:

- "There is little doubt as to the sacramental nature of baptism by the middle of the first century in the circles represented by the Pauline Epistles, and it is indisputable in the second century. The problem is whether it can in this (Trinitarian) form be traced back to Jesus, and if not what light is thrown upon its history by the analysis of the synoptic Gospels and Acts. According to Catholic teaching, (traditional Trinitarian) baptism was instituted by Jesus. It is easy to see how necessary this was for the belief in sacramental regeneration. Mysteries, or sacraments, were always the institution of the Lord of the cult; by them, and by them only, were its supernatural benefits obtained by the faithful. Nevertheless, if evidence counts for anything, few points in the problem of the Gospels are so clear as the improbability of this teaching. The reason for this assertion is the absence of any mention of Christian baptism in Mark, Q, or the third Gospel, and the suspicious nature of the account of its institution in Matthew 28:19: "Go ye into all the world, and make disciples of all Gentiles (nations), baptising them in the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit." It is not even certain whether this verse ought to be regarded as part of the genuine text of Matthew. No other text, indeed, is found in any extant manuscripts, in any language, but it is arguable that Justin Martyr, though he used the trine formula, did not find it in his text of the Gospels; Hermas seems to be unacquainted with it; the evidence of the Didache is ambiguous, and Eusebius habitually, though not invariably, quotes it in another form, "Go ye into all the world and make disciples of all the Gentiles in My Name." No one acquainted with the facts of textual history and patristic evidence can doubt the tendency would have been to replace the Eusebian text (In My Name) by the ecclesiastical (Catholic Trinitarian) formula of baptism, so that transcriptional evidence" is certainly on the side of the text omitting baptism. But it is unnecessary to discuss this point at length, because even if the ordinary (modern Trinity) text of Matthew 28:19 be sound it can not represent historical fact. Would they have baptised, as Acts says that they did, and Paul seem to confirm the statement, in the name of the Lord Jesus if the Lord himself had commanded them to use the (Catholic Trinitarian) formula of the Church? On every point the evidence of Acts is convincing proof that the (Catholic) tradition embodied in Matthew 28:19 is a late (non-Scriptural Creed) and unhistorical. Neither in the third gospel nor in Acts is there any reference to the (Catholic Trinitarian) Matthaean tradition, nor any mention of the institution of (Catholic Trinitarian) Christian baptism. Nevertheless, a little later in the narrative we find several references to baptism in water in the name of the Lord Jesus as part of recognised (Early) Christian practice. Thus we are faced by the problem of a Christian rite, not directly ascribed to Jesus, but assumed to be a universal (and original) practice. That it was so is confirmed by the Epistles, but the facts of importance are all contained in Acts."

Also in the same book on page 336 in the footnote number one, Professor Lake makes an astonishing discovery in the so-called Teaching or Didache. The Didache has an astonishing contradiction i.e. found in it. One passage refers to the necessity of baptism in the name of the Lord, which is Jesus the other famous passage teaches a Trinitarian Baptism. Lake raises the probability that the apocryphal Didache or the early Catholic Church Manual may have also been edited or changed to promote the later Trinitarian doctrine.

It is a historical fact that the Catholic Church at one time baptised its converts in the name of Jesus but later changed to Trinity baptism. In the actual description of baptism in the Didache the trine (Trinity) formula is used; in the instructions for the Eucharist (communion) the condition for admission is baptism in the name of the Lord. It is obvious that in the case of an eleventh-century manuscript *the trine formula was almost certain to be inserted in the description of baptism, while the less usual formula had a chance of escaping notice when it was only used incidentally.

The Catholic University of America in Washington, D. C. 1923, New Testament Studies Number 5: The Lord's Command To Baptize An Historical Critical Investigation. By Bernard Henry Cuneo page 27:

- "The passages in Acts and the Letters of St. Paul. These passages seem to point to the earliest form as baptism in the name of the Lord." Also we find. "Is it possible to reconcile these facts with the belief that Christ commanded His disciples to baptise in the trine form? Had Christ given such a command, it is urged, the Apostolic Church would have followed Him, and we should have some trace of this obedience in the New Testament. No such trace can be found. The only explanation of this silence, according to the anti-traditional view, is this the short christological (Jesus Name) formula was (the) original, and **the longer trine formula was a later development.**"

Catholic Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger makes this confession as to the origin of the chief Trinity text of Matthew 28:19:

- "The basic form of our profession of faith took shape during the course of the **second and third centuries** in connection with the ceremony of baptism. So far as its place of origin is concerned, the text (Matthew 28:19) came from the city of Rome."

