

Falsifications of the Books of Ellen White

Goran Šušljic



There are falsifications in the **Bible**, but Matthew 28:19.20 and 1. John 5:7 are the most important. We are going to study this question in the following chapters. Ellen White: Are the claims that today's faith in the church is an "advance" in the knowledge of the "truth" correct?

- "One thing it is certain is soon to be realized,—the **great apostasy**, which is developing and **increasing and waxing stronger, and will continue to do so until the Lord shall descend** from heaven with a shout." {Ellen White: Testimonies, Series B, No.7, S. 57 & NYI February 7, 1906, par. 1}
- "But the **Lord will raise up men of keen perception, who will give these truths their proper place in the plan of God.**" {Ellen White: SpTB02 51.2}

Fallen theologians needed the strongest arguments for their apostasy, and as the "main evidence" for the Trinity they are using few quotations "from" Ellen White, such as "three heavenly dignitaries", "heavenly trio" or "three living persons". One perfect falsification does not mean that you are writing one text with a different font. In the case of professional falsifications, you have to imitate the original font perfectly. Otherwise, it would not be one real falsification!

Think about the statement made by **ROGER MORNEAU**, who was a Satanist and came back to the true God. He wrote about how the demons had typed themselves the texts on the typewriters. The fallen angels are also appearing as perfect imitations of dead people. Is it possible to detect falsifications of the handwriting from their hands? Certainly not, because they can do perfect imitations. For this reason, the best answer to the question is if something is one falsification, is such a text consistent with the majority of the remaining quotations, or is it 180 degrees opposite! We are at war with the supernatural beings and not just with the servants on the other side!

Ellen White herself wrote in **1909** that God the Father and His Son Jesus are the only two Divine Beings:

- **"God and Christ alone know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, 1909, par. 8}

A true prophet never contradicts himself. Further development of true teachings does not mean a diametrically opposite direction, what happened with the Trinity, but only a deepening of an already revealed truth! We see an example of an "addition" below:

Originals:

- **"Jesus** answered and said unto him, If a man loves **Me**, he will keep **My** words: and **My Father** will love him, and **We will come unto him**, and make our abode with him." {John 14:23}
- "He that hateth **Me** hateth **My Father** also." {John 15:23}

Falsifications:

- "If a man love **Me**, he will keep my words; and **My Father** will love him, and **We will** come unto him, and make our abode with him." (John 15, 23) **We, i.e., the Father, Son, and the HOLY GHOST**, [will come] and make our abode in him." {Ellen White: 8MR, 408.2}

The forgers deliberately wrote chapter 15 instead of 14 (Gospel John) to better hide the forgery. Why conscious? Although this verse only speaks of Father and Jesus, the opposed and falsified continuation of the text also contains the holy Spirit (i.e. the Trinity). How can we recognize that something is fake? When a small number of texts contradicts the texts in large numbers. EGW has herself confirmed that some passages in the Bible have been changed:

- "I saw that God had especially guarded the Bible; yet when copies of it were few, learned men had in some instances changed the words, thinking that they were making it more plain, when in reality they were mystifying that which was plain, by causing it to lean to their established views, which were governed by tradition." {Ellen White, Early Writings, 220.2+221.1,1882}

Why came such explosion of the Trinity teaching with direct worship of the holy Spirit in all recent churches? Because we are right before the coming of Jesus, and Satan wants to emphasize his false divinity. That is why in most ecumenical documents it is clear and unambiguous that the only basis of ecumenism is the confession of the Triune God. Accepting Trinity is the only requirement to join the world ecumenical organizations! In the live broadcast (in the year 1985) from John Akenberg, Walter Martin, (author of the book 'Whether the Adventists Are a Sect'), a **journalist from Evangelical circles, clearly demonstrated the true history and faith of the old Adventists.**

This happened during the **interview with Dr. William Johnsson**, who was one of the most important Adventist theologians in General Conference and **editor-in-chief of Adventist Review**. **Walter Martin** knew the old Advent story better than most Adventists, and Dr. Johnsson, if you look at his irrefutable evidence. A summary of his statement in this regard:

- **“Adventists accepted the Trinity much later after the death of Ellen White.** In the last years of her life and for **many years after her death, this doctrine was not part of the Adventist faith;** it was not taught. **Ellen White had an Arian belief until her death.** For this reason, **some of her quotations have been removed,** because those very clearly disrupted the new trinitarian teachings.“

Crushed by this evidence, **Dr. Johnsson** admitted the following in defense:

- **“If the whole thing was true, was Ellen White at that time, not a prophet.“**

Instead of humbly saying that he will study the whole topic and the words of Ellen White deeply, and would make the decision what is really the truth.

Did those falsifications already begin during Ellen White's lifetime and did they really take place?

Ellen White was sent from 1891 until 1900 to Australia against her will so that the leaders of the apostasy could begin with falsifications without interference from Ellen White:

- “I have not, I think, revealed the entire workings that led me here to Australia. Perhaps you may never fully understand the matter. The **Lord was not in our leaving America. He did not reveal that it was His will that I should leave Battle Creek. The Lord did not plan this, but He let you all move after YOUR OWN imaginings.** The Lord would have had W. C. White, his mother, and her workers remain **in America, we were needed** at the heart of the work, and had your spiritual perception discerned the true situation, you would never have consented to the movements made. But the Lord read the hearts of all. There was so **great a willingness to have us leave that the Lord permitted this thing to take place.** Those who **were weary of the testimonies borne** were left without the persons who **bore** them. Our separation from Battle Creek was to let men have **their own will and way,** which **they thought superior** to the way of the **Lord.**“ {Ellen White: 11LtMs, Lt 127, 1896, par. 4}

In the years 1877/1888, before moving to Australia, Ellen White wrote the original book “Spirit of Prophecy - **Lord Jesus Christ**“.

During her absence, her secretary **MARIAN DAVIS** compiled this book ALONE.

The new name of the book (which unfortunately also contains a small number of manipulated quotations) was **“Desire of Ages**“.

According to Marian Davis, she “only” looked for suitable quotations from EGW and inserted them. At that time, Marian Davis was surrounded and influenced by the leaders of apostasy at the time, such as Prof. PRESCOTT.

- “At that time, Professor **PRESCOTT** was tremendously interested in **presenting Christ as the great “I Am” and in emphasizing the eternity of His existence, using frequently the expression “The Eternal Son”.** Also he connected the **“I AM”** of Exodus 3:14, which of course was Christ the Second Person of the Godhead, with the fulfillment of Jesus in John 8:58, which we all agree to; but then linked it up with other “I am” in that Gospel - 7 of them, such as “I am the Bread of life” “I am the Light of the world” “I am the door of the Sheep” etc. all very rich in their spiritual teaching - but which seemed a little far fetched to me especially as the “I am” in all those latter cases is merely in the copula in the Greek, as well as in English. But he insisted on his interpretation. Sr. **Marian Davis seem to fall for it,** and lo and behold, when “Desire of Ages” came out, there appeared that **IDENTICAL TEACHING** on pages 24 and 25, which, I think, **can be looked for in vain in any of Sr. White’s published works prior to that time.**“ {H. C. Lacey letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom Aug. 30, 1945}

- "In this connection, of course you know that Sr. **Marian Davis** was entrusted with the **preparation** of "**Desire of Ages**" and that she gathered her material from every available source from Sr. White's books already in print, from unpublished manuscripts, from private letters, stenographical reports of her talks etc. - but perhaps you may not know that she (Sr. Davis) was greatly worried about finding material for the first chapter. She appealed to me personally many times as she was arranging that chapter (and other chapters too for that matter) and I did what I could to help her; I have good reason to believe that she also appealed to **Professor Prescott for similar aid**, and got it too in far richer and more abundant measure than I could render." (H.C. Lacey letter to L.E. From Aug. 30, 1945)

After reading the book 'Desire of Ages' from 1898, many theologians of that time asked if Ellen White had **suddenly accepted Trinity**? How such Trinitarian "additions" came into the books of Ellen White can be seen in the following example: In the original quote from the second volume - Spirit of Prophecy: "The **Great Controversy between Lord Jesus Christ and Satan**" from 1877, Ellen White wrote the following:

- "Martha, not comprehending the full meaning of Jesus, answered that she knew he would arise in the resurrection, at the last day. But Jesus, seeking to give a true direction to her faith, said, **I am the resurrection, and the life; he that believeth in Me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me, shall never die. Believest thou this?**" {Ellen White, 'Spirit of Prophecy vol. 2', 1877, p. 362-363}

During the absence of EGW, this quote got (in the year 1898) in the book "Desire of Ages" a falsified extension", which is marked with the RED color:

- "Martha answered "I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection at the last day." Still seeking to give a true direction to her faith, Jesus declared, "I am the resurrection, and the life." **In Christ is life, original, unborrowed, underived.** "He that hath the Son hath life." 1 John 5:12. The **Divinity** of Christ is the believer's assurance of eternal life. "He that believeth in Me," said Jesus, "though he were dead, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me shall never die. Believest thou this?" {Ellen White, Desire of Ages, 1898, p. 530}

The book "Desire of Ages" serves today as a special "proof" for the doctrine of the Trinity. Most Adventists did not even get any information that in the official archive does not exist even a single original chapter of "Desire of Ages". During her time in Australia, Ellen White had her new books printed exclusively in a NON-Adventist print shop because she obviously had no longer confidence to print them with Pacific Press. And just at the time of her absence, the supplemented book "Desire of Ages" was "coincidental" printed in the Pacific Press. Has Ellen White, as God's prophetess, recognized all the apostasy and attacks on the Adventists?

- "Message after message has come to me from the Lord concerning the dangers surrounding you (**A. G. Daniells**) and **Elder Prescott**. I have seen that satan would have been greatly pleased to see Elders Prescott and Daniells undertake the work of a general overhauling of our books that have done a good work in the field for years. **But neither of you is called of God to that work....I have been instructed that the Lord is not the author of the proposal to make many changes in books already published.**" {Ellen White, Lt 70, 1910, p. 2+15}

Prof. Prescott was confronted with the truth claims that he had made falsified "additions" in Ellen White's books. To "calm down," he said at the 1919 Bible conference that he "only" wrote one word in the "Great Controversy" without EGW knowing it so that the statement from EGW would be "more understandable". This is further confirmation of the source from which other "understandable" quotations in her books came. Many think that such falsifications are not possible because all quotations from EGW have been confirmed as authentic by the conference, which is considered the official "voice of God". What was Ellen White's attitude towards this?

- “Before the **fire came which swept away the Review and Herald factory**, I was in distress for many days.... It was then that I saw the representation of danger, a **sword of fire** turning this way and that way...The next news was that the Review and Herald building had been consumed by fire, but that not one life had been lost. In this the Lord spoke mercy with judgment. **The mercy of God was mingled with judgment to spare the lives of the workers.**” {Ellen White: Ms 20, 1903, April 3, par. 6}
- “The very worst thing that could now be done would be for the Review and Herald office to be once more built up in Battle Creek. The way has been opened for it to break its association there, association with worldly men, which ought to be broken. Unjustifiable commercial business has been carried on, because the money that it brought in was needed...Pernicious matter has been published right in our office, and if some part of the work had to be delayed, it was the work on the books containing the light of truth. This was greatly displeasing to the Lord. **The apprentices were being educated in the false doctrines contained in the matter brought in. And the REVIEW and HERALD presses were sending these FALSE doctrines out to the world.**” {Ellen White: Ms 20, 1903, April 3, par. 8}
- “Yet we **hear that** the voice of the **Conference is the voice of God**. Every time I have heard this, I have thought that **it was almost blasphemy**. The voice of the Conference ought to be the **voice of God, but it is not...**” {Ellen White, 16LtMs, Ms 37, 1901, par.18}

The heavenly TRIO - Three LIVING Persons?

Falsification:

- “There are **THREE LIVING PERSONS of the heavenly trio**; in the name of these three great powers--the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit-- those who receive Christ by living faith are baptized, and these powers will co-operate with the obedient subjects of heaven in their efforts to live the new life in Christ...” {Ellen White: Testimonies for the Church, Series B, No. 7, 63, 1905. Evangelism, p. 615}

Those words are falsification, and one blasphemous attack on the true God, and contradict all the verses about the seal of the ONLY LIVING God is in Revelation, which are calling us to worship the only true God, and to make the decision, whose seal we will accept.

The fallen theologians are trying through the title “THREE **LIVING** Persons” to turn the seal of the living God (Father) into a seal of the “LIVING” Trinity. Who is the true holder of the title “the ONLY LIVING God?”

Original:

- “And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the **SON of the LIVING GOD.**” {Matthew 16:16}
- “For they themselves shew of us what manner of entering in we had unto you, and how ye turned to God from idols to serve the **LIVING and true God**; And to wait for **His Son** from heaven, whom he raised from the dead, even Jesus, which delivered us from the wrath to come.” {1. Thessalonians 1:9.10}
- “...it is **God, the ONLY true and LIVING God**, to whom our worship and reverence are due. ...Let the brightest example the world has yet seen be your example, rather than the greatest and most learned men of the age, who know **not God, nor Jesus Christ** whom he has sent. **The Father and the Son alone are to be exalted.**” {Ellen White: Y1, July 7, 1898 par. 2}

- The **SEAL of the LIVING GOD** belongs only to God the Father, and not to a “living” trio! It shows the name and identity of its Owner.
- “And I saw another angel ascending from the east, having the **seal of the LIVING God**: and he cried with a loud voice to the four angels, to whom it was given to hurt the earth and the sea, Saying, Hurt not the earth, neither the sea, nor the trees, till we have sealed the servants of our God in their foreheads.” {Revelation 7:2.3}
- “And I looked, and, lo, a Lamb stood on the mount Zion, and with Him an hundred forty and four thousand, having **His FATHER's NAME written in their foreheads.**” {Revelation 14:1} Textus Receptus

In some translations, influenced through the Codex Sinaiticus, it says that the seal of God also includes the name of Jesus, but this does not correspond to the original Bible and the rest of Revelation.

Only God the Father is the Bearer of the seal, and His Son Jesus is the only way to the Father and His Seal:

- “Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: **no man cometh unto the Father, but by Me.**” {John 14:6}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in **conjunction** with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity.

By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like “three heavenly powers” without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**

- “Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **ONE God** and **one Saviour**; and one Spirit—the **Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks.” {Ellen White, 9T, p. 189}
- “**God is the Father of Christ; Christ is the Son of God.** To Christ has been given an exalted position. **He has been made equal with the Father.** All the counsels of God are opened to His Son.” {Ellen White, Testimonies Volume 8, p. 268}
- “The **divine Spirit** that the world's Redeemer promised to send, is the presence and power of God.” {Ellen White: ST, November 23, 1891}
- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the **dictionary that one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics.**” {W. C. White 1935 Letter to H. W. Carr}

God is omnipresent! When we combine all of the texts, there remains only one answer, which is that the Father is power, the Son is power, and the holy Spirit is the power of the Father and the Son. Why should the Spirit, which proceeds from the Father and Son as the third symbolic personality, not have the same power and power when He is in the presence of the Father and the Son in the universe?

- “And when He had said this, **He breathed on them, and saith unto them, Receive ye the holy Ghost:**” {John 20:22}

- "**Christ** declared that after His ascension, He would send to His church, as His crowning gift, the Comforter, who was to take His place. This **Comforter is the holy Spirit,—the soul of HIS life**, the efficacy of his church, the light and life of the world. With **HIS Spirit Christ** sends a reconciling influence and a power that takes away sin." {Ellen White: RH, 19. May 1904. par. 1}
- "**Christ gives them the breath of HIS own SPIRIT, the life of His own life.** The **holy Spirit** puts forth its highest energies to work in heart and mind." {Ellen White: DA, p. 827}

The breath of Christ is His Spirit and Life and not a third Divine Being. If one regards the Father and son as the first and second person, and the third person as their power and their own omnipresence, it is the correct interpretation of the terms 'Three highest powers in heaven' and 'Heavenly Trio', although today's theologians take the following quotations from Ellen White, which are viewed in isolation left to a trinity to establish their heresy:

- "There are **three living persons of the heavenly trio**; in the name of these three great powers--the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit-- those who **receive Christ by living faith** are baptized, and these powers will co-operate with the obedient subjects of heaven in their efforts to live the new life in Christ." {Ellen White: Testimonies for the Church, Series B, No. 7, 63, 1905. Evangelism, p. 615}

Is this statement evidence of the Trinity? Three living persons? Who is this third person? Are we teaching that the holy Spirit is a "dead energy" spread everywhere? Because the holy Spirit is the soul of Jesus' life, it must be alive. Who lives in us? Father and Jesus in their omnipresence. The Bible verse below enables us to understand again what the heavenly trio really is, and that the holy Spirit as a third person personality is not independent but, in a way incomprehensible to us, is it the manifestation of Father and Son.