A History of The Christian Church:

1953 by **Williston Walker** former Professor of Ecclesiastical History at Yale University. On page 95 we see the historical facts again declared. "With the early disciples baptism generally was "in the name of Jesus Christ." There is no mention of baptism in the name of the Trinity in the New Testament, except in the command attributed to Christ in Matthew 28:19. That text is early, (but not the original) however. It underlies the Apostles' Creed, and the practice recorded (*or interpolated) in the Teaching, (or the Didache) and by Justin. The Christian leaders of the third century retained the recognition of the earlier form, and, in Rome at least, baptism in the name of Christ was deemed valid, if irregular, certainly from the time of Bishop Stephen (254-257)." On page 61 Professor and Church historian Walker, reviles the true origin and purpose of Matthew 28:19:

- "This Text is the first man-made Roman Catholic Creed that was the prototype for the later Apocryphal Apostles' Creed. Matthew 28:19 was invented along with the Apocryphal Apostles' Creed to counter so-called heretics and Gnostics that baptised in the name of Jesus Christ! Marcion although somewhat mixed up in some of his doctrine still baptised his converts the Biblical way in the name of Jesus Christ. Matthew 28:19 is the first non-Biblical Roman Catholic Creed! The spurious Catholic text of Matthew 28:19 was invented to support the newer triune, Trinity doctrine. Therefore, Matthew 28:19 is not the "Great Commission of Jesus Christ." Matthew 28:19 is the great Catholic hoax! Acts 2:38, Luke 24:47, and 1 Corinthians 6:11 give us the ancient original words and teaching of Yeshua/Jesus! Is it not also strange that Matthew 28:19 is missing from the old manuscripts of Sinaiticus, Curetonianus and Bobiensis? While the power of the episcopate and the significance of churches of apostolical (Catholic) foundation was thus greatly enhanced, the Gnostic crisis saw a corresponding development of (man-made non-inspired spurious) creed, at least in the West. Some form of instruction before baptism was common by the middle of the second century. At Rome this developed, apparently, between 150 and 175, and probably in opposition to Marcionite Gnosticism, into an explication of the baptismal formula of Matthew 28:19 the earliest known form of the so-called Apostles Creed."

The Trinity baptism and text of **Matthew 28:19** therefore did not originate from the original Church that started in Jerusalem around AD 33. It was rather, as the evidence proves, a later invention of Roman Catholicism that was completely fabricated. Very few know about these historical facts.

"The Demonstratio Evangelica" by Eusebius:

Eusebius was the Church historian and Bishop of Caesarea. On page 152 Eusebius quotes the early book of Matthew that he had in his library in Caesarea. According to this eyewitness of an unaltered Book of Matthew that could have been the original book or the first copy of the original of Matthew. Eusebius informs us of Jesus' actual words to his disciples in the original text of Matthew 28:19:

- "With one word and voice He said to His disciples: "Go, and make disciples of all nations in **My Name**, teaching them to observe all things what's over I have commanded you." That "Name" is Jesus."

Conclusion:

- None of the disciples baptised: "in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit."
- The Scholars quoted all agree that it was not part of the original text but an interpolation.

John 5:7 is changed - Comma Johanneum

- "For there are **three** that bear record **in heaven**, the **Father**, the **Word**, and the **Holy Ghost**: and these **three are one**." {John 5:7}

Many take this verse as "proof" of the Trinity doctrine, although even the Catholic Church had to confess, that this text was added later. The Vulgate does not contain these words since 1979. The SDA church was also forced to declare it a falsification:

- "For there are three: Hebrew practice, based on Deut. 17:6; 19:5: etc. demanded consistent testimony from two or three Witnesses before action was taken in certain legal disputes. John is here citing three witnesses in support in the Divinity of his Master (1 John 5:5, 6, 8), thus assuring his readers of the reliability of his statement. Textual evidence attests (cf. p. 10) the omission of the passage "in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth." The resultant reading of vs. 7, 8 are as follows: "for three that bear record, the Spirit, and the Water, and the Blood: and these three agree in one." The passage as given in the KJV is in no Greek MS earlier than the 15th and 16th centuries. The disputed words found their way into the KJV by way of the Greek text of Erasmus (see Vol. V, p. 141). It is said that Erasmus offered to include the disputed words in his Greek testament if he were shown one Greek MS that contained them. A library in Dublin produced such a MS (known as 34), and Erasmus included the passage in his text. It is now believed that the later editions of the Vulgate acquired the passage by the mistake of a scribe who included an exegetical marginal comment in the Bible text that he was copying. The disputed words have been widely used in support of the doctrine of the Trinity, but in view of such overwhelming evidence against their authenticity, their support is valueless and should not be used. In spite of their appearance in the Vulgate A Catholic Commentary on Holy Scripture freely admits regarding these words: "it is now generally held that this passage, called the Comma Johanneum, is a gloss that crept into the text of the Old Latin and Vulgate at an early date, but found its way into the Greek text only in the 15th and 16th centuries." {Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1951, p. 1186} {The Seventh Day Adventist Bible Commentary - Vol 7. p. 675 - 1962 edition emphasis supplied}