- "Simon Peter, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ, to them that have obtained like precious **faith** with us through the **righteousness of God and our Saviour Jesus Christ**: Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the **knowledge of God, and of Jesus our Lord**, According as **His divine power** hath given unto us all things that pertain unto life and godliness, through the knowledge of Him that hath called us to glory and virtue: Whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises: **that by these ye might be partakers of the divine nature**, having escaped the corruption i.e. in the world through lust." {2 Peter 1,1-4}
- "The **Saviour is our Comforter**. This I have **proved Him to be.**" {Ellen White: 8MR, p. 49, 1892}
- "**Christ tells us that the holy Spirit is the Comforter**, and the Comforter is the holy Ghost, "the Spirit of truth, which the Father shall send in My name. [...] **This refers to the omnipresence of the Spirit of Christ, called the Comforter.**" {Ellen White: 14MR, p. 179}

Using the term heavenly trio, the teaching from Dr. Kellogg, other theologians also started preaching heresies about a "fused" God. William Edwin Boardman has spread his "truth" that these three personalities existed "as one" god. Ellen White has resolutely refused, stating that they are a heavenly trio and not three "fused" persons. A trio means 3 and not 1. This doctrine has arrived in the Adventist Church as a further stage of apostasy, and it was decided in the general conference to take the point of faith, that this "one" God consists of the Father, Son, and holy Spirit.

Some theologians went even further in their apostasy and claim that the Father, Son, and holy Spirit are completely "one" God, whose three personalities are just different forms of HIS manifestation in the context of the plan of salvation. But we have to stay at the Bible. There is only one God, Who has one literal Son. The name 'ONE God' means in the complete holy Bible exclusively God the Father.

Three greatest and highest heavenly Powers?

Original:

- "But in the **transgression** of man both the **Father and the Son were dishonored.**" {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, December 12, 1895, par. 7}
- "**God and Christ ALONE know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, **1909**, par. 8}
- "The **Father and the Son ALONE** are to be **exalted.**" {Ellen White: The Youth's Instructor, July 7, 1898, par. 2}
- "It is not essential for us to be able to define just what the **holy Spirit** is. Christ tells us that the Spirit is the Comforter, "the Spirit of truth, which proceedeth from the Father." It is plainly declared regarding the holy Spirit that, in His work of guiding men into all truth, "**He shall NOT SPEAK of HIMSELF.**" John 15:26; 16:13." {Ellen White: AA 51.3}

We can read in all the original texts that only the Father and the Son bear the dignity and honor and that only They are entitled to the exaltation as heavenly Leaders. We can also read that the holy Spirit does not speak from itself and that only God the Father and the Son of Jesus have knowledge of what human souls have cost. The missing of knowledge is definitely not a characteristic of one Divine Being!

Falsifications:

- "Keep yourselves where the **three great powers** of heaven, the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit, can be your efficiency." {Ellen White: SW, February 23. 1904, p. 122 }
- "We are to cooperate with the **three highest powers** in heaven,—the Father, the Son, and the holy Ghost,—and these powers will work through us, making us workers together with God." {Ellen White: Special Testimonies, Series B, Nr. 7, p. 51. 1905} {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}
- "**Our sanctification is the work of the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit.** It is the fulfillment of the covenant God has made with those who bind themselves up with Him, to stand with Him, His Son, and His Spirit in holy fellowship. Have you been born again? Have you become a new being in Christ Jesus? Then cooperate with the **three great powers of heaven** who are working in your behalf." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 908.11, 1901}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in conjunction with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity. By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" without taking the quote out of context.

Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!** In Revelation John, the last book of the Bible, which is, according to the supporters of the Trinity, the summit of the understanding of the truth, stands in the entire fourth and fifth chapter the description of 'He Who (Father) sits on the throne, and the lamb (who is not sitting on the chair). In Revelation, it is impossible to produce any Trinity. Jesus used a symbolic description as a Lamb to emphasize His sacrifice for us!

Many Trinity theological attempt to destroy such clear evidence, but claim that the Trinity sits on the throne and that the Lamb is just a representation of the sacrifice and not a direct description of Jesus! This teaching is completely pointless because in this verse Father and Son both get an honor, i.e. only due to a being who made a sacrifice for us, and not to a sacrifice itself!

- "And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and power, be unto **Him That sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever.**" {Revelation 5:13}

The truth is present in many other places in the Bible, such as:

- "There is one body, and one Spirit, even as ye are called in one hope of your calling; One Lord (Jesus), one faith, one baptism, **One God and Father of all, who is above all, and through all, and in you all.**" {Ephesians 4:4-6}

Ellen White wrote also in 1905 completely clear that the holy Spirit is not a third being, and defines what these three heavenly forces are, and what the heavenly trio means, i.e. not a trio of 3 beings:

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ** —is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, **1905**}

If we look at other quotes from her, we can get an understanding of what she meant under heavenly trio and the three highest forces in heaven:

- "Cumbered with humanity, **Christ** could not be in every place personally; therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and send the holy Spirit to be His successor on earth. The **holy Spirit is Himself (JESUS), divested of the personality of humanity** and independent thereof. **He would represent Himself** as present in all places by His holy Spirit, **as the Omnipresent.**" {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}
- "We want the **holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

Has Ellen White suddenly believed in 1898 that the holy Spirit had become a "third being"? Certainly not, as she has already stated in countless quotes that only Father and Son are Divine Beings and that They manifest Their power and presence through the holy Spirit. Together with the other quotations, we can understand all quotations only in that way, without dragging them out of context, as, for example, other churches do with the "ghost" of death Samuel with Saul, to "prove" life after death.

- "We are to cooperate with the **three highest powers in heaven**,--the Father, the Son, and the holy Ghost,--and these powers will work through us, making us workers together with God."{{Ellen White: Special Testimonies, Series B, Nr. 7, p. 51. **1905**} {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}
- "Keep yourselves where the **three great powers of heaven**, the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit, can be your efficiency." {Ellen White: SW, February 23. **1904**, p. 122 }
- "Our sanctification is the **work of the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit.** It is the fulfillment of the covenant God has made with those who bind themselves up with Him, to stand with Him, His Son, and His Spirit in holy fellowship. Have you been born again? Have you become a new being in Christ Jesus? Then cooperate with the three great **powers of heaven** who are working in your behalf." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 908.11, 1901}

Those three highest powers are not defined, only enumerated. It is said that there are three supreme powers in heaven, without one statement about the structure of those three powers. We can only understand this question correctly in the light of what has already been written. God the Father, God the Son, and **God the holy Spirit?**

The truth is that Dr. Kellogg soon after the pantheistic doctrine went over to the Trinity. His belief was: three eternal and equal divine beings, like most Adventists today! We know that the statements of Ellen White cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity. This shows us the only way in which we can interpret the expression "three heavenly powers" without taking her quotation out of context.

- "Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White, 9T, p. 189}
- "**God is the Father of Christ; Christ is the Son of God. To Christ has been given an exalted position.** He has been made equal with the Father. All the counsels of God are opened to His Son." {Ellen White, Testimonies Volume 8, p. 268}
- "The **Divine Spirit** that the world's Redeemer promised to send, **is the presence and power of God.**" {Ellen White: ST, November 23, 1891}
- "My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics.**" {W. C. White 1935 Letter to H. W. Carr}

Is God (the Father) not present? When we combine all of the quotations, there remains only one answer, which is that the Father is power, the Son is power, and the holy Spirit is the power OF the Father and the Son. And as we read in the book Evangelism: the Father is God, the Son is God, and the holy Spirit is IN God! Why should the Spirit, which proceeds from the Father and Son as the third symbolic personality, not has the same power and strength when He is the personal presence of the Father and the Son in the universe?

- "Christ declared that after His ascension, he would send to his church, as His crowning gift, the Comforter, who was to take His place. **This Comforter is the holy Spirit,—the soul of His (JESUS) life,** the efficacy of his church, the light and life of the world. **With HIS Spirit Christ sends a reconciling influence and a power** that takes away sin." {Ellen White: RH, 19. May 1904. par. 1}
- "**Christ gives them the breath of HIS OWN Spirit,** the life of His own life. The holy Spirit puts forth its highest energies to work in heart and mind." {Ellen White: DA, p. 827}
- "And when He had said this, **He breathed on them,** and saith unto them, **Receive ye the holy Ghost**" {John 20:22}

The breath of Christ is His Spirit and Life and not a third Divine Being. If we regard the Father and Son as the first and second person, we can become the correct interpretation of the terms 'Three highest powers in heaven' and 'Heavenly Trio', although today's theologians take the following quotations from Ellen White, which in isolation enables to establish their heresy of trinity :

- "There are **three living persons of the heavenly trio;** in the name of these three great powers--the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit-- those who receive Christ by living faith are baptized, and these powers will co-operate with the obedient subjects of heaven in their efforts to live the new life in Christ." {Ellen White: Testimonies for the Church, Series B, No. 7, 63, 1905. Evangelism, p. 615}

Is this statement evidence of the Trinity? Are three persons alive? Who is this third person? Are we teaching, that the holy Spirit is a "deadly force" spread everywhere?

Because the holy Spirit is the soul of Jesus' life, it must be alive. Who lives in us? Father and Jesus in Their omnipresence. The quote below enables us to understand again, what the heavenly trio really is, and that the holy Spirit as a third person personality is not independent, but in a way that we cannot understand, the manifestation of Father and Son.

- "Simon Peter, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ, to them that have **obtained like precious faith** with us through the righteousness of **God (Father) and our Saviour Jesus Christ**: Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the **knowledge of God, AND of Jesus** our Lord, According as **His divine power** hath given unto us all things that pertain unto life and godliness, through the knowledge of Him that hath called us to glory and virtue: Whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises: that by these **ye might be partakers of the divine nature**, having escaped the corruption i.e. in the world through lust." {2 Peter 1:1-4}
- "**Christ tells us that the HOLY Spirit is the Comforter**, and the Comforter is the holy Ghost, "the Spirit of truth, which the Father shall send in My name. [...] **This refers to the omnipresence of the SPIRIT of CHRIST, called the Comforter.**" {Ellen White: 14MR, p. 179}
- "The **Saviour is our Comforter**. This I have proved Him to be." {Ellen White: 8MR, p. 49, 1892}

Using the term heavenly trio, the teaching from Dr. Kellogg, other theologians also started preaching heresies about a "fused" God. William Edwin Boardman has spread his "truth" that these three personalities existed "as one" god. Ellen White has resolutely refused, stating that they are a heavenly trio and not three "fused" persons.

A trio means 3 and not 1. This doctrine has arrived in the Adventist Church as a further stage of apostasy, and it was decided in the general conference to take the point of faith, that this "one" God consists of the Father, Son, and holy Spirit. Some theologians went even further in their apostasy and claim that the Father, Son, and holy Spirit are completely "one" God, whose three personalities are just different forms of HIS manifestation in the context of the plan of salvation.

Three Authorities?

Original:

We have to stay at the Bible. There is only one God, Who has one literal Son. The name 'ONE God' means in the complete holy Bible exclusively God the Father.

- "**The Father and the Son alone are to be exalted.**" {Ellen White: The Youth's Instructor, July 7, 1898, par. 2}
- "But in the **transgression** of man both the **Father and the Son were dishonored.**" {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, December 12, 1895, par. 7}
- "**God and Christ alone know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, **1909**, par. 8}
- "It is not essential for us to be able to define just what the **holy Spirit** is. Christ tells us that the Spirit is the Comforter, "the Spirit of truth, **which proceedeth from the Father.**" It is plainly declared regarding the holy Spirit that, in His work of guiding men into all truth, "**He shall not speak of Himself.**" John 15:26; 16:13." {Ellen White: AA 51.3}

We can read in all the original quotations, that only the Father and the Son bear the dignity and honor, and that only They are entitled to get the exaltation as heavenly leaders.

We can also read that the holy Spirit does not speak of itself and that only God the Father and Son of Jesus have knowledge of what human souls have cost. The Missing of knowledge is definitely not a characteristic of one Divine Being!

Falsifications:

The "adapted" expressions below completely contradict complete the texts above, which contradicts all other texts from Ellen White. Only through such comparisons can we realize that these changes were planned:

- "The **Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit, the three holy dignitaries** of heaven, have declared that they will strengthen men to overcome the powers of darkness. All the facilities of heaven are pledged to those who by their baptismal vows have entered into a covenant with God"
- "The **eternal heavenly dignitaries--God, and Christ, and the holy Spirit**--arming them [the disciples] with more than mortal energy, ...would advance with them to the work and convince the world of sin." {Ellen White: Ms 145, 1901. Evangelism, p. 616.4}

It is interesting that in the „just found" lower citations "by" Ellen White, there is a form of praying and worship of the Trinity, that has entered the SDA church in last years as the apostasy deepens. No Trinitarian in Ellen White's time prayed that way!

Is this a coincidence or the current continuation of attacks on the truth to proof the Trinity?

- "When I feel oppressed, and hardly know how to relate myself toward the work that God has given me to do, I just **call upon** the **three great Worthies**, and **say; You** (Trinity) know I cannot do this work in my own strength. **You** must work in me, and by me and through me." {Ellen White: 7MR 267.2 **Sermons and Talks**, Vol. 1, 367, Sermon given October 20, 1906}
- "And then the golden harps are touched, and the music flows all through the heavenly host, and **they fall down and worship** the **Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit** (Trinity)." {Ellen White: MS139, 1906}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in conjunction with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity. By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.

This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. **They have one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, 1905}
- "We want the **holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66 April 10, 1894 par. 18}

- "**Cumbered with humanity, Christ could not** be in every place personally; therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and **send the holy Spirit** to be His successor on earth. The **holy Spirit is Himself**, divested of the personality of humanity and independent thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by **His holy Spirit**, as the **Omnipresent**." {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

These are these three heavenly dignitaries! Father, Son and Their Spirit, Their almighty living personal presence. The top three quotes explain the meaning of other quotes where the three heavenly dignitaries are enumerated without going deeper into who and what they are.

- "The **testimonies themselves will be the key that will explain the messages given**, as scripture is explained by scripture." "Regarding the testimonies, nothing is ignored; nothing is cast aside; but time and place must be considered." {Ellen White: 1SM, p. 42, 57}

For this reason, we can interpret the quotations only below in the context of the rest of the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy. Many Trinity representatives emphasize that Ellen White was only an ancient prophet and that we later "realized the truth more deeply" so that we only need biblical "evidence" for trinity. At the same time, they use Ellen White citations out of context, to show her authority as "evidence" of Trinity. At the same time, they reject her as one prophet of God as soon one deeper research leads them to the fact that Ellen White rejected the Trinity to the end of her life. Does the Spirit of the Father and of Jesus, which comes forth from the Father and Son and makes Them omnipresent, have no dignity?

Does their spirit, through which the Father and Son are speaking to us, not bearing Their dignity? Without dignity, the holy Spirit would not have divine origin! The spirit means Their personal appearance everywhere. Godly Being, Which bears the highest dignity on the throne is God the Father, and not a Trinity!

Ellen White clearly writes that only God the Father and Jesus are entitled to an exaltation! She wrote nowhere in all her quotes that all three have to be worshiped, or that all three are one being. We can get the definition of the properties in other quotations:

- "**By the Spirit the Father and the Son will come and make their abode** with you." {Ellen White: Bible Echo and Signs of the Times, January 15, 1893 par. 8}
- "My perplexities were lessened a little when **I learned from the dictionary that one of the meanings of personality**, was **Characteristics**." {W.C. White, 1935, Letter to H.W. Carr}

Does God the Father have a higher dignity than Jesus? According to Ellen White, yes:

- "The **great Jehovah** has proclaimed from **HIS throne**, "This is **My beloved Son**." {Ellen White: DA, p. 579.4}
- "**It is God, the ONLY true and living God**, to whom our **worship and reverence** are due. [...] The **Father and the Son alone are to be exalted**." {Ellen White: YI, July 7, 1898 par. 2}
- "And immediately I was in the spirit: and, behold, **a throne was set in heaven, and ONE seat** on the throne." {Revelation 4:2}
- "But in the transgression of man both the **Father and the Son were dishonored**." {Ellen White: ST, December 12, 1895 par. 7}

Why Ellen White doesn't write, that the holy Spirit has to be also honored if it were a third Divine Being? Why is the holy Spirit not worshiped or mentioned as a third Divine Being either before the beginning or after the end of the war against sin?

In the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy, only the Father and Son are named as Divine Beings!

In Revelation John, in the entire fourth and fifth chapters, stands a description of 'He Who (Father) sits on the throne, and the Lamb on the right side of Him.

In Revelation is impossible to produce a Trinity. The pictorial description of Jesus as a lamb emphasizes the deepness of His sacrifice for us. Many Trinity advocates attempt to destroy such clear evidence, claiming that the Trinity sits on the throne and that the Lamb is just a representation of the sacrifice. Otherwise, we can read, that in all eternity, after the victory over sin, only Father and Son are worshiped:

- And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and power, be **unto Him That sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever.**" {Revelation 5:13}
- "In your hands will be placed a golden harp, and touching its strings, you will join with the **redeemed** host in filling all heaven with songs of **praise to God and His Son.**" {Ellen White: AUCR January 15, 1903, par. 14}
- "All the redeemed saints will see and appreciate as never before the **love of the Father and the Son**, and **songs of praise** will burst forth from **immortal tongues.**" {Ellen White: TMK, p. 371}
- "The hour for joyful, happy **songs of praise to God and His dear Son** had come. Satan had led the heavenly choir. He had raised the first note, then all the angelic host united with him, and glorious strains of music had resounded through Heaven in **honor of God and His dear Son.** But now, instead of strains of sweetest music, discord and angry words fall upon the ear of the great rebel leader." {Ellen White: 1SP, p. 28, 1870}
- "The Lord encourages all who seek Him with the whole heart. **He gives them His holy Spirit**, the **manifestation of His presence and favor.**" {Ellen White: 9T, p. 230, 1909}

Is the omnipresent holy Spirit, which proceeds from the Lord and as the manifestation of His presence without Divine power and knowledge? Ellen White wrote in {6MR 29.3} about the Father, the Son and the holy Spirit and describes them as "three representatives of heavenly authority". Why should the holy Spirit not represent heavenly authority? It is the personal presence of God the Father and Christ!