- **"...Is worthless and should not be used ..."** {The Seventh Day Adventist Bible Commentary, Vol. 7, p. 675, 1962 edition emphasis supplied}

Adventist Sabbath School lesson 2009 says the same:

- "In some versions of the Bible the words "in heaven: the Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit; and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness on earth" appear in 1. John 5:7,8. The **only problem is they are a later addition, not found in the original manuscripts.**" {Sabbath school lesson, August 26, 2009}}

Many theologians are desperately looking for the confirmation of this believed heresy, with arguments, that this verse is "correct", but our church has incomplete information. Luther's original 1545 Bible did not contain these incorrect words. They were ADDED after Luther's death in 1581, and then unfortunately also taken over into the King James Bible in 1611. These verses refer to the sacrifice of Jesus and not to the Trinity.

- "And there are three that **bear witness in earth, the spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one.**" {1 John 5:8 (5:7)}

John repeats the same thing that he described in the Gospel:

- "But one of the soldiers with a **spear pierced His side, and forthwith came there out blood and water.**" {John 19:34}

The Catholic humanist and theologian Desiderius Erasmus von Rotterdam inserted this described 'Comma Johanneum' into the New Testament, which he dedicated to Pope Leo X, in 1516. Allegedly because "suddenly" the scripts of Greek "refugees" from Constantinople appeared. Scientific methods have shown that these manuscripts Minuskel 61-Codex Monforti date from the 16th century.

That was the first "Greek" script with Comma Johanneum in 1 John 5:7,8. All other writings with such content appeared afterward "by chance". However, this "version" has now been removed from almost all modern Bible editions after the pressure of the evidence became too strong. 1 John 5:7, is the biggest falsification ever. The New Testament Bible translators were so zealous to support their belief in the triune God formula that they added it. Here is the passage:

- "For there are three that bear witness in heaven, the **Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit: and these three are one.**" {1 John 5:7} King James 2000 Bible

Brooke F. Westcott gave a long essay on 1 John 5:7 and then made the following Summary on it:

- **"THE WORDS ARE NOT FOUND:"**
- "In any independent Greek MS (more than 180 MSS and 50 Lectionaries are quoted). Both the late MS which contain it have unquestionably been modified by the Latin Vulgate"
- "In any independent Greek writer. The very few Greek writers who make use of the words derived their knowledge of them from the Latin (not in Ir Cl.Al Orig Did Athan Bas Greg. Naz Cyr.Al)"
- "Not in any ancient version except the Latin; and it was not found in the Old Latin in its early forms (Tert Cypr Aug), or (b) in the Vulgate as issued by Jerome (Codd. Am fuld or (c) as revised by Alcuin (Cod. Vallicell)"
- "In any Latin Father earlier than Victor Vitensis or Vigilius Tapsensis (not in Tert Cypr Hil Ambr Hier Aug Leo)"

Professor Keith Ward:

- “It **took the church hundreds of years to develop** what we now think of as the doctrine of the **Trinity**.”

Jonathan Hill:

- “It will be remembered that in the second century, Christian theologians such as Justin Martyr had used the old ‘logos’ idea – ultimately taken from pagan philosophy – to try to express this relationship. Christ was the ‘logos’, a sort of Quasi-God who functions as God’s agent. **This had formed the basis for the development of what would become the doctrine of the Trinity.**”

Bruce Metzger says:

- “Among the criticism levelled at Erasmus, one of the most serious appeared to be the charge of Stunica, one of the editors of Ximenes Complutensian Polyglot, that his Text lacked part of the Final chapter of 1 John, namely the Trinitarian statement concerning the Father, the word and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth’ (1 John v.7-8 King James Version). **Erasmus replied that he had NOT found any Greek manuscripts containing these words...** Erasmus promised that he would insert the Comma Johanneum, as it is called, in future editions if a single Greek manuscript could be found that contained the passage...**As it now appears, the Greek manuscripts had probably been written in Oxford about 1520 by a Franciscan friar named Froy (or Roy), who took the disputed words from the Latin Vulgate...** Among the thousands of Greek manuscripts of the New Testament examined since time of Erasmus only three others are known to contain this spurious passage They are Greg. 88, a twelfth-century manuscript which has the comma written in the margin in a seventeenth-century hand; Tisch. W 110, which is a sixteenth-century manuscript copy of the Complutensian Polyglot Greek Text... **The Comma probably originated as a piece of allegorical exegesis of the three witnesses and may have been written as a marginal gloss in a Latin Manuscript of 1 John, whence it was taken into the text of the Old Latin Bible during the fifth century. The passage does NOT appear in Manuscripts of the Latin Vulgate before about A.D. 800.**”

Ezra Abbott:

- “...I will here simply remind the reader that Erasmus introduced the passage into his **third edition of the Greek Testament in 1522** and Luther died in 1546.... Luther after quoting the passage of three heavenly witnesses, remarks:- ‘These words are not found in the Greek Bibles; but it seems as if this verse had been inserted by the Orthodox against the Arians..... **We may observe finally that other reformers and friends of Luther generally rejected the passage;** so Zwingli, Bullinger, Ecolampadius, Bugenhagen (Rickli, ubi supra, pp. 35, 36). So, also, according to Kettner (Histria dicti Johannei... 1 John v. 7 etc., 1713, cap. 13), Melancthon, Crucigner), Justus Jonas, Forester, Aurogallus. (see Semler, Hist. U krit. Sammulugun uber 1 John v. 7, 1. 248.) Bugenhagen, as we have seen, was especially strenuous against it; see his Exposito Jona, 1550, cited by Rickli, p. 39. It was also omitted in the Celebrated Latin version of the Bible by Leo Judae, Pellicanus, Peter Cholin, Rudolph Gualther, and others printed at Zürich in 1543. Fol., and commonly called the Zurich Bible or version Tigurina. A Marginal note explains the reason for its rejection.... To trace the history of this gross corruption of the Text in modern Translations, Catechisms and confessions of Faith, especially in the Greek church since the sixteenth century, and in modern editions of some ancient versions, as Peshito Syriac, Armenian and Slavonic, might be interesting and instructive, psychologically as well as critically; **but there is no room for it here.**”

Henry S. Francis:

- "The dogma of the **Trinity three persons in one God – was formulated by St. Augustine.**"

Joseph Priestley:

- "It has been shown that there is **no such doctrine** as that of the **Trinity in the Scriptures**, but I will now add that, if it had been found there, it would have been impossible for a reasonable man to believe it, as it implies a contradiction which no miracles can prove."

Pulpit Commentary:

- "Verse 7. – For those who bear witness are three, and thus constitute full legal testimony (Deuteronomy 17:6; Deuteronomy 19:15; Matthew 18:16; 2 Corinthians 13:1). It will be assumed here, without discussion, that the remainder of this verse and the first clause of verse 8 are spurious. **Words which are not contained in a single Greek uncial manuscript, nor in a single Greek cursive earlier than the fourteenth century (the two which contain the passage being evidently translated from the Vulgate), nor are quoted by a single Greek Father during the whole of the Trinitarian controversy, nor are found in any authority until late in the fifth century, cannot be genuine.**"

Edward Gibbon:

- "The Memorable text which asserts the unity of the THREE who bear witness in heaven is condemned by the universal silence of the Orthodox fathers, ancient versions and authentic manuscripts. It was first alleged by the Catholic bishops Whom Huneric summoned to the conference of Carthage. An allegorical interpretation in the form, perhaps, **of a marginal note invaded the text of the Latin Bibles which were renewed and corrected in the dark period of ten centuries. After the invention of the printing, the editors of the Greek Testament yielded to their own prejudices, or those of the times; and the pious fraud, which was embraced with equal zeal at Rome and at Geneva, has been indefinitely multiplied in every country and every language of modern Europe.**" It is quite clear from all the above scholarly statements that 1 John 5:7 is a falsification, and it originally was not part of the Bible but was added. Let us now quote references and see, where did the Trinity come from? Is the Trinity in the Bible? Did the Triune – God formula come from Jesus teachings or someone else i.e. the Church Fathers?