Have the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit (Godhead) given themselves to the plan of salvation at the heavenly council?

Falsifications:

- "The **Godhead was stirred** with pity for the race, and **the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit gave themselves to the working out of the plan of redemption.** In order fully to carry out this plan, **it was decided** that Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, should give Himself an offering for sin. What line can measure the depth of this love? God would make it impossible for man to say that He could have done more. With Christ He gave all the resources of heaven, that nothing might be wanting in the plan for man's uplifting. Here is love—the contemplation of which should fill the soul with inexpressible gratitude!" {Ellen White: CH, p. 222} {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 10}

In the "adapted" quote above, which serves as "evidence" of the Trinity, we can see the misuse of the term deity i.e. Godhead. This term only denotes the quality of a Divine Being and not the name of a "triune" God. The real meaning:

Originals:

- "Because that which may be known of **God** is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of **Him** from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even **HIS eternal power and GODHEAD**; so that they are without excuse." {Romans 1:19.20}
- "**In Christ** is gathered all the glory of the Father. **In HIM** is all the **fullness of the GODHEAD bodily**. He is the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of His person. The glory of the attributes of God are expressed in His character." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 907}

Who really brought salvation according to EGW's original quotes? Trinity?

- "The **plan of salvation devised by the Father and the Son** will be a grand success." {Ellen White: ST, June 17. 1903. par. 2}
- "**Before the fall of man, the Son of God had united with His Father in laying the plan of salvation.**" {Ellen White: RH, 13. September 1906. par. 4}
- "**Before** the foundations of the earth were laid, the **Father** and the **Son** had **united** in a **covenant** to redeem man if he should be overcome by satan. They had clasped Their hands in a solemn pledge that Christ should become the surety for the human race." {Ellen White: DA, p. 834}
- "**In counsel together, the Father and the Son determined** that satan should not be left unchecked to exercise His cruel power upon man." {Ellen White: 18MR, p. 345.5}
- "A **covenant** has been entered into by the **Father** and by the **Son to save the world** through Christ." {Ellen White: ST, October 10. 1892. par. 1}

There is not a single quote that the holy Ghost was involved in salvation. Nor could he because he is not a third being but only the spirit of the Father and Jesus.

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in **conjunction** with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity.

By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity**. This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. **They have one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, 1905}
- "We want the **holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66 April 10, 1894 par. 18}

The Godhead - Divinity, is, as we have already seen, the characteristic (property) of a Divine Being, and not the name of one fused "triune" god! The Jewish word for 'Divinity' is 'Elohut'.

And this word is an adjective and not a noun!

- "My **perplexities** were **lessened** a little when I learned from the **dictionary** that **one** of the **meanings** of **personality**, was **characteristics**." {W.C. White, 1935, Letter to H.W. Carr}
- "**The Godhead was stirred with pity** for the race, **and the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit gave Themselves** to the working out of the **plan of redemption**. In order fully to carry out this plan, it was decided that Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, should give Himself an offering for sin. What line can measure the depth of this love? God would make it impossible for man to say that He could have done more. With Christ He gave all the resources of heaven, that nothing might be wanting in the plan for man's uplifting. Here is love—the contemplation of which should fill the soul with inexpressible gratitude!" {Ellen White: CH, p. 222} {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 10}

What did we read First of all, the quotation above does **not say** that **all three prepared** the rescue plan, but that gave themselves up to implement the plan that had already been decided. God did everything for us and through Jesus gave all heavenly resources to complete the plan of salvation. This gives us an inkling of why Ellen White wrote elsewhere that the Father had fought heavy struggles with Himself as to whether He should allow His Son to come to the fallen earth as a mortal person, and thus risk His eternal existence. Jesus died for us on the cross, and then He came among us with His holy Spirit, Himself in another form. Only Jesus has all heavenly resources besides the Father. The statement about the joint implementation of the rescue plan is often used as "proof" that the holy Spirit is the third Divine Being next to the Father and the Son. Although at the reading of this quote we could get the impression, that all three, the Father, Son, and holy Spirit designed the plan of salvation, such an interpretation is not in harmony with all other statements of the Spirit of Prophecy. In the biblical dictionary from 1828, work also means an influence through work and effort. The second part of the quote above confirms this:

- "It is the glory of the gospel that it is founded upon the principle of restoring in the fallen race the divine image, by a constant manifestation of benevolence. This work began in the heavenly courts. There **GOD DECIDED to give human beings** an unmistakable **evidence of the love** with which He regarded them. He "so loved the world that **He gave HIS only begotten SON**, that whosoever **believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life**." {Ellen White: AUCR, April 1, 1901 par. 9}

Neither in the Bible nor in the Spirit of Prophecy is there a statement that the spirit was ever involved in the divine decisions. Why? Because it is not a Divine Being, but only the manifestation of Divine presence! Holy Ghost was only one of the heavenly resources to help carry out the plan of salvation that only Father and Son worked together. God and Jesus are committed to giving all heavenly resources for our salvation. This also includes the holy Spirit that goes out from Them and means Their presence.

- "The greatness of God is to us incomprehensible. "The **Lord's throne is in heaven**" (Psalm 11:4); **yet by His Spirit HE is everywhere present**. He has an intimate knowledge of, and a personal interest in, all the works of His hand." {Ellen White: ED 132.2}
- "For it is **God** which **worketh in you** both to will and to do of His good pleasure." {Philippians 2:13}
- "The **plan of salvation devised by the Father and the Son** will be a grand success." {Ellen White: ST, June 17, 1903, par. 2}
- "Before the fall of man, the **Son of God had united with His Father in laying the plan of salvation**." {Ellen White: RH, 13. September 1906, par. 4}
- "In **counsel together, the Father and the Son determined** that Satan should not be left unchecked to exercise his cruel power upon man." {Ellen White: 18MR, p. 345.5}

- "By Christ the work upon which the fulfillment of God's purpose rests was accomplished. This was the agreement in the **councils of the Godhead**. The **Father purposed in counsel with His Son** that the human family should be tested and proved to see whether they would be allured by the temptations of Satan, or whether they would make Christ their righteousness, keeping God's commandments, and live. God gave to His Son all who would be true and loyal. Christ covenanted to redeem them from the power of Satan, at the price of His own life." {Ellen White: 21MR, 54.3}
- "**Christ** the Word, the **Only Begotten of God**, was one with the eternal Father,—one in nature, in character, and in purpose,—**the ONLY BEING in all the UNIVERSE that could enter into all the counsels and purposes of God.**" {Ellen White: GC, p. 493}
- "Even he shall build the temple of the LORD; and he shall bear the glory, and shall sit and rule upon His throne; and he shall be a priest upon His throne: and the **counsel of peace** shall be **between Them BOTH.**" {Zechariah 6:13}
- "A **covenant** has been entered into by the **Father and by the Son** to save the world through Christ." {Ellen White: ST, October 10, 1892, par. 1}
- "**Before the foundations of the earth were laid, the Father and the Son had united** in a covenant **to redeem man** if he should be overcome by Satan. They had clasped Their hands in a solemn pledge that Christ should become the surety for the human race." {Ellen White: DA, p. 834}

There is no other being besides Jesus Who can hold a council with God the Father. The Divine Councils only take place between Father and Son! This fact brings the question: Is the holy Spirit a third Divine Being excluded from the advice of Deity, or is it not a being but the life, character, mind, and personal presence of the Father and Christ in us. What is logical and in harmony with the other inspired quotes?

- "We shall be judged according to the light we have had, according to the privileges we have been granted, according to the opportunity we have had to hear and understand the word of God. **These privileges have been given us through an infinite cost to the Father and the Son.** The plan of redemption has been devised and carried out so far through the sacrifice of all heaven, and the **gift of the holy Spirit** has been provided, that the divine may unite with the human, and man be elevated in moral and spiritual worth." {Ellen White: YI, 15. June 1893 par. 1}
- "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the **Spirit of God** dwell in you. Now if any man have not the **Spirit of Christ**, he is none of His. And if **Christ be in you**, the body is dead because of sin; but the Spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the **Spirit of Him That raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you**, he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies **by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.**" {Romans 8:9-11}

We see here clearly that the plan was first developed and was carried out later, after the fall of man. We read that only the Father and His Son were involved in the planning and that the holy Ghost was only given to us as a gift. In this sense, there were of course three, namely the Father, Son, and Their Spirit, Who gave themselves for the implementation of the plan which the two Divine Beings Father and Son have prepared.

- "That the **holy Spirit** is to be the **grand helper**, is a wonderful promise. Of what avail would it have been to us that the only begotten Son of God had humbled Himself, endured the temptations of the wily foe, and wrestled with him during His entire life on earth, and died the Just for the unjust that humanity might not perish, if the **Spirit** had not been given as a constant, working, **regenerating agent** to make effectual in our cases what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer?" {Ellen White: 3SM, 137.1}

- “The **imparted holy Spirit enabled His disciples**, the apostles, to stand firmly against every species of idolatry and to exalt the Lord and Him alone. **Who, but Jesus Christ by His Spirit and Divine power, guided the pens of the sacred historians** that to the world might be presented the precious record of the sayings and works of Jesus Christ?” {Ellen White: 3SM 137.2}

According to the Bible, who had His earthly “disciples”? Of course Jesus and only Jesus! We can also see from this, that the holy Spirit is Jesus personally!

- “The **holy Spirit was the highest of all gifts** that he could solicit from His Father for the exaltation of His people. The **Spirit was to be given as a regenerating agent**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail.” {Ellen White: RH, November 19, 1908, Art. B, par. 5}
- “As the **Divine endowment—the power of the holy Spirit—was given to the disciples**, so it will today be given to all who seek aright. This power alone can make us wise unto salvation and to fit us for the courts above. Christ wants to give us a blessing that will make us holy. “These things have I spoken unto you,” He says, “that My joy might remain in you, and that your joy might be full.” John 15:11. Joy in the holy Spirit is health-giving, life-giving joy. **In giving us His Spirit, God gives us Himself**, making Himself a fountain of divine influences, to give health and life to the world.” {Ellen White: 7T, 273.1}
- “No truth is more clearly taught in the Bible than that **God by His holy Spirit** especially directs His servants on earth in the great movements for the **carrying forward** of the work of **salvation**.” {Ellen White: GC, p. 343}

Came the term "THREE BEINGS" really from Ellen White?

Only one being can be God! EGW described only the Father and Son as Beings. Therefore the leading Trinitarians had to invent proof that Ellen White also wrote this for the holy Spirit. The only quotation "by" Ellen White that says something about three Beings is used as one of the main pieces of evidence that she would have finally realized that the Trinity was true:

- “You are born unto God, and you stand under the sanction and the power of the **three holiest Beings in heaven**, who are able to keep you from falling. You are to reveal that you are dead to sin; your life is hidden with Christ in God. Hidden “with Christ in God,”—wonderful transformation. This is a most precious promise.” {White Estate 16. March 1976: {Ellen White: 7MR, 267} {Ellen White: **Sermons and Talks** Volume One 367.3, Sermon given October 20, 1906}

In the context of the source, we can state that this statement does not come from her books and is not a quotation from her. This text above is an anonymous transcript of her sermon, and it is questionable whether it would even come from that time.

The term "three Beings" came from an unknown writer, without his name and without checking if it is authentic. These words were added as “her” statement in 7MR by ignoring those facts! Ellen White only approved all manuscripts after checking them with her signature!

She gave no authorization for this text. Ellen White only got the printed part of this sermon, which she also signed. The text with the approved section is in ‘Review and Herald’ from December 1906 does not contain the term “three Beings”! This anonymous statement about three beings was "discovered" in year 1976! This quote is accompanied by a falsification in which Ellen White directly prays to the Trinity:

- "When I feel oppressed, and hardly know how to relate myself toward the work that God has given me to do, I just **call upon** the **three great Worthies**, and **say; You** (Trinity) know I cannot do this work in my own strength. **You** must work in me, and by me and through me." {Ellen White: 7MR 267.2 **Sermons and Talks**, Vol. 1, 367, Sermon given October 20, 1906}

It is claimed, however, that this unsigned and not authorized part of Ellen White's quote is true "because" this sermon happened, and that Ellen White signed only the first part of the sermon because she became the other part of this text not in her hands. With such logic, we could add to any text other anonymous texts to prove any desired constellation.

In this sense, we could also claim that the Apocrypha are also "true" because they come from the same time as the Bible and touch on the same subject. In the same way, Dr. Kellogg, as the carrier of the apostasy, supplemented or shortened the quotations from EGW, which thus gave a completely different meaning. What said Ellen White herself about the manuscripts without her signature?

- "And now to all who have a desire for truth I would say: **Do not give credence to unauthenticated reports as to what Sister White has done or said or written.** If you desire to know what the Lord has revealed through her, read her published works. Are there any points of interest concerning which she has not written, do not eagerly catch up and report rumors as to what she has said." {Ellen White: 5T 696.1}
- "I read over all i.e. copied, to see that everything is as it should be. I read all the book manuscript before it is sent to the printer." {Ellen White: 3SM 90.6}
- "I have all my publications **closely examined.** I desire that nothing shall appear in print without careful investigation." {Ellen White: 10MR 12.4}

Who or what is Godhead? Trinity?

Falsification:

- "The **Godhead was stirred** with pity for the race, and the **Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit gave Themselves to the working out of the plan of redemption.** In order fully to carry out this plan, it was **decided** that Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, should give Himself an offering for sin. What line can measure the depth of this love? God would make it impossible for man to say that He could have done more. With Christ He gave all the resources of heaven, that nothing might be wanting in the plan for man's uplifting. Here is love—the contemplation of which should fill the soul with inexpressible gratitude!" {Ellen White: CH, p. 222} {Ellen White: AU CR, April 1, 1901 par. 10}

In the "adapted" quote above, which serves as "evidence" of the Trinity, we can see the misuse of the term Godhead. This term only describes characteristics of a Divine Being and is not the name of a "triune" God. The true meaning:

Original:

- "Because that which may be known of **God** is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of **Him** from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even **HIS eternal power and GODHEAD;** so that they are without excuse." {Romans 1:19.20}
- "**In Christ** is gathered all the glory of the Father. **In HIM** is all the **fullness of the GODHEAD bodily.** He is the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of His person. The glory of the attributes of God are expressed in His character." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 907}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in conjunction with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity. By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity. This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like “three heavenly powers” without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!

The Book “Evangelism”:

- **“We want the Holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.”** {Ellen White: Letter 66 April 10, 1894 par. 18}
- **“The Holy Spirit is Himself (Jesus), divested of the personality of humanity and independent thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by His Holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent.”** {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

Is it possible that the Holy Spirit is present through his Holy Spirit if these words did not refer to Jesus himself, as the Trinitarians claim? Then there would be two Holy Spirits.

- **“Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have one God and one Saviour; and ONE Spirit—the Spirit of Christ—is to bring unity into their ranks.”** {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3 1905.}

The book "Evangelism", which at first glance contains indisputable evidence for the Trinity, carries statements of the Spirit of Prophecy taken out of context, which form a different meaning. Only a complete picture of all the statements by Ellen White can bring certainty to the correctness of science, which is not the case in this book. This good compilation of quotations in other areas came about 20 years after the death of Ellen White under the leadership of Dr. LeRoy Froom, who worked hard to prove the Trinity and was officially tasked by the General Conference to investigate the issue. Dr. Froom openly wrote that he removed the old and meaningless Adventist teachings that made us funny in the world! The following statement of the Spirit of Prophecy, which reads "the fullness of the Godhead," is used as the main evidence for the existence of the Trinity, because it touches on the Holy Spirit and the term Deity:

- **“The Father IS all the fullness of the Godhead bodily”**
- **“The Son IS all the fullness of the Godhead manifested”**
- **“The Comforter that Christ promised to send after He ascended to heaven is the Spirit IN all the fullness of the Godhead”**

{Ellen White: Special Testimonies, Series B, No. 7, pp. 62, 63. 1905, and Evangelism, pp. 614, 615}

But if we read this text more carefully, we will see that there are fundamental differences within this title, namely:

-that the Father and Son IS the Fulness of the Godhead.

-that the holy Spirit is IN the Fulness of the Godhead.

Let us now summarize the indirect message of this statement: The Father IS the Divine Ruler, the Son IS the Divine Ruler, the Holy Spirit is in that Divine Ruler, therefore in the Father and the Son, and thus He is not a Divine Being like the Father and the Son. If something comes from something, then it must first be already in it, just as spring water is already in its source, to come out of it. It does NOT state here that all the Three are the fullness of the Deity, which in the case of the Trinity should be. If the Trinity were true, then it would have to be stated that all Trinity and not just the Holy Spirit are in the fullness of Deity, where then God would mean the union of three persons, which is pantheism - our science adopted in 1981. We have seen that the Father is the Divine Being, that the Son is the Divine Being, Who are not one and do not represent the Trinity! It does not say that the Father and the Son are Deities, but that Each one is a Deity - a Divine Being.

This quote is another proof that the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son, and that he is not the third Divine Being. The term Divinity – Godhead, signifies a characteristic and does not mean God. Dictionaries in most languages state that the term "Godhead" does not mean a number or a being, but the Divine nature, i.e.. Divine qualities (Divinity). Since the above quote clearly states that both the Father and the Son are each separate Godhead, through the meaningless interpretation that the term Godhead means Trinity would mean that there are three Trinities! The Father is the embodied fullness of the Deity. He is invisible to us mortals. The only way to know God and His Deity is when He manifests. Christ inherited the Deity from His Father and i.e. why He is all the fullness of the Deity i.e. **manifested**. All the fullness i.e. in the Son of God is His right and inheritance acquired by heavenly birth. (Hebrews 1: 4) This Divine fullness dwells in Christ because it is pleasing to the Father. (Colossians 2: 9; 1:19) Christ possessed the glory of His Father by divine birth. (Hebrews 1: 3; John 1:14; 2 Corinthians 4: 6)

- **"In Christ is gathered all the glory of the Father. In Him is all the fullness of the Godhead bodily.** He is the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of His person. The glory of the attributes of God are expressed in His character." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 907}
- **"The love of God, manifested toward fallen man in the gift of his beloved Son, amazed the holy angels."**God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life." **The Son was the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of his person. He possessed divine excellence and greatness. He was equal with God. It pleased the Father that in him all fullness should dwell.**" {Ellen White: 2SP, p. 38}

According to the Bible itself, the term "Godhead" represents a character and a title:

- "For the invisible things **of Him** from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even **His** eternal power and **Godhead**; so that they are without excuse:" {Romans 1:20}
- "But I would have you know, that the Head of every man is Christ; and the head of the woman is the man; and **the Head of Christ is God.**" {1 Corinthians 11:3}

The word "Head", as part of the word "Godhead", means the title of the Divine Ruler, Who is the head of the whole universe! The verse below deepens this fact once again and emphasizes that God the Father is the head and His Son! In the Hebrew language in the Hebrew Bible as the original word for "Deity", i.e. "Godhead" is the word "Elohut". And that word is an adjective and not a noun, and it describes God's attribute. That quality is "Deity, i.e. Divinity", as analogous to the human attribute "humanity", describes the characteristics of character without defining other areas, and does not represent the noun "human". When a good man is full of love for others, then we give him the adjective humanity. Likewise, the attribute Divine signifies and confirms His characteristics of incomprehensibly deep power, strength, love, and mercy! One man in most cases is not a humanist, but he is always a man without an alternative, and he cannot have Divine qualities. One being who is not God cannot be the "fullness of Godhead."

That is why the Holy Spirit is not Godhead, but **IN** Godhead of the Father and the Son, Who are his Source in the whole universe. One spirit cannot have its own spirit. That is why we are filled with the fullness of God because Jesus Himself is in us with His Holy Spirit:

- "That **Christ** may dwell **IN your** hearts by faith; that ye, being rooted and grounded in love, May be able to comprehend with all saints what is the breadth, and length, and depth, and height; And to know the love of Christ, which passeth knowledge, that **ye might be filled with all the fulness of God.**" {Ephesians 3:17-19}
- "But God hath revealed them unto us by His Spirit: for the Spirit searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God. For what man knoweth the things of a man, **save the spirit of man** which is in him? **even so the things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God.** Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the **spirit which is OF God**; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God." {1. Corinthians 2:10-12}

While Jesus was on earth, all the fullness of His Father's Deity was in Him:

- "For in Him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily." {Colossians 2:9}
- "To wit, that **God was in Christ**, reconciling the world unto Himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them; and hath committed unto us the word of reconciliation." {2 Corinthians 5:19}

Is one Divine Being given by God the Father to His Son in unlimited fullness? Does not the Spirit emanating from God have unlimited fullness of Divine power? Does an earthly father give his earthly son to another person, and in unlimited fullness? In the quote below we see even more that the Holy Spirit is the power of God, which proceeds from the Father and the Son and represents Their power and presence:

- "The Comforter that Christ promised to send after He ascended to heaven, is the Spirit **IN all the fullness of the Godhead**, making manifest the power of divine grace **to all who receive and believe in Christ as a personal Saviour.**" {Ellen White: SpT, Series B, No. 7, pp. 62, 63. 1905} and {Ellen White: Evangelism, pp. 614, 615}
- "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not **the Spirit of Christ**, he is none of His. And if **Christ be IN you**, the body is dead because of sin; but the Spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the Spirit of him that raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you, he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies **by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.**" {Romans 8:9-11}
- "The Comforter that Christ promised to send after He ascended to heaven, is the Spirit **IN** all the fullness of the Godhead,..." {Ellen White: BTS, March 1, **1906**}

The holy Spirit is **IN** the fullness of the Father and the Son and it is Their Spirit! With His Spirit, Jesus is our Comforter. God Father is invisible to us mortal people. The only way to know God is through His Son, Who also inspired the writers of the Bible. Jesus inherited His Godhead from the Father. The fulness of the Father lives in Christ because it was so pleasing to the Father:

- "For it pleased the Father that in him should all fulness dwell" {Colossians 1,19}
- "For in Him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead **bodily.**" {Colossians 2:9}

If Godhead means the Trinity, then how is the fullness of the Trinity bodily in Christ? Godhead does not mean the Trinity, but the Divine Nature and Ellen White have by no means declared that in Christ is the fullness of the Trinity bodily!

Namely, these verses confirm the statements of Ellen White where she wrote that the Father and the Son **are** the fullness of the Deity and that when the Bible says that the fullness of the Deity lives in Jesus, it means that God the Father himself lives in Jesus.

- "The Father gave **HIS Spirit without measure to His Son, and we** also may partake of **its fullness.**" {Ellen White: GC, p. 477}

What else is needed to understand the old truth? This clear truth that we could see in the quotes above helps us understand what the quote below really means:

- "**The eternal Godhead**—the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost—is involved in the action required to make assurance to the human agent, ... confederating the heavenly powers with the human that man may become, through heavenly efficiency, partakers of the divine nature and workers together with Christ." {Ellen White: UL 148.4}

The term "Eternal Godhead - the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost" does not mean a single merged Deity of three persons or three Divine Beings. This word represents the adjective 'eternal Deity' of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, not a noun, and describes Divine attributes such as the love and mercy of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit emanating from them. If the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son, then, of course, He has Divine and not human or inanimate attributes, and He can only pass on God's love and mercy to us. In this quotation, there is only a less precise description of the adjective Godhead which is explained in-depth in other quotations, where we have seen that "God the Father IS the Deity", that "Jesus the Son IS the Deity" and that "the Holy Spirit is IN that Deity of the Father and the Son".

- "**Christ had not ceased to be God when He became man. Though He had humbled Himself to humanity, the Godhead was still His own.** Christ alone could represent the Father to humanity, and this representation the disciples had been privileged to behold for over three years." {Ellen White: DA 663.5}

Godhead as a position still belonged to Christ even after His incarnation. Did one Trinity perhaps belong to Christ even after His incarnation?

- "Christ in His **Godhead** shone forth as He burst from the tomb, and rose triumphant over death and the grave." {Ellen White: ST May 30, 1895. TA 209.4}

Did Jesus shine in His Trinity, or did Godhead not mean the Trinity or the Being God after all? Godhead as an adjective means, depending on the context, the Divine nature, status, or only qualities, which even people can receive as a gift:

- "As in humility they submitted to the molding influence of the Holy Spirit, **they received of the fullness of the Godhead and were fashioned in the likeness of the divine.**" {Ellen White: AA 49.3}
- "Through being partakers of the divine nature we may stand pure and holy and undefiled. The **Godhead** was not made human, **and the human** was not deified by the blending together of the **two natures**. Christ did not possess the same sinful, corrupt, fallen disloyalty we possess, for then He could not be a perfect offering." {Ellen White: Manuscript 94, 1893. Manuscript Releases, Vol. 6, pp. 110-112}

Could it be that the Trinity has incarnated? Was the Trinity mixed with human nature? The real truth is that Jesus' Divine Sinless Nature (Godhead), His Divine Personality, was mixed with His human nature on earth! If the Holy Spirit were the fullness of the Godhead, which the adherents of the Trinity take as proof, then it would pass that the Holy Spirit himself is the "fullness of the Trinity", and thus the Trinity itself! However, a deeper analysis of the translation of Evangelism shows not only that the word Trinity is included in it, which does not exist in any quote from Ellen White, but that 'Godhead' is also translated differently into our language, i.e. in one place one "triune" God, and in another one God the Father.

The word Trinity, which appears as a subtitle on the website 'whiteestate.org', was never written by Ellen White! Did Dr. Froom attack the real truth in other areas as well? In year **1957**, in his book "**Questions on Doctrine**", he wrote that reconciliation was completed through Jesus' sacrifice on the cross. This was the clash of Calvinist and Adventist theology. Which of them is crushed to dust? Adventist of course! If the whole process of reconciliation is completed on the cross, **Jesus' intercession** in the heavenly sanctuary described in the Epistle to the Hebrews **becomes meaningless**. If everything ended on the cross, then we would be saved in advance, and thus we would get the message, that we do not need to fight against sin! And i.e. exactly what the Calvinists teach. And not only that, but also that the end of the time of grace is only symbolic because it is "impossible" to completely abandon sin. Did Ellen White announce that attack on the truth from the Epistle to the Hebrews?

- "We cannot find words fitly to explain the latest development of ideas held by some. They contain threads of pantheism. These ideas are so mixed with the truth, that the truth is made of no effect. These specious theories constitute **a denial** of the personality of God, **the atonement of Christ and His work in the sanctuary**. They take away the vital principles which have made us a separate people." {Ellen White: MS 8, 1914, Part 32}

The science of Adam's nature of Jesus on earth also came from Dr. Froome:

Dr. Froom:

- "Christ's flesh and nature in the incarnation were different from ours. He was "exempt" from our genetic inheritance, and took only **the sinless nature of Adam as it was before the fall**." {Dr. **LeRoy Froom**; Questions of Doctrine, p. 383, and heading, p. 650}

Ellen White:

- "He **took** upon himself fallen, **suffering** human nature, degraded and **defiled by sin**." {Ellen White: YI, 20. December 1900}

Nick Ionashku - Froomism:



The only power in defeating sin = Jesus OR the third Being - Person - Personality the holy Spirit?

Originals:

The only defense against sin is only Jesus:

- “The **ONLY DEFENCE against evil is the indwelling of CHRIST** in the heart through faith in His righteousness.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 324}
- “**CHRIST** is the source of every right impulse. He is the **ONLY ONE** who can arouse in the natural heart enmity against sin. He is the source of our power if we would be saved. No soul can repent without the grace of Christ. The sinner may pray that he may know how to repent. God reveals Christ to the sinner, and when he sees the purity of the Son of God, he is not ignorant of the character of sin. **By faith in the work and POWER of Christ, enmity against sin and satan is created in his heart.**” {Ellen White: RH, 1. April 1890 par. 5}
- “Our condition through sin has become preternatural, and the power that restores us must be supernatural, else it has no value. There is **but ONE POWER that can break the hold of evil from the hearts of men, and i.e. the power of God in JESUS Christ.**” {Ellen White: 8T, p. 291 1904}

Falsifications:

- “**Sin could be resisted and overcome only** through the mighty agency of the **THIRD Person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}
- “The **prince of the power of evil can only be held** in check by the **power of God** in the **THIRD person of the Godhead, the holy Spirit.**” {Ellen White: SpT, Series A, No. 10, p. 37. 1897} {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is **NO falsification** of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in **conjunction** with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity. **By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy.** We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like “three heavenly powers” without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!**At first glance, the quote below could be strong support for the Trinity:

- “**Sin could be resisted** and overcome **only through** the mighty agency of the **Third Person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}

However, we should also read the other quotes to have a completely clear picture:

- “We want the **holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**” {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}
- “**Cumbered with humanity, CHRIST could not be in every place personally;** therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and **send the holy Spirit to be His successor on earth.** The **holy Spirit IS HIMSELF,** divested of the personality of humanity and independent thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by **His holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent.**” {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

When we read the first quote, we can recognize that **ONLY** the holy Spirit can separate us from our sin! The other two quotations continue the truth and state that Jesus personally is the holy Spirit, which clearly shows that the first quotation does not support a Trinity! We read below the continuation of the above quote that the holy Spirit is also the power of Jesus.

- "It is the **Spirit THAT** makes effectual what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer. It is by the Spirit that the heart is made pure. Through the Spirit the believer becomes a partaker of the divine nature. **Christ has given His Spirit as a Divine power** to overcome all hereditary and cultivated tendencies to evil, and to impress His own character upon His church." {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}

This text does not say that God is a "Trinity" or that the holy Spirit is a "third God", but that the Spirit of God is the divine power of Jesus, which He gave us to conquer sin. We have already read the meaning of this text in the chapter 'Evangelism', namely that the holy Spirit is IN the Father and Son, while the Father and Son ARE the fullness of Godhead.

The designation of the holy Spirit as 'third person' or 'other comforter' means only the presence and power of Jesus, through which we are strengthened without His physical presence near us! The isolated first half of the verse could lead us in the wrong direction, although the whole text is clear evidence against the Trinity! We have already seen, that the person-personality can only denote one characteristic:

- "My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics**. It is stated in such a way that I concluded that there might be personality without bodily form which is possessed by the Father and the Son." {**W. C. White, 1935**, Letter to H. W. Carr}

Do the words below describe an independent being? If that were the case, then would the holy Spirit stand above God and Jesus, because only His power could help us in the fight against sin. This would also destroy the doctrine of the Trinity because it teaches three beings of equal rank.

- "The **prince of the power of evil** can **only** be **held** in check by the power of God in the **third person of the Godhead, the holy Spirit**." {Ellen White: SpT, Series A, No. 10, p. 37. 1897 and Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 617}

The other quotes from the Spirit of Prophecy also give the same answer to the question of what is the only force that can free our hearts from the bondage of sin. That is the power of God through Jesus Christ:

- "The **ONLY defense against evil** is the indwelling of **Christ in the heart** through faith in His righteousness." {Ellen White: DA, p. 324}
- "The **Spirit was given as a regenerating agency**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail. The power of evil had been strengthening for centuries, and the submission of man to this satanic captivity was amazing. **SIN could be RESISTED and overcome ONLY through the mighty agency of the THIRD person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fulness of divine power. It is the Spirit that makes effectual what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer. It is by the Spirit that the heart is made pure. Through the Spirit the believer becomes a partaker of the divine nature. **CHRIST has given HIS SPIRIT as a divine power** to overcome all hereditary and cultivated tendencies to evil, and to impress His own character upon the church." {Ellen White: RH, May 19, 1904 par. 3}
- "**Christ** is the source of every right impulse. **He is the ONLY ONE** who can **arouse in the natural heart enmity against sin**. **He is the source of our power** if we would be saved. No soul can repent without the grace of Christ. The sinner may pray that he may know how to repent. God reveals Christ to the sinner, and when he sees the purity of the Son of God, he is not ignorant of the character of sin. **By faith in the work and power of Christ, enmity against sin** and Satan is created in his heart." {Ellen White: RH, 1. April, 1890 par. 5}

- “Our condition through sin has become preternatural, and the power that restores us must be supernatural, else it has no value. There is **but ONE power that can BREAK the hold of evil from the hearts of men, and i.e. the power of God in JESUS Christ.**” {Ellen White: 8T, p. 291 **1904**}

The holy Spirit, the “third person”, is the Spirit of Jesus, for us a source of renewal. We read in the quotation above, without any possibility of other interpretation, that the power of Christ is that which gives us the strength to resist sin. Ellen White wrote it well, that Jesus saves us with His Spirit, and that this Spirit is not another individual being besides Father and Son. Only Jesus can give us victory over sin. His spirit is His representative, with whom He restores the lost image of God in us and is only in that sense symbolical the third personality of God.

The representatives of the doctrine of the Trinity take this expression in isolation as “proof” of the “third” God who exists alongside Father and Jesus. We can see in the Bible (Revelation 1:4; 3:1; 4:5; 5:6; Isaiah 11:2; 1 Corinthians 6:19; 12:13) that all three persons living in heaven, what should clearly show us that the holy Spirit is not a dead personality, as Dr. Kellogg had taught in the first stage of his apostasy until he moved on to the literal Trinity in the second faze of his apostasy. Of course, the holy Spirit is alive because it means the presence of the Father and the Son.

More specifically, the holy Spirit is the service and manifestation of Christ's power and omnipresence, and His holy life. In the quotations below we clearly see that the life of Jesus is what frees us from sin. The life of Jesus is His Spirit. This Comforter, the holy Spirit, is what we call, in our limited understanding, the third personality of the Godhead, but which is not a third independent being!