Theodore D. Beacon:

- "It is generally recognised that the doctrine of the Trinity is not directly taught in the Bible, but it is claimed that we do find there, set forth with great earnestness, the various elements, the disjecta membra, as they are called, **from which the doctrine was built up.**"

Conclusion:

All the evidence quoted by the experts proved that 1 John 5:7 is a fraud, it was added in the 1500th century by Erasmus. The words that are quoted by the KJV for 1 John 5:7 are not found in any Greek MSS. All in all the evidence we provided is in our favor that Matthew 28:19 and 1st John 5:7 are not genuine verses. Christians need to let go of the Trinity – Triune God, for Jesus never taught such doctrine. God never said he was a Trinity. The Bible never mentions anything about God being "three." The Bible never mentions anything about God referenced as a "Person." Lastly, the Bible never mentions anything about the "Holy Spirit" as God. I will finish off with these verses from Jesus' mouth and what God says of Himself in the Old Testament.

Westcott and Hort

Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus

The source of modern manipulated translations! Nowadays, almost all Bible translations have been adapted to the formulation in the Codex Sinaiticus (and Codex Vaticanus). Does this come from God? The origin of the Codex Sinaiticus was the city of Alexandria, which was a mystical-spiritualistic center of fallen Christians. Many words have been changed, to deny, that Jesus was always the literal Son of God. Interestingly, this Codex was just discovered on Mount Sinai in 1844, when God exalted His last people on Earth and His prophet Ellen White. Was that a coincidence?

Westcott and Hort corrected the King James Bible (1881 NT and 1884 AT) and replaced the Textus Receptus as a basis through the Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus. This modified version from 1885 has the name "Revised Version". The Codex Sinaiticus is serving today as the basis for almost all modern Bible translations since the beginning of the twentieth century. The theologian Dr. James R. White, director of the Alpha and Omega Ministries, described the following facts about Westcott and Hort's translation of the Bible:

- "Westcott and Hort use Sinaiticus and Vaticanus to elaborate the New Testament, the **work that eliminates the text used in the King James Bible, later known in scientific studies as the Textus Receptus.**" {Dr. James R. White: The King James Only Controversy, p. 33}
- "We see the work of Westcott and Hort on the Greek New Testament as the central point of the effort to **remove the King James Version** from the throne and to **replace the Greek text as its basis with the Textus Receptus.**" {Dr. James R. White: The King James Only Controversy, p. 99}

Did such manipulations of the Bible by Westcott and Hort leave any consequences or influences? Wikipedia explains it best:

- "The international committee that prepared the Greek New Testament of **United Bible Societies** not only **took the Westcott & Hort edition as a textual basis**, but also followed its methodology." {Wikipedia: "The New Testament in the Original Greek"}

Is there real evidence that the enemy is active to change the Bible? Helena P. Blavatsky, the founder of the anthroposophists and well-known spiritualist ("coincidentally" from the time of Ellen White) has written openly in many places that her "God" is Lucifer. She has conducted the spiritualistic séances with Westcott and Hort as well as with Charles Darwin. She wrote that Westcott and Hort were the "true" students who enabled the "errors" in the King James Bible to be eliminated, and that a "true" translation of the Bible would be based only on the Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus, and that this would be a "revelation" for the twentieth century, through which the Bible could finally be "better understood" because the old Protestant version of the KJB was not "compatible" with the Codex Sinaiticus from Alexandria.

She also wrote that only the occultists were "able" to save the "true" form of the Bible and that this new translation had finally broken the connection with the old translation of the King James Bible. One of the first Bibles based on the Codex Sinaiticus was the Elberfelder Bible in Germany. For this reason, the Elberfelder Bible is not recommended, although some details have been translated more precisely. These correct texts only lead a reader to view the manipulated verses as a more precise translation. Such kind of seduction has always been the enemy's tactic. The Codex Vaticanus also comes from the other side! And its influence is not only found in the Catholic Bible. The Adventist theologians of today are using this newly discovered spiritualistic Codex Sinaiticus as a "proof" that Jesus is "not" a literal son.

www.first-commandment-or-trinity.com

[First Commandment OR Trinity – Encyclopedia of Evidences of the Old Trampled Truth of All Areas](#) Goran Šušljic 310 Pages
DOWNLOAD PDF

[World dictatorship and religion = imminent coming of Jesus. Past and future of the whole universe](#) 8 Pages PDF DOWNLOAD

[The Great Controversy Between Christ And His Angels And Satan And His Angels 1884](#) Ellen White 456 Pages DOWNLOAD PDF