- “So the **life-giving power of the holy Spirit, proceeding from Christ**, and imparted to every disciple, pervades the soul, renews the motives and affections, and even the most secret thoughts, and brings forth the precious fruit of holy deeds.” {Ellen White: 3SP, p. 418, 1878}
- “The holy Spirit was the highest of all gifts that he could solicit from His Father for the exaltation of His people. The **Spirit was to be given as a regenerating agent**, and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail.” {Ellen White: RH, 19. November, 1908 par. 5}
- “That the holy Spirit is to be the **grand helper**, is a wonderful promise. Of what avail would it have been to us that the only begotten Son of God had humbled Himself, endured the temptations of the wily foe, and wrestled with Him during His entire life on earth, and died the Just for the unjust that humanity might not perish, if the Spirit had not been given as a constant, working, **regenerating agent** to make effectual in our cases what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer?” {Ellen White: 3SM, p. 137.1}
- “Not until the **life of Christ becomes a vitalising power** in our lives can **we resist the temptations** that assail us from within and from without.” {Ellen White: MH, p. 130}
- “**Christ** declared that **after His ascension, he would send to His church**, as His crowning gift, the **Comforter, who was to take His place. This Comforter is the holy Spirit,—the soul of HIS (Jesus) life**, the efficacy of His church, the light and life of the world. **With HIS Spirit** Christ sends a reconciling influence and a power that takes away sin.” {Ellen White: RH, 19. May **1904** par. 1}

If this “great helper” would be himself a Divine Being like Father and Son, wouldn't it then be disrespectful to call him a “regenerating agent”? Holy Spirit has a personality because it is the living presence of the Lord Jesus. According to Revelation John, the holy Spirit comes out from the Father and is given to His Son Jesus, Who then sends it into the universe as God's power, although of course, we cannot understand it. But we have to accept everything that the Word of God says without developing our own, non-biblical philosophy from it!

- “Simon **Peter**, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ, to them that **have obtained like precious faith** with us through the righteousness of **God (Father) and our Saviour Jesus Christ**: Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the **knowledge of God, and of Jesus** our Lord, According as **His divine power** hath given unto us all things that pertain unto life and godliness, through the knowledge of Him that hath called us to glory and virtue: Whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises: **that by these ye might be partakers of the Divine nature**, having escaped the corruption i.e. in the world through lust.” {2. Peter 1,1-4}
- “The **holy Spirit**, which **proceeds from the only begotten Son of God**, binds the human agent, body, soul, and spirit, to the perfect, divine-human nature of Christ. This union is represented by the union of the vine and the branches. Finite man is united to the manhood of Christ. Through faith human nature is assimilated with Christ's nature. We are made one with God in Christ.” {Ellen White: RH, 5. April **1906**, par. 16}

Through believing in Jesus we are receiving the promised gift, the holy Spirit, and we know that this is the greatest gift, that God can give to us. This gift is His only begotten Son, Who gives us life through His Divine power so that we can **be partakers of the Divine nature**. The third person is the presence of God and His Son Jesus personally:

- “**Christ tells us** that the **holy Spirit is the Comforter**, and the Comforter is the holy Ghost, “the Spirit of truth, which the Father shall send in My name. [...] This refers to the **omnipresence of the Spirit of Christ, called the Comforter.**” {Ellen White: 14MR, p. 179}
- “The **divine Spirit** that the world's Redeemer promised to send, is the **presence and power of God.**” {Ellen White: ST, November 23, 1891}
- “Yes; in giving the holy Spirit, it was impossible for God to give more. To this gift nothing could be added. By it all needs are supplied. The **holy Spirit is the vital presence of God**, and if appreciated will call forth praise and thanksgiving, and will ever be springing up unto everlasting life. The restoration of the Spirit is the covenant of grace.” {Ellen White: ST, 7. august **1901**}

Because the Father and Son are omnipresent, is Their holy Spirit also present in heaven. It is also present at our baptism, as the manifestation of God and Christ.

- “The **Father, the Son, and the holy Ghost**, powers infinite and omniscient, receive those who truly enter into covenant relation with God. They are present at every baptism, to receive the candidates who have renounced the world and have received Christ into the soul temple.” {Ellen White: 6BC, p 1075.5}

We know that the holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and goes through the Son into the whole universe and our hearts. If that were not the case, it would mean that Jesus “does not” give us His power, although He is knocking on the door of our hearts! Of course, when He is in our hearts, He gives us power through His holy Spirit.

- “But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the **Spirit of God** dwell in you. Now if any man have not the **Spirit of Christ**, he is none of His. And if **Christ be IN you**, the body is dead because of sin; but the Spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the **Spirit of Him that raised up Jesus from the dead** dwell **IN you**, he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.” {Romans 8:9-11}
- “The **only defense against evil** is the indwelling of **Christ IN the heart.**” {Ellen White: DA, p. 324}

God the Father sent His Son Jesus again after He raised Him, this time as His holy Spirit so that He can support us to win over sin through guidance from within. We know that the holy Spirit is blessing us! Otherwise, the word of the prophet of God would contradict itself. Because Ellen White first wrote that the holy Spirit is the only force against this sin, and given the same attribute to Jesus, this can only be interpreted in connection with other quotes where she says that the holy Spirit is Jesus personally, in another form.

- "Unto you first **God**, having **raised up His Son Jesus**, **SENT HIM to bless you**, in turning away every one of you from His iniquities." {Acts 3:26}

IT or Person - Personality - Being?

Has EGW really used the term "person or personality" for the holy Spirit? Those two expressions contradict all other EGW quotes about the holy Spirit. We can read in the quote below that Ellen White explicitly preceded the Adventists from the apostasy teachings of A.T. Jones.

Ellen White **1906**: A.T. **Jones** chose the deceptions of **satanic** power:

- "I am **sorry for A. T. Jones**, who has been **warned over and over again**. Notwithstanding these warnings, he has allowed the enemy to fill his mind with thoughts of self-importance. **Heed not his words**, for he has **rejected the plainest light** and has **chosen darkness instead**. The holy One (1. Peter 1,16 = Jesus) hath given us messages clear and distinct, but some poor souls have been blinded by the falsehoods and the deceptive **influences of satanic agencies** and have turned from truth and righteousness to follow these fallacies of satanic origin." {EGW Ms 39, **1906.5**}

One year later, A.T. Jones wrote in 'The Medical Missionary' that we must "**FINALLY**" "**understand**" and **ASSUME that the holy Spirit is not an IT**, but a **person**:

AT. Jones **1907**: His **demand to change faith and accept the holy Ghost as a person**:

- "And the **holy Spirit is a person**. This **great truth is not recognized**, indeed it is **not believed, by more than a very few even of Christians**. For everybody knows that almost invariably, with very, very few exceptions, the holy Spirit is referred to and spoken of by Christians as "it." But the word "it" never applies to a person. The **word "IT"** in the very genius of our language, **refers and applies only to things, never to persons**; to things of inanimate substance, as a stone, a horse, a tree; or to things of concept, or experience, as space, height, breadth, peace, joy, grief, an impression, an influence. But the holy Spirit is none of these: the holy Spirit is not an influence; nor an impression, nor peace, nor joy, nor any thing. The holy Spirit gives peace, and gives joy, assuages in grief, makes an impression, exerts an influence; but the holy Spirit is none of these things, nor any other thing. No, eternally no! The **holy Spirit is a Person**, eternally a divine Person. And **he must be always recognised** and **spoken of as a person**, or he is not truly recognised or spoken of at all." {A.T. Jones: "The Medical Missionary" page 98.5 - 98.7} {<https://m.egwwritings.org/en/book/1162.98>}

The above statement by A.T. Jones in the year 1907 reveals the complete HISTORICAL LIE about the alleged acceptance of the Trinity by the Church of the day, Pioneers, and Ellen White. If the term person or personality had really been used back then, why did A.T. Jones write that **apart from just a few people** (actually those which brought the apostasy in our church at that time), **ALL old Adventists without exception** described the **holy Spirit** with the word **IT**?

It is quite strange if the SDA congregation had really accepted the Trinity at that time, as it is claimed today with written "pieces of evidence" that actually only came from the apostasy teachers of that time.

Ellen White wrote that **A.T. Jones is hopelessly in his apostasy, and in fact a servant of Dr. Kellogg is:**

Ellen White **1908**: A.T. Jones and Dr. Kellogg together proclaim the same heresy:

- "We did **not see anything** to encourage us in the **hope** that Elder **Jones is coming out from the darkness i.e. upon him**. He feels that he understands himself; but he is as the blind and is feeling about to know what step to take next. I felt that I must speak plainly to him. I told him that notwithstanding the warnings that had been given regarding **Dr. Kellogg's influence** over him, he **had gone into the very path he had been cautioned not to take**; he had not spiritual discernment to understand the doctor's religious standing. I told him that **he had become Dr. Kellogg's voice to the people.**" {Ellen White, Lt 234, 1908.5}
- "He (Dr. Kellogg) told me that **he NOW believed in God the Father, God the Son, and God the holy Ghost**; and **HIS view** was that it was **God the holy Ghost**, and **NOT God the Father**, that filled all space, and every living thing." {Letter by A. G. Daniells to W. C. White on October 29, 1903 p. 1+2}

The only topic in the CONTINUATION of the Alpha apostasy by Dr. Kellogg was entirely false doctrine about God and the holy Spirit. Why did EGW write this warning if the holy Spirit was previously considered God in our church? H.C. Lacey wrote a letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom, describing his old beliefs and his family's beliefs about the Trinity. It is not a question of whether H.C. Lacey believed in the Trinity, but rather about his statement that in the Adventist church basically only IT was used for the holy Spirit, and everyone had rejected the holy Spirit as the 3rd person of the Godhead!

- "As to any **special controversy**, or agitation, over the matter of the **Trinity**, I cannot recall anything serious at all. Of course I have always known that elder **Uriah Smith** was an **Arian** in belief, ('Thoughts on Daniel and the Revelation' reveal that!) and that **our people undoubtedly generally followed that view**. But we, as a family, had been brought up in the Church of England, and were naturally, may I say, Trinitarians. We just believed it, subconsciously, and I do not remember our ever discussing the question with the brethren who brought us into the Truth, Elder M. C. Israel, and young brother W. L. H. Baker. One thing I do recall is my mother's remarking on the strange language **used by our ministers in speaking of the holy Ghost as IT** and 'ITs' as though they thought of the holy Spirit as an influence, instead of as a Person. That seemed very strange to her, and in a measure to me also (I was about 17 then)." {H. C. Lacey letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom Aug. 30, 1945}

God has ensured that despite the intentional changes in almost all quotations from EGW, some have been overlooked. Even in the "Great Controversy" there is one point IT so that those who are really looking for the old truth shall find it!

- "The Father gave His Spirit without measure to His Son, and we also may partake of **IT's fullness.**" {Ellen White: Great Controversy, p. 477}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in **conjunction** with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity. **By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy.** We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" without taking the quote out of context. Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!** Who is the third person? A being? According to the Spirit of Prophecy, certainly not:

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They **have one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3 **1905**}
- "We want the **holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

If one person has his body, his life, and his spirit, is his spirit one other being or only a part of this person? At the same time, the expression "my son" naturally means another being, which does not apply to a spirit, because a spirit is always only a part of a being! Most of Ellen White's quotes on nearly 100,000 pages state, that the holy Spirit is actually the Spirit of Father and the Spirit of Jesus. On the other hand existing the quotations in the number of symbolic ten fingers, which give less precise information about the holy Spirit and at first look could leave the impression that the holy Ghost could be one Divine being.

Therefore, using the less isolated quotations as "evidence" of Trinity doctrine is a not correct way to find the truth, if you really want to know who or what is the holy Spirit. You should evaluate ALL the inspired texts to get the answer, and not just isolated texts with the less precise quotations, which usually leads to the wrong interpretation. This method are using the fallen theologians, to prove the unbiblical Trinity. Through such methods, we could "prove" the life after death, when we use isolated description with the spirit of "Samuel" and Saul out of context.

- "**Sin could be resisted and overcome ONLY through** the mighty agency of the **Third person of the Godhead**, who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power." {Ellen White: DA, p. 671.2}
- "Our sanctification is the **work of the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit**. It is the fulfillment of the covenant God has made with those who bind themselves up with Him, to stand **with Him, His Son, and His Spirit in holy fellowship**. Have you been born again? Have you become a new being in Christ Jesus? Then **cooperate with the three great powers of heaven** who are working in your behalf." {Ellen White: 7BC, p. 908.11, 1901}

But why exist such opposed quotes compared with the rest of the scripts of Ellen White? However, these are not opposed, because Ellen White explains in other statements the meaning of such quotations as the above in a completely understandable way. An important principle is: The quotations of a prophet can only be in harmony with one another because otherwise, the prophet would not be a prophet of God! We can see in her quotes that Ellen White uses the term 'third personality' to emphasize that the holy Spirit is not unconscious energy but a different kind of manifestation of Jesus, this time omnipresent and independent of His body.

Because Jesus is personally among us and in us, and it means, that He is present in us in a different way, through His personal Spirit. The holy Spirit, the Spirit of Jesus is certainly not a deadly force. The Spirit of Prophecy calls this conscious presence of God a conscious personality, to show us the distance to the teaching of Dr. Kellogg, who pantheistically described the holy Spirit as an "unconscious force" in the first phase of his apostasy.

She also wrote that the holy Spirit is Jesus personal, separate from His human personality, which is absolutely imperceptible to our limited imagination. However, this fact does not give us the right to exalt a third false god who does not exist! Ellen White wrote clear, that the holy Spirit is not a third God, but the Spirit of Jesus!

- "The **holy Spirit is Himself** (Jesus) **divested of the personality of humanity** and independent thereof. **He would represent Himself as present in all places by His holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent.**" {Ellen White: Letter 119, 1895.18}

- “This refers to the **OMNIPRESENCE of the SPIRIT of CHRIST, called the COMFORTER.**
...There are many **mysteries** which I do not seek to understand or to explain; they are too high for me, and too high for you. On some of these points, **silence is golden.**“

Although Ellen White warned us, that SILENCE is GOLD (about the holy Spirit of Jesus), the fallen theologians raised the holy Spirit to be a third God which does not exist! When we talk about this question, we should be particularly careful about the meaning of individual words, as Joseph Wagoner, one of our most important pioneers and one of the greatest fighters against the Trinity, himself said:

- “There is one question, which has been much controverted in the theological world upon which we have never presumed to enter. It is that of the **personality of the Spirit of God.** Prevailing ideas of person are very diverse, often crude, and the word is differently understood; so that unity of opinion on this point cannot be expected until all shall be able to define precisely what they mean by the word, or until all shall agree upon one particular sense in which the word shall be used. But as this agreement does not exist, it seems that a discussion of the subject cannot be profitable, especially as **it is not a question of direct revelation.**” {J. H. Waggoner: The Spirit of God, p. 8+9}

He is telling us here that when we talk about the holy Spirit, we should wear more attention to the word 'personality' because it has different meanings. **William White** also explains that he did not correctly understand what his mother was teaching about holy Spirit until he found in the dictionary the meaning of the word 'personality':

- “My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the dictionary that **one of the meanings of personality, was characteristics.** It is stated in such a way that I concluded that there might be personality without bodily form which is possessed by the Father and the Son.” {**W. C. White, 1935,** Letter to H. W. Carr}

What is one of the meanings of the word 'person - personality'?

- “In **describing to His disciples the office work of the holy Spirit,** Jesus sought to inspire them with the joy and hope that inspired His own heart. He rejoiced because of the abundant help He had provided for His church. The **holy Spirit was the highest of all gifts** that He could solicit from His Father for the exaltation of His people. **The Spirit was to be given as a regenerating agent,** and without this the sacrifice of Christ would have been of no avail. The power of evil had been strengthening for centuries, and the submission of men to this satanic captivity was amazing. **Sin could be resisted and overcome only through the mighty agency of the Third Person of the Godhead,** who would come with no modified energy, but in the fullness of divine power. It is the Spirit that makes effectual what has been wrought out by the world's Redeemer. It is by the Spirit that the heart is made pure. Through the Spirit the believer becomes a partaker of the divine nature. **Christ has given His Spirit as a divine power to overcome all hereditary and cultivated tendencies to evil,** and to impress His own character upon His church.” {Ellen White: DA, p. 671. + RH, 19. November 1908}

This quotation explains how to understand the Spirit of Jesus as "the third person of Godhead": It is the presence of Jesus, His power, His service, and His gift, without which His sacrifice would be useless. We already know that Divinity does not mean a being, but a characteristic. As is known in the Adventist Church, Ellen White used Noah Webster's dictionary from 1828, which in definition 6 explains different meanings of the word person-personality.

- “**How different is the same man from himself, as he sustains the Person of a magistrate and that of a friend.**“ {Noah Webster: Person – 6th Character of office}

One human, although a single individual, can be very different, depending on the role which he wears. Each of his roles represents a special person in this sense.

As a judge, a person has a definitely different personality in terms of his service and behavior than in his private life and as a friend. The Bible also uses the term 'the other' when describing the same individual:

- "And the Spirit of the LORD will come upon thee, and thou shalt prophesy with them, and shalt **be turned into another man.**" {1. Samuel 10:6}

As the holy Spirit came into him, Saul received temporarily a different person, which means, that he received a different personality. We can use Webster's definition of the word personality. Saul was the king of Israel in service.

At the moment, as he received the holy Spirit, he received a new ministry of prophet, and through this, he became one different person, i.e. personality, although he remained the same individual. In this way was Saul a different person as a king than in the personality of one prophet.

- "**While Jesus ministers in the sanctuary above, He is still by His Spirit the minister of the church on earth.** He is withdrawn from the eye of sense, but His parting promise is fulfilled, "Lo, I am with you always, even unto the end of the world." (Matthew 28:20.) While He delegates His power to inferior ministers, His energizing presence is still with His church." {Ellen White: DA, p. 166}
- "The **holy Spirit is a person**, for **He beareth witness** with our spirits that we are the children of God. When this witness is borne, it carries with it its own evidence. At such times we believe and are sure that we are the children of God...The holy Spirit has a personality, else He could not bear witness to our spirits and with our spirits that we are the children of God. He must also be a divine person, else He could not search out the secrets which lie hidden in the mind of God. "**For what man knoweth the things of a man, save the spirit of man which is in him? even so the things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God.**" {Ellen White: Evangelism, p. 616+617}

Although the first part of the quote leaves the impression that the holy Spirit "could be a being", we have to seek the truth more deeply with an honest heart.

And this is already in the second part of this quote! Does God (Father) himself not know what is in Him, but only a "third being" His holy Spirit?

- "But **God (Father) hath revealed them unto us by HIS Spirit:** for the Spirit searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God. For what man knoweth the things of a man, save the spirit of man which is in him? even so the **things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God.** Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the **spirit which is OF God;** that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God." {1 Corinthians 2:12}

The apostle Paul also compares man with his spirit and our God with His spirit. And just as the human spirit is not a different and independent being, but is only a part of man, so the spirit of God is also only His personality.

- "The greatness of God is to us incomprehensible. "**The Lord's throne is in heaven**" (Psalm 11:4); **yet by HIS Spirit HE is everywhere present. HE has an intimate knowledge** of, and a personal interest in, all the works of His hand." {Ellen White: Ed, p. 132}

The Spirit has the personality because God has the personality. Through the gift of His Spirit, God gives Himself to us. Of course, when God gives Himself to us in spirit, He does not remain without personality. The holy Spirit is not a faceless power or essence. No, IT is very personal and intimate. IT is the personality of God. The Spirit is God's DIVINE person. This is because God, from whom IT proceeds, is a DIVINE Person.

- "As the divine endowment—the **power of the holy Spirit**—was given to the disciples, so it will today be given to all who seek aright. This power alone is able to make us wise unto salvation and to fit us for the courts above. Christ wants to give us a blessing that will make us holy. "These things have I spoken unto you," He says, "that My joy might remain in you, and that your joy might be full." (John 15:11.) Joy in the holy Spirit is health-giving, life-giving joy. **In giving us His Spirit, God gives us Himself**, making Himself a fountain of Divine influences, to give health and life to the world." {Ellen White: 7T, p. 273}
- "**God is a Spirit; yet He is a personal Being; for so He has revealed Himself.**" {Ellen White: MH, p. 413}

The holy Spirit is a Person because God is a Person. The holy Spirit is not a third Divine being, but the Personality of God the Father. The holy Spirit is also the personality of Christ:

- "It is the **Spirit** that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing; the words that I speak unto you, they are Spirit, and they are life." **Christ is not here referring to His doctrine, but to His Person, the Divinity of His character.**" {Ellen White: Review and Herald, 5. April 1906 par. 12}

The term 'third-person – personality', which was used for the holy Spirit, only had the purpose to show that the holy Spirit is the manifestation of Jesus, but independent of His body and His physical presence. During Jesus speaks personally with the beings present in heaven, His holy Spirit represents His symbolically second personality, because Jesus speaks at the same time through His holy Spirit with all beings in the whole universe.

- "No truth is more clearly taught in the Bible than that **God by His holy Spirit especially directs His servants on earth** in the great movements for the carrying forward of the work of salvation." {Ellen White: GC, p. 343}
- "The **imparted holy Spirit enabled His disciples, the apostles**, to stand firmly against every species of idolatry and to **exalt the Lord and Him alone**. Who, but **Jesus Christ by His Spirit and divine power**, guided the pens of the sacred historians that to the world might be presented the precious record of the sayings and works of Jesus Christ?" {Ellen White: 3SM 137.2}

Who had His disciples, according to the Bible, on earth? Of course only Jesus! Through those words, we can also see, that Jesus is also His holy Spirit! All of this helps us to understand the following words of the Spirit of Prophecy, which never contradict each other, although the quotation below, in isolation, could support the other opinion:

- "The **holy Spirit is the Comforter, in Christ's name. He personifies Christ, yet is a distinct personality**. We may have the holy Spirit if we ask for it and make it [a] habit to turn to and trust in God rather than in any finite human agent who may make mistakes." {Ellen White: 20MR 324.2}

What is this description about? Let us repeat: Christ is in heaven as our heavenly High Priest and He is at the same time personally present on earth through His holy Spirit - the Comforter. We could already read in many quotes from Ellen White that only Jesus is this one Comforter. We can see in the context of the whole truth, that the Comforter and the heavenly High Priest are two different personalities of the same being, Jesus Christ, depending on the way He is personally present. In this way was Saul a different person as a king than in the personality of one prophet.

Jesus is personally present in us through His Spirit, and Jesus personally sees and hears everything. That is no other personality of Jesus, i.e. the personality of Jesus in a different form, in a different manifestation than physical. The holy Spirit is the presence of God and Christ but is manifested as one personality, not two. He is the Spirit of Jesus and the Father at the same time. How?

We do not understand this, and it has not been revealed to us or angels. But this must not be a reason to take a few quotations out of context and make a new God out of them! Ellen White is very clear on this question:

- "We cannot be with Christ in person, as were His first disciples, but He has sent **HIS holy Spirit** to guide us into all truth." {Ellen White: Ms 30, 1900}
- "Christ is not now with us in person, but through the agency of the holy Spirit." {Ellen White: RH, September 16, 1909}

Avondale: Who was the Person walking around us?

Avondale is an Adventist school in Australia where Ellen White spoke in 1899. She also talked about the holy Spirit. The record of her words about the holy Ghost has been subtly adapted and is often used in this form by the representatives of the Trinity doctrine to represent the holy Spirit as an independent Divine being.

Changed:

- "We need to realize that the **holy Spirit, who is as much a person as God is a person, is walking through these grounds.**" {Ellen White: Evangelism 616, 1899 From a talk to the Students at Avondale-School in Australia}

If this supplemented quotation were really true with the elevation of the holy Spirit to the level of God, it would have to harmonize with the following quotations, in which is this not the case:

Originals:

- "**CHRIST WALKS UNSEEN through our streets.** With messages of mercy He comes to our homes. With all who are seeking to minister in His name, He waits to co-operate. He is in the midst of us, to heal and to bless, if we will **receive Him.**" {Ellen White: The Ministry of Healing, p. 107}
- "Remember that **Jesus is beside you wherever you go, noting your actions and listening** to your words. Would you be ashamed to **hear His voice** speaking to you, and to know that he hears your conversation?" {Ellen White: YI, February 4. 1897 par. 3}
- "The **Lord Jesus standing by the side of the canvasser, walking with them,** is the chief worker. If we recognize Christ as the One who is with us to prepare the way, the holy Spirit by our side will make impressions in just the lines needed." {Ellen White: CM, p. 107}
- "We want the **holy SPIRIT, which is JESUS Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

Alternate interpretation:

In the following text down is the proof of the old truth for all readers who hold on to their attitude that there is NO falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy or the Bible. But even in this case the controversial texts or verses in conjunction with the remaining verses and texts can not provide any evidence of the Trinity. By isolating some text, you can prove almost any heresy. We know that the writings of Ellen White (Spirit of Prophecy) **cannot at the same time oppose and support the Trinity.** This also shows us the only way to interpret the expressions like "three heavenly powers" without taking the quote out of context.

Although this script brought the evidence of falsifications, we can see again in the text below, that the doctrine of the **Trinity could not be proven even if these texts were original!** Avondale is an Adventist school where Ellen White gave a talk when it opened in 1899. She also talked about the holy Spirit. That, what she said is often quoted by representatives of the Trinity doctrine to represent the holy Spirit as a divine being. Who is this third Person? Third Being or personal presence of Jesus in a different way? According to the Spirit of Prophecy, certainly not a third Divine Being!

- "Those who believe the truth should remember that they are God's little children, that they are under His training. Let them be thankful to God for His manifold mercies and be kind to one another. They have **one God and one Saviour; and one Spirit—the Spirit of Christ**—is to bring unity into their ranks." {Ellen White: 9T, p. 189.3, 1905}
- "We want the **holy Spirit, which is Jesus Christ.**" {Ellen White: Letter 66, April 10, 1894, par. 18}

The lower quote from the book 'Evangelism' leads in the shortened version in the wrong direction, although in its complete form it is actually proof of the truth.

- "We need to realize that the holy Spirit, who is as much a person as God is a person, is walking through these grounds,..." {Ellen White: Evangelism 616, 1899, From a talk to the Students at Avondale-School in Australia}

The original quote without abbreviation:

- "The **Lord** (Jesus) says this because He knows it is for our good. He would build a wall around us, to keep us from transgression, so that His blessing and love may be bestowed on us in rich measure. This is the reason we have established a school here. The Lord instructed us that this was the place in which we should locate, and we have had every reason to think that we are in the right place. We have been brought together as a school, and we need to realize that the **holy Spirit, who is as much a person as God is a person, is walking through these grounds**, unseen by human eyes, **that the LORD GOD is our Keeper and Helper. HE** (God) **hears every word** we utter and knows every thought of the mind." {Ellen White: Ms 66, 1899} {Ellen White: Talk, April 13, 1899} {Ellen White: 7MR 299.2}

At first glance, this quote, especially the short version taken out of context and deliberately shortened, appears to clearly support the doctrine of the Trinity and the "third" Divine Being. But if we read the whole text carefully, we can see that it says that the holy Spirit is a person, because God is also a person, this time present in a different way than on the throne.

- "My perplexities were lessened a little when I learned from the **dictionary** that **one of the meanings of personality, was Characteristics.**" {William C. White, 1935, Letter to H.W. Carr}

We read in the continuation that God is our protector and that God himself is the One Who knows our thoughts. So we see here too that it is His spirit! The holy Spirit never has the title 'Lord' or 'God!' Jesus has the title 'Lord'. We can see, that Jesus knows our thoughts and hears our words! We read the same message in this quote as in the one below, namely that Jesus was also among us in Avondale through His Spirit.

- "The **Lord Jesus standing by the side of the canvasser, walking with them**, is the chief worker. If **we recognize Christ as the One who is with us** to prepare the way, the **holy Spirit by our side will make impressions in just the lines needed.**" {Ellen White: CM, p. 107}
- "Remember that **Jesus is beside you wherever you go, noting your actions and listening to your words.** Would you be ashamed to hear His voice speaking to you, and to know that he hears your conversation?" {Ellen White: YI, February 4. 1897 par. 3}

- "Cumbered with humanity, **Christ** could not be in every place personally; therefore it was altogether for their advantage that He should leave them, go to His Father, and send the holy Spirit to be His successor on earth. The **holy Spirit is Himself**, divested of the personality of humanity and independence thereof. He would represent Himself as present in all places by His holy Spirit, as the Omnipresent." {Ellen White: Lt119,1895.18}
- "The Rock of faith is the **living presence of Christ in the church.**" {Ellen White: DA 414.3}
- "Yes; in **giving the holy Spirit**, it was impossible for **God** to give more. To this gift nothing could be added. By it all needs are supplied. The **holy Spirit is the vital presence of God**, and if appreciated will call forth praise and thanksgiving, and will ever be springing up unto everlasting life. The restoration of the Spirit is the covenant of grace." {Ellen White: ST, 7. august 1901}

We read explicitly in countless quotes that Jesus is the one Who is present among us. But since we know that He is in the heavenly sanctuary, the only meaning of the above quotations can only be that Jesus is with us through HIS holy Spirit.

- "Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and, lo, **I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world.** Amen." {Matthew 28:20}

We can not separate excerpts from the quotations from the context to produce a false statement, as in the case of the preachment of Ellen White in Avondale. We have to study the Bible without interpretations of the verses out of context, as, for example, other churches do it with the "ghost of death Samuel with Saul, to "prove" the life after death...

Other contradicting quotes:

- "We **cannot be with Christ in person, as were His first disciples**, but He has sent **HIS holy Spirit** to guide us into all truth." {Ellen White: Ms 30, 1900}
- "**Christ is not now with us in person, but through the agency of the holy Spirit.**" {Ellen White: RH, September 16, 1909}
- "The **holy Spirit is the Comforter, in Christ's name. He personifies Christ**, yet is a **DISTINCT PERSONALITY**. We may have the holy Spirit if we ask for it and make it [a] habit to turn to and trust in God rather than in any finite human agent who may make mistakes." {Ellen White: 20MR 324.2}

The above statements contradict all other quotes from her. Ellen White wrote in 1909 that only Father and Son know everything! If the holy Spirit were a Person, he should know everything just like Father and Son:

Originals:

- "**God and Christ alone know** what the souls of men have cost." {Ellen White: The Signs of the Times, January 13, 1909, par. 8}
- "It is not essential for us to be able to define just **WHAT the holy Spirit is**. Christ tells us that the Spirit is the Comforter, "the Spirit of truth, **which proceeded from the Father.**" It is plainly declared regarding the holy Spirit that, in His work of guiding men into all truth, "**He shall not speak of Himself.**" John 15:26; 16:13." {Ellen White: AA 51.3}
- "The greatness of God is to us incomprehensible. "The **Lord's throne is in heaven**" (Psalm 11:4); yet **by His Spirit HE is everywhere present**. He has an intimate knowledge of, and a personal interest in, all the works of His hand." {Ellen White: Ed, p. 132}

What happened with the book "The Desire of Ages"?

Original Book instead of manipulated version "Desire of Ages": [Lord Jesus Christ](#)

Did Ellen White announce any manipulations or falsifications of her testimonies? Of course, she did, because as a prophet of God, she saw in advance what would happen to her writings. She put an end to uncoordinated quotations that completely deviate from all her other writings and the Bible:

- "If the Testimonies (writings) speak not according to the word of God, reject them." {Ellen White: 5T, p. 691}
- "Whatever **wrong construction may be placed upon my testimony** by those who profess righteousness, yet know not God, I shall in humility go forward with my work." {Ellen White: 1SM, p. 73, Ms 4, 1883}
- "There will be those once united with us in the faith who will search for new, strange doctrines, for something odd and sensational to present to the people. They will bring in all conceivable fallacies, and will present them as coming from Mrs. White, that they may beguile souls" {Ellen White: 1SM 41.3}
- "There are those who will misinterpret the messages that God has given, in accordance with their spiritual blindness." {Ellen White: 1SM 41.5}
- "But the reports that are circulated, that any of my helpers are permitted to add matter or change the meaning of the messages I write out, are not true." {Ellen White: 1SM 50.4}
- "The Lord has said to me: "Bear the testimonies. Your work is not to settle difficulties; your work is to reprove, and to present the righteousness of Christ." {Ellen White: 1SM 52.5}

The book "Desire of Ages" is also used as one of the "main proofs" of the Trinity. Is this "evidence" solid, given that such an interpretation is contrary to the Bible and all other texts of the Spirit of Prophecy? Ellen White has written 54 books, 4,000 articles for the "Review and Herald" and "Signs of the Times", as well as about 500 other contributions to our church's literature. Her literary legacy contains about 100,000 pages, on which there is no support for the Trinity anywhere, but vice versa, except for an extremely small number of falsified quotations. Ellen White was sent to Australia against her will from **1891 to 1900** so that the bearers of the apostasy could carry out the falsification of the Spirit of Prophecy without resistance.

- "I have **not**, I think, revealed the entire workings that led me here to **Australia**. Perhaps you may never fully understand the matter. **The Lord was not in our leaving America**. He did not reveal that it was His will that I should leave Battle Creek. **The Lord did not plan this**, but He let you all move after **your own imaginings**. The Lord would have had W. C. White, his mother, and her workers remain in America, we were needed at the heart of the work, and had your spiritual perception discerned the true situation, you would never have consented to the movements made. But the Lord read the hearts of all. **There was so great a willingness to have us leave** that the Lord permitted this thing to take place. **Those who were weary of the testimonies borne were left without the persons who bore them**. Our separation from Battle Creek was to let men have their own will and way, which they thought superior to the way of the Lord." {Ellen White: 11LtMs, Lt 127, 1896, par. 4}

In 1888, just before leaving, Ellen White finished the original book "Spirit of Prophecy - **Lord Jesus Christ**". Her secretary **MARIAN DAVIS**, during her **absence**, supplemented the book **HERSELF**.

According to her statement, she "only" found suitable quotes from EGW, which she then added. Marian Davis was then surrounded by the apostasy carriers such as Professor **PRESCOTT** and under their influence taken away from the old truth. In a letter to Dr. Froom, H. C. Lacey made it clear that prof. By emphasizing true teachings, Prescott managed to turn them in the wrong direction, and he also had an influence on Marian Davis while Ellen White was preparing "Desire of Ages" during her absence.

- "At that time, Professor **PRESCOTT** was tremendously interested in presenting Christ as **THE GREAT "I Am"** and in emphasizing the eternity of His existence, using frequently the expression "The Eternal Son". Also he connected the "I AM" of Exodus 3:14, which of course was Christ the Second Person of the Godhead, with the fulfillment of Jesus in John 8:58, which we all agree to; but then linked it up with other "I am's" in that Gospel - 7 of them, such as "I am the Bread of life" "I am the Light of the world" "I am the door of the Sheep" etc. all very rich in their spiritual teaching - but which seemed a little far fetched to me especially as the "I am" in all those latter cases is merely in the copula in the Greek, as well as in English. But he insisted on his interpretation. Sr. **Marian Davis** seem to **fall for it**, and lo and behold, when "**Desire of Ages**" came out, there appeared that **identical teaching** on pages 24 and 25, which, I think, can be **looked for IN VAIN in any of Sr. White's published works prior to that time.**" {H. C. Lacey letter to Dr. LeRoy Froom Aug. 30, 1945}
- "In this connection, of course you know that Sr. **Marian Davis** was entrusted with the preparation of "**Desire of Ages**" and that she gathered her material from every available source - from Sr. White's books already in print, from unpublished manuscripts, from private letters, stenographical reports of her talks etc. - but perhaps you may not know that she (Sr. Davis) was greatly worried about finding material for the first chapter. She appealed to me personally many times as she was arranging that chapter (and other chapters too for that matter) and I did what I could to help her; **I have good reason to believe that she also appealed to Professor Prescott for similar aid**, and got it too in far richer and more abundant measure than I could render." (H.C. Lacey letter to L.E. Froom Aug. 30, 1945)

After reading "Ellen White's" 1898 book "Desire of Ages", many theologians at the time asked the question, did EGW suddenly change its beliefs and accept the Trinity?

- "This was greatly **displeasing to the Lord. The APPRENTICES were being EDUCATED in the FALSE DOCTRINES contained in the matter brought in. And the Review and Herald presses were sending these false doctrines out to the world.**" {Ellen White: MS 20, 1903, April 3, par. 8}

Example of „addition“ - Quote from Ellen White's book "The **Great Controversy** between Lord Jesus Christ and Satan" 1877:

- "...answered that she knew he would arise in the resurrection, at the last day. But Jesus, seeking to give a true direction to her faith, said, "I am the resurrection, and the life; he that believeth in Me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me, shall never die. Believest thou this?" {Ellen White, The Great Controversy between Christ and Satan, 1877, p. 362-363}

In **1898**, in the book "**Desire of Ages**", he received a **falsified "addition"** (marked in capital letters and underlined with a black line):

- "Martha answered, "I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection at the last day." Still seeking to give a true direction to her faith, Jesus declared, "I am the resurrection, and the life." **In Christ is LIFE, ORIGINAL, UNBORROWED, UNDERIVED. "He that hath the Son hath life." 1 John 5:12. THE DIVINITY of Christ is the believer's assurance of eternal life.** "He that believeth in Me," said Jesus, "though he were dead, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and believeth in Me shall never die. Believest thou this?" {Ellen White, Desire of Ages, 1898, p. 530}

Even after Ellen White's death, the "corrections" continued. We all know of the well-known case of Ellen White's 1934 statement "Desire of Ages", which previously stated that the Dove at Jesus' baptism symbolized His love and humility, which shows that the Holy Spirit was not an "independent" Being. This was "accidentally" done during the time of Dr. Froom, who, according to his statement, "harmonized" our standard literature with the beliefs of the rest of "Christianity", so that we could finally be ecumenically accepted by other churches. Dr. Fred Veltman researched the sources of the book "Desire of Ages" in the area of GK from 1982 to 1990 and concluded that **today there are no handwritten original manuscripts of that book!**

[The Great Controversy between Christ and Satan](#) 1884 Ellen White 432 Pages PDF Download

[Past and future of the whole universe + world dictatorship and religion = imminent coming of Jesus](#) – Goran Šušljic 8 Pages PDF DOWNLOAD

[First Commandment OR Trinity – Encyclopedia of Evidences of Old Trampled Truth of All Areas](#) – Goran Šušljic 322 Pages PDF DOWNLOAD

www.first-commandment-or-trinity.com

ADITION:

Falsifications of the Bible

Matthew, 28:18-20

- "And Jesus came, and spake unto them, saying, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. **Goe ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the Name of the Father, and of the Sonne, and of the holy Ghost: Teaching them** to observe all things, whatsoever I have commanded you: and lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world. Amen." {Matthew 28:18.19.20}

In the book of Acts, the evangelist Luke described the same statement of Jesus from Matthew 28:19.20. But in Acts 1:8 Jesus calls us to proclaim His truth to all nations, without any mention of baptism in the name of the Trinity:

- „But ye shall receive power, after that the Holy Ghost is come upon you: and **ye shall be witnesses unto Me** both in Jerusalem, and in all Judaea, and in Samaria, and **unto the uttermost part of the earth.**“ {Acts 1:8}

Why does this difference exist? Because that verse in Matthew 28:18-19 was changed in the year 325 to support and introduce the Trinity doctrine.

We know the following facts: the "doctrine of the Trinity" was the basis of many pagan religions alongside "life after death". During the council in Nicea in 325, Emperor Constantine ordered that an ecumenical Bible be compiled to unite pagans and Christians. He entrusted this task to the leading Bishop Eusebius of Caesarea, who implemented what Bishop Origen had tried earlier without success. Interestingly, Bishop Eusebius quoted the above verse differently 17 times in his works before Nicea:

- "Surely none save our only Savior has done this, when, after His victory over death, he spoke the word to His followers, and fulfilled it by the event, saying to them, **“Go ye and make disciples of all nations in My name.”** {Eusebius: Oration in Praise of Emperor Constantine, Chapter 16, Section 8}

- "Relying upon the power of Christ, who had said to them, **"Go ye and make disciples of all the nations in My name."** {Eusebius: Book III of his History, Chapter 5, Section 2, (about the Jewish persecution of early Christians)}
- "But while the disciples of Jesus were most likely either saying thus, or thinking thus, the Master solved their difficulties, by the addition of one phrase, saying they should triumph **"In MY NAME."** And the power of His name being so great, that the apostle says: "God has given Him a name which is above every name, that in the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth," He shewed the virtue of the power in His Name concealed from the crowd when He said to His disciples: **"Go, and make disciples of all the nations in My Name."** He also most accurately forecasts the future when He says: "for this gospel must first be preached to all the world, for a witness to all nations." {Eusebius: Proof of the Gospel, Book III, ch. 7, 136 (a-d), p. 157}.
- "Who said to them; **'Make disciples of all the nations in My Name.'**" {Eusebius: Proof of the Gospel, Book III, Chapter 7, 138 (c), p. 159}
- "With one word and voice, He said to His disciples: **"Go, and make disciples of all nations in My Name, teaching them to observe all things whats over I have commanded you"** {Eusebius: Proof of the Gospel, Book III, ch 6, 132 (a), p. 152}

For this reason, it is not surprising that after the introduction of the Trinity in year 325, Eusebius suddenly changed the form of those verses, so that he used only the current form of those verses in his following 5 works. The whole Bible only knows baptism 'in the name of Jesus'. The true Bible certainly does not contradict itself, and the verse Matthew 28:19 directly contradicts all other verses. This is the strongest evidence of the falsification. One constellation is 180 degrees different than the other, and both are impossible at the same time. God never contradicts Himself!

- "When they heard this, they were **baptized in the Name of the Lord Jesus.**" {Acts 19:5}
- "But when they believed Philip preaching the things concerning the kingdom of God, and the **Name of Jesus Christ, they were baptized,** both men and women." {Acts 8:12}
- "For as yet hee was fallen upon none of them: only they were **baptized in the Name of the Lord Jesus.**" {Acts 8:16}
- "And he commanded them to be **baptized in the Name of the Lord.** Then prayed they Him to tarry certain days." {Acts 10:48}
- "For as many of you as have bene **baptized into Christ, have put on Christ.**" {Galatians 3:27}

Whose name do we call to be washed from sin at baptism? Trinity?

- "Then Peter said unto them, Repent, and be **baptized** every one of you **in the Name of Jesus Christ,** for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the holy Ghost." {Acts 2,38}
- "And now, why tarriest thou? Arise, and **be baptized,** and **wash away thy sins,** calling on the **name of the Lord.**" {Acts 22,16}

Is there any other name besides that of Jesus who can save and under heaven?

- "He that believeth and is baptized, shall be saved, but he that believeth not, shall be damned." {Mark 16:16}

Many Theologians are making the claims, that this baptism is just in the name of Trinity. Actually, baptism and immersion in the water are a symbol of His (and not of a triune) death, His lying in the grave, and His resurrection. ONLY Jesus died, and through baptism, we show acceptance of His sacrifice for us and thereby become Christians.

-The immersion in the water symbolizes the death of Jesus on the cross

-The short stay in the water symbolizes the time of Jesus in the grave

-The emergence from the water symbolizes the resurrection of Jesus

The apostle Paul clearly emphasizes that baptism is only in the name of Jesus and that this is directly connected with the sacrifice of Jesus:

- **"Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for you? or were ye baptized in the name of Paul?"** {1 Corinthians 1:13}
- "Know ye not, that so many of us as were **baptized into Jesus Christ, were baptized into His death?** Therefore we are **buried with Him by baptism into death**, that like as Christ was **raised** up from the dead by the glory of the Father: even so we also should walk in newness of life." {Romans 6:3}
- **"Buried with Him in baptism**, wherein also you are **risen with Him** through the faith of the operation of God, who hath raised Him from the dead." {Colossians 2:12}."

Below is the excerpt from Shem Tob's MSS Hebrew Matthew Gospel, Matthew 28th chapter, and comes from the book by Dr. George Howard, who is a specialist in the Hebrew language. The original of this manuscript is in the Jewish Theological Seminary of America in New York. This Gospel was saved by the Hebrews from the first century and was founded in the 14th century. In the 14th century, there was no discussion of the Trinity! And in this old original manuscript of the Gospel, Matthew 28:19, there is no trinitarian form of baptism, i.e. no statement by Jesus in this regard. Matthew wrote his Gospel in Hebrew and not in Greek, as most theologians claim today, in an unconscious attempt to trample the truth:

- **"Matthew** also issued a **written** Gospel among the **Hebrews in their own dialect while Peter and Paul** were preaching at Rome and laying the foundations of the church." {Irenaeus in the second century: Adv. Haer. 3.1.1}

[MT 28:9-20

⁹והמה הולכות וישׁו עבר לפניהם אומר השם יושיעכן. והם
קרבו אליו ויקדו לו וישתחוו לו.
¹⁰ואז אמר להן ישׁו אל תפחדו אמרו לאחי שילכו לגליל ושמה
יראוני.
¹¹ובעוד שהן הולכות איזה מהשומרים באו לעיר והגידו
לגדולי הכהנים כל הנעשה.
¹²ויצעדו לעצה עם זקני העם. ויתנו ממון רב לפרשים.
¹³ואמרו להם אתם תאמרו שבאו תלמידיו לילה וגנבוהו בעודכם
ישנים.
¹⁴ואם זה יבא לאוזן פילאט אנו נדבר עמו בענין יניחכם.
¹⁵והם לקחו המטבע ואמרו כן כמו שלמדום. וזה הדבר בסוד בין
היהודים עד היום הזה.
¹⁶ואחר זה כאשר השנים עשר תלמידיו הלכו לגליל נראה להם בהר
¹⁷אשר בו התפללו. וכאשר ראוהו השתחוו לו ויש מהם שנסתפקו בו.
¹⁸וישׁו קרב אליהם ואמר להם לי נתן כל היכולת בשמים ובארץ.
¹⁹לכו אתם
²⁰ושמרו אותם לקיים כל הדברים אשר ציויתי אתכם עד עולם.

9. As they were going Jesus passed before them saying:
May the Name deliver you. They came near to Him, bowed down to Him, and worshipped Him.
10. Then Jesus said to them: Do not be afraid; tell My brothers that they should go to Galilee and there they will see Me.
11. While they were going some of the guards entered the city and declared to the chief priests all that had happened.
12. They came together for counsel with the elders of the people. Then they gave much money to the horsemen
13. and said to them: Say that His disciples came by night and stole Him while you were sleeping.
14. If this should come to the ears of Pilate we will tell him that he should leave you alone.
15. They took the money and said thus as they instructed them. This is the word [held] in secret among the Jews unto this day.
16. After this when His twelve disciples came to Galilee He appeared to them in the mountain where they had prayed.
17. When they saw Him they worshipped Him, but there were some of them who doubted Him.
18. Jesus drew near to them and said to them:
To Me has been given all power in heaven and earth.
19. **Go**
20. **and (teach) them to carry out all the things which I have commanded you forever.**

The same is also stated in the other 3 Bible translations:

- "Then, coming toward them, Jesus spoke, saying, 'All power has been given me in heaven and on earth. So, go and make disciples in all nations **IN MY NAME**, teaching them to obey all the things that I commanded you. And [Look!] I'll be with you every day until the end of the age." {Matthäus 28,18-20} Bible 2001
- "Jesus approached and said to them, "All authority has been given to me in heaven and on earth. Consequently, when you go, disciple all nationalities **IN MY NAME**. Teach them to keep everything I commanded you to do. Understand this: I am with you every day until the consummation of the age!" {Matthäus 28,18-20} MIT - Idiomatic EN - 2006
- "Yeshua [God is Salvation] drew near to them and said to them: "All power has been given to Me in heaven and earth". "Go and make disciples, of all the nations, to believe **IN MY NAME**" "and teach them to observe all the words which I have commanded you, forever" {Matthäus 28,18-20} New Messianic Version Bible

Today's Bible says that the apostles should go and all should baptize in the name of the Father, Son, and holy Spirit, which contradicts the rest of the New Testament, where the apostles were all exclusively baptized in the name of Jesus! And the true Bible can never contradict itself!

The Catholic Church, which proudly proclaims that it has replaced the Sabbath itself, confirms itself that it has changed Matthew 28:19:

- "The **basic form of our** (Matthew 28,19 Trinitarian) profession of faith **took shape during the second and third centuries in connection with the ceremony of baptism.** So far as its place of origin is concerned, the text (Matthew 28:19) **came from the city of Rome.**" {Joseph Ratzinger (pope Benedict XVI): Introduction to Christianity, 1968 edition, pp. 82, 83.}
- "The **baptismal formula was changed from the name of Jesus Christ to the words Father, Son, and holy Spirit by the Catholic Church in the second century.**" {Britannica Encyclopedia, 11th Edition, Volume 3, page 365}
- "The passages in Acts and the Letters of St. Paul. These passages seem to point to the **earliest form as baptism in the name of the Lord.**" Also, we find. "Is it possible to reconcile these facts with the **belief that Christ commanded His disciples to baptize in the trine form?** Had Christ given such a command, it is urged, the Apostolic Church would have followed him, and we should have some trace of this obedience in the New Testament. **No such trace can be found.** The only explanation of this silence, according to the anti-traditional view, is this the **short Christological (Jesus Name) formula was (the) original, and the longer trine formula was a later development.**" {The Catholic University of America in Washington, D. C. 1923, New Testament Studies Number 5: The Lord's Command To Baptize An Historical Critical Investigation. By Bernard Henry Cuneo, page 27}

Through these unbeatable facts, the disarmed bearers of the Trinity doctrine pass over to the following "arguments" and show the works of Tertullian (160-220) from Carthage, who wrote about the Trinity much earlier before Nicaea. Does this mean that if a person wrote their view at the time, is that evidence of the "truth" of this teaching? According to the method, a few hundred years later, somebody could prove with the books of Dr. Kellogg, that we have believed in the Far Eastern nature of the holy Spirit, or that the Apocrypha were true. But when an Apostasy occurs, it always begins in a small circle and slowly spreads more and more to critical mass.

If Tertullian really represented the faith of this time, why did the majority of the (Arian) bishops in Nicaea reject the Trinity? Arian teaching is misrepresented by claiming that Jesus is not recognized as a Divine being, which is a lie. True Arian teaching rejects just Trinity! All of the old Adventists including Ellen White were (semi) Arians. Why could the Trinity assert itself definitely in the year 381 in Constantinople, when Trinity was already part of the Christian faith? Why was Prof. Prescott unable to establish a Trinity together with the chief leaders of the GK in 1919? Why was there determined resistance, if we as a church long time believed in the Trinity?

Today it is said that James White, known as the GREATEST OPPONENT of the Trinity Doctrine, used this modern version of verse at baptism, according to the claim in "original texts", without any opposition from EGW. If that were the truth, it would mean that James White believed in the One, but would have practiced the diametrically opposite! Do we really have original evidence today that Adventists were really baptized in the name of the Trinity before 1888 when EGW had definitely lost the last vestiges of influence?

What wrote Ellen White really about baptism? In whose name?

- "But with clearer light, they gladly accepted Christ as their Redeemer, and with this step of advance came a change in their obligations. As they received a purer faith, there was a corresponding change in their life. In token of this change, and as an acknowledgment of their faith in Christ, they were **rebaptized in the name of Jesus.**" {Ellen White AA, p. 285.1}

- "After the wonderful manifestation of the holy Spirit on the Day of Pentecost, Peter exhorted the people to repentance and **baptism in the name of Christ**, for the remission of their sins." {Ellen White: Acts 2:38, 39 und GC ix.2}

Then how is it, that there are some quotations from EGW describing baptism "in the name of the Father, Son, and holy Spirit"? In any case, these contradict their quotations above, as well as the entire Bible. There is ample evidence of how many quotations were removed from her books and how many were brought in from the side of infiltrated servants on the other side.

However, we must not be discouraged by the fact that we reject the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy. The simplest criterion for us to be able to recognize with prayer whether a verse or quotation is original is to compare whether it harmonizes with all the rest of the Bible or the Spirit of Prophecy, or teaches 180 degrees diametrically different.

Ellen White has also announced that her quotes are under attack:

- "Whatever **wrong construction may be placed** upon my testimony by those who profess righteousness, yet know not God, I shall in humility go forward with my work." {Ellen White: SM1, p.73, and Manuscript 4, 1883}

A Collection of Evidences Against the modern Wording of Matthew 28:19:

The Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics:

- "As Matthew 28:19, it says: It is the central piece of evidence for the traditional (Trinitarian) view. If it were undisputed, this would, of course, be decisive, but its trustworthiness is impugned on grounds of textual criticism, literary criticism, and historical criticism. The same Encyclopedia further states that: "The obvious explanation of the silence of the New Testament on the triune name, and the use of another (JESUS NAME) formula in Acts and Paul, is that this other formula was the earlier, and **the triune formula is a later addition.**"

Edmund Schlink, The Doctrine of Baptism, page 28:

- "The baptismal command in its Matthew 28:19 form can not be the historical origin of Christian baptism. At the very least, it must be assumed that the text has been transmitted in a form **expanded by the [Catholic] church.**"

The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, I, 275:

- "It is often affirmed that the words in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the holy Ghost are not the ipsissima verba [exact words] of Jesus, but...**a later liturgical addition.**"

Wilhelm Bousset, Kyrios Christianity, page 295:

- "The testimony for the wide distribution of the simple baptismal formula [in the Name of Jesus] down into the second century is so overwhelming that even **in Matthew 28:19, the Trinitarian formula was later inserted.**"

The Catholic Encyclopedia, II, page 263:

- "The baptismal formula **was changed** from the name of Jesus Christ to the words Father, Son, and holy Spirit by the Catholic Church in the second century."

Hastings Dictionary of the Bible 1963, page 1015:

- "The Trinity...is not demonstrable by logic or by Scriptural proofs,...The term Trias was first used by Theophilus of Antioch (c AD 180),...(The term Trinity) not found in Scripture..."
"The chief Trinitarian text in the NT is the baptismal formula in Mt 28:19...This late post-resurrection saying, not found in any other Gospel or anywhere else in the NT, has been viewed by some scholars as an interpolation into Matthew. It has also been pointed out that the idea of making disciples is continued in teaching them, so that the intervening reference to baptism with its Trinitarian formula was perhaps a later insertion into the saying. Finally, Eusebius's form of the (ancient) text ("in my name" rather than in the name of the Trinity) has had certain advocates. (Although the Trinitarian formula is now found in the modern-day book of Matthew), this does not guarantee its source in the historical teaching of Jesus. It is doubtless better to view the (Trinitarian) formula as derived from early (Catholic) Christian, perhaps Syrian or Palestinian, baptismal usage (cf Didache 7:1-4), and as a brief summary of the (Catholic) Church's teaching about God, Christ, and the Spirit:..."

The Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge:

- "Jesus, however, cannot have given His disciples this Trinitarian order of baptism after His resurrection; for the New Testament knows only one baptism in the name of Jesus (Acts 2:38; 8:16; 10:43; 19:5; Gal. 3:27; Rom. 6:3; 1 Cor. 1:13-15), which still occurs even in the second and third centuries, while the Trinitarian formula occurs only in Matt. 28:19, and then only again (in the) Didache 7:1 and Justin, Apol. 1:61...Finally, the distinctly liturgical character of the formula...is strange; it was not the way of Jesus to make such formulas... **the formal authenticity of Matt. 28:19 must be disputed...**" page 435.

The Jerusalem Bible, a scholarly Catholic work, states:

- "It may be that this formula, (Triune Matthew 28:19) so far as the fullness of its expression is concerned, is a reflection of the (Man-made) liturgical usage **established later** in the primitive (Catholic) community. It will be remembered that Acts speaks of baptizing "in the name of Jesus,"..."

The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia, Vol. 4, page 2637, Under "Baptism," says:

- "**Matthew 28:19** in particular only canonizes a **later ecclesiastical** situation, that its universalism is **contrary to the facts of early Christian history**, and its **Trinitarian formula (is) foreign to the mouth of Jesus.**"

New Revised Standard Version says this about Matthew 28:19:

- "Modern critics claim this formula is falsely ascribed to Jesus and that it represents **later** (Catholic) church **tradition**, for **nowhere** in the book of Acts (or any other book of the Bible) is baptism performed with the name of the Trinity..."

James Moffett's New Testament Translation:

- "In a footnote on page 64 about Matthew 28:19 he makes this statement: "It may be that this (Trinitarian) formula, so far as the fullness of its expression is concerned, is a reflection of the (Catholic) liturgical usage **established later** in the primitive (Catholic) community, **It will be remembered that Acts speaks of baptizing "in the name of Jesus**, cf. Acts 1:5 +."

Tom Harpur, former Religion Editor of the Toronto Star in his "For Christ's sake," page 103 informs us of these facts:

- "All but the most conservative scholars agree that at least the latter part of this command [Triune part of Matthew 28:19] **was inserted later**. The [Trinitarian] formula occurs nowhere else in the New Testament, and we know from the only evidence available [the rest of the New Testament] that **the earliest Church did not baptize people using these words** ("in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the holy Ghost") **baptism was "into" or "in" the name of Jesus alone**. Thus it is argued that the verse originally read "baptizing them in My Name" **and then was expanded** [changed] to work in the [later Catholic Trinitarian] dogma. In fact, the first view put forward by German critical scholars as well as the Unitarians in the nineteenth century, was stated as the accepted position of mainline scholarship as long ago as 1919, when Peake's commentary was first published: "The Church of the first days (AD 33) did not observe this world-wide (Trinitarian) commandment, even if they knew it. The command to baptize into the threefold [Trinity] name **is a late doctrinal expansion**."

The Bible Commentary 1919 page 723:

Dr. Peake makes it clear that:

- "The command to baptize into the threefold name is a late doctrinal expansion. Instead of the words baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the holy Ghost we should probably read simply **"into My Name."**

Theology of the New Testament:

R. Bultmann, 1951, page 133:

- "Under Kerygma of the Hellenistic Church and the Sacraments. The historical fact that the verse Matthew 28:19 was altered is openly confesses to very plainly. "As to the rite of baptism, it was normally consummated as a bath in which the one receiving baptism completely submerged, and if possible in flowing water as the allusions of Acts 8:36, Heb. 10:22, Barn. 11:11 permit us to gather, and as Did. 7:1-3 specifically says. According to the last passage, [the apocryphal Catholic Didache] suffices in case of the need if water is three times poured [false Catholic sprinkling doctrine] on the head. **The one baptizing names over the one being baptized the name of the Lord Jesus Christ,**" later expanded [changed] to the name of the Father, Son, and the holy Spirit."

Doctrine and Practice in the Early Church:

Dr. Stuart G. Hall 1992, pages 20 and 21. Professor Stuart G. Hall was the former Chair of Ecclesiastical History at King's College, London England.

Dr. Hall makes the factual statement that Catholic Trinitarian Baptism was not the original form of Christian Baptism, rather the original was Jesus name baptism.

- "In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the holy Spirit," **although those words were not used, as they later are, as a formula**. Not all baptisms fitted this rule." Dr Hall further, states: "More common and perhaps more ancient was the simple, "In the name of the Lord **Jesus** or, Jesus Christ." This practice was known among Marcionites and Orthodox; it is certainly the subject of controversy in Rome and Africa about 254, as the anonymous tract De rebaptismate ("On rebaptism") shows."

The Catholic University of America in Washington, D. C. 1923, New Testament Studies Number 5:

The Lord's Command To Baptize An Historical Critical Investigation. By Bernard Henry Cuneo page 27:

- "The passages in Acts and the Letters of St. Paul. These passages seem to point to the earliest form as baptism in the name of the Lord." Also we find. "Is it possible to reconcile these facts with the belief that Christ commanded His disciples to baptize in the trine form? Had Christ given such a command, it is urged, the Apostolic Church would have followed Him, and we should have some trace of this obedience in the New Testament. No such trace can be found. The only explanation of this silence, according to the anti-traditional view, is this the short christological (Jesus Name) formula was (the) original, and **the longer trine formula was a later development.**"

Catholic Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger makes this confession as to the origin of the chief Trinity text of Matthew 28:19:

- "The basic form of our profession of faith took shape during the course of the **second and third centuries** in connection with the ceremony of baptism. So far as its place of origin is concerned, the text (Matthew 28:19) came from the city of Rome."

The Trinity baptism and text of **Matthew 28:19** therefore did not originate from the original Church that started in Jerusalem around AD 33.

It was rather as the evidence proves a later invention of Roman Catholicism completely fabricated. Very few know about these historical facts.

"The Demonstratio Evangelica" by Eusebius:

Eusebius was the Church historian and Bishop of Caesarea. On page 152 Eusebius quotes the early book of Matthew that he had in his library in Caesarea.

According to this eyewitness of an unaltered Book of Matthew that could have been the original book or the first copy of the original of Matthew.

Eusebius informs us of Jesus' actual words to his disciples in the original text of Matthew 28:19:

- "With one word and voice He said to His disciples: "Go, and make disciples of all nations **in My Name**, teaching them to observe all things what's over I have commanded you." That "Name" is Jesus."


A History of The Christian Church:

1953 by **Williston Walker** former Professor of Ecclesiastical History at Yale University. On page 95 we see the historical facts again declared:

- "With the early disciples generally baptism was "in the name of Jesus Christ." There is no mention of baptism in the name of the Trinity in the New Testament, except in the command attributed to Christ in Matthew 28:19. That text is early, (but not the original) however. It underlies the Apostles' Creed, and the practice recorded (*or interpolated) in the Teaching, (or the Didache) and by Justin. The Christian leaders of the third century retained the recognition of the earlier form, and, in Rome at least, baptism in the name of Christ was deemed valid, if irregular, certainly from the time of Bishop Stephen (254-257)."

On page 61 Professor and Church historian Walker, reviles the true origin and purpose of Matthew 28:19:

- "This Text is the first man-made Roman Catholic Creed that was the prototype for the later Apocryphal Apostles' Creed. Matthew 28:19 was invented along with the Apocryphal Apostles' Creed to counter so-called heretics and Gnostics that baptized in the name of Jesus Christ! Marcion although somewhat mixed up in some of his doctrine still baptized his converts the Biblical way in the name of Jesus Christ. Matthew 28:19 is the first non-Biblical Roman Catholic Creed! The spurious Catholic text of Matthew 28:19 was invented to support the newer triune, Trinity doctrine. Therefore, Matthew 28:19 is not the "Great Commission of Jesus Christ." Matthew 28:19 is the great Catholic hoax! Acts 2:38, Luke 24:47, and 1 Corinthians 6:11 give us the ancient original words and teaching of Yeshua/Jesus! Is it not also strange that Matthew 28:19 is missing from the old manuscripts of Sinaiticus, Curetonianus and Bobiensis? While the power of the episcopate and the significance of churches of apostolical (Catholic) foundation was thus greatly enhanced, the Gnostic crisis saw a corresponding development of (man-made non-inspired spurious) creed, at least in the West. Some form of instruction before baptism was common by the middle of the second century. At Rome this developed, apparently, between 150 and 175, and probably in opposition to Marcionite Gnosticism, into an explication of the baptismal formula of Matthew 28:19 the earliest known form of the so-called Apostles Creed."



The Beginnings of Christianity: The Acts of the Apostles Volume 1, Prolegomena 1:

The Jewish Gentile, and Christian Backgrounds by **F. J. Foakes Jackson and Kirsopp Lake** 1979 version pages 335-337:

- "There is little doubt as to the sacramental nature of baptism by the middle of the first century in the circles represented by the Pauline Epistles, and it is indisputable in the second century. The problem is whether it can in this (Trinitarian) form be traced back to Jesus, and if not what light is thrown upon its history by the analysis of the synoptic Gospels and Acts. According to Catholic teaching, (traditional Trinitarian) baptism was instituted by Jesus. It is easy to see how necessary this was for the belief in sacramental regeneration. Mysteries, or sacraments, were always the institution of the Lord of the cult; by them, and by them only, were its supernatural benefits obtained by the faithful. Nevertheless, if evidence counts for anything, few points in the problem of the Gospels are so clear as the improbability of this teaching. The reason for this assertion is the absence of any mention of Christian baptism in Mark, Q, or the third Gospel, and the suspicious nature of the account of its institution in Matthew 28:19: "Go ye into all the world, and make disciples of all Gentiles (nations), baptizing them in the name of the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit." It is not even certain whether this verse ought to be regarded as part of the genuine text of Matthew. No other text, indeed, is found in any extant manuscripts, in any language, but it is arguable that Justin Martyr, though he used the trine formula, did not find it in his text of the Gospels; Hermas seems to be unacquainted with it; the evidence of the Didache is ambiguous, and Eusebius habitually, though not invariably, quotes it in another form, "Go ye into all the world and make disciples of all the Gentiles in My Name." No one acquainted with the facts of textual history and patristic evidence can doubt the tendency would have been to replace the Eusebian text (In My Name) by the ecclesiastical (Catholic Trinitarian) formula of baptism, so that transcriptional evidence" is certainly on the side of the text omitting baptism. But it is unnecessary to discuss this point at length, because even if the ordinary (modern Trinity) text of Matthew 28:19 be sound it can not represent historical fact. Would they have baptized, as Acts says that they did, and Paul seem to confirm the statement, in the name of the Lord Jesus if the Lord himself had commanded them to use the (Catholic Trinitarian) formula of the Church? On every point the evidence of Acts is convincing proof that the (Catholic) tradition embodied in Matthew 28:19 is a late (non-Scriptural Creed) and unhistorical. Neither in the third gospel nor in Acts is there any reference to the (Catholic Trinitarian) Matthaean tradition, nor any mention of the institution of (Catholic Trinitarian) Christian baptism. Nevertheless, a little later in the narrative we find several references to baptism in water in the name of the Lord Jesus as part of recognized (Early) Christian practice. Thus we are faced by the problem of a Christian rite, not directly ascribed to Jesus, but assumed to be a universal (and original) practice. That it was so is confirmed by the Epistles, but the facts of importance are all contained in Acts." Also in the same book on page 336 in the footnote number one, Professor Lake makes an astonishing discovery in the so-called Teaching or Didache. The Didache has an astonishing contradiction i.e. found in it. One passage refers to the necessity of baptism in the name of the Lord, which is Jesus the other famous passage teaches a Trinitarian Baptism. Lake raises the probability that the apocryphal Didache or the early Catholic Church Manual may have also been edited or changed to promote the later Trinitarian doctrine. It is a historical fact that the Catholic Church at one time baptized its converts in the name of Jesus but later changed to Trinity baptism. 1. In the actual description of baptism in the Didache the trine (Trinity) formula is used; in the instructions for the Eucharist (communion) the condition for admission is baptism in the name of the Lord. It is obvious that in the case of an eleventh-century manuscript *the trine formula was almost certain to be inserted in the description of baptism, while the less usual formula had a chance of escaping notice when it was only used incidentally."

John 5:7 Comma Johanneum

- "For there are **three** that bear record **in heaven**, the **Father**, the **Word**, and the **holy Ghost**: and these **three are one**." {John 5:7}

Many take this verse as "proof" of the Trinity doctrine, although even the Catholic Church had to confess, that this text was added later.

The Vulgate contains not these words since 1979.

Adventist church was also forced to declare it as falsification:

SDA 2009 Sabbath School lesson:

- "In some versions of the Bible the words "in heaven: the Father, the Word, and the holy Spirit; and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness on earth" appear in 1. John 5:7,8. The **only problem is they are a later addition, not found in the original manuscripts.**" {Sabbath school lesson, 26. August 2009}}
- **"...is worthless and should not be used ..."** {The Seventh Day Adventist Bible Commentary, Vol. 7, p. 675, 1962 edition emphasis supplied}
- "For there are three: Hebrew practice, based on Deut. 17:6; 19:5: etc. demanded consistent testimony from two or three Witnesses before action was taken in certain legal disputes. John is here citing three witnesses in support in the Divinity of his Master (1 John 5:5, 6, 8), thus assuring his readers of the reliability of his statement. Textual evidence attests (cf. p. 10) the omission of the passage "in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth." The resultant reading of vs. 7, 8 are as follows: "for three that bear record, the Spirit, and the Water, and the Blood: and these three agree in one." The passage as given in the KJV is in no Greek MS earlier than the 15th and 16th centuries. The disputed words found their way into the KJV by way of the Greek text of Erasmus (see Vol. V, p. 141). It is said that Erasmus offered to include the disputed words in his Greek testament if he were shown one Greek MS that contained them. A library in Dublin produced such a MS (known as 34), and Erasmus included the passage in his text. It is now believed that the later editions of the Vulgate acquired the passage by the mistake of a scribe who included an exegetical marginal comment in the Bible text that he was copying. The disputed words have been widely used in support of the doctrine of the Trinity, but in view of such overwhelming evidence against their authenticity, their support is valueless and should not be used. In spite of their appearance in the Vulgate A Catholic Commentary on holy Scripture freely admits regarding these words: "it is now generally held that this passage, called the Comma Johanneum, is a gloss that crept into the text of the Old Latin and Vulgate at an early date, but found its way into the Greek text only in the 15th and 16th centuries." {Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1951, p. 1186} {The Seventh Day Adventist Bible Commentary - Vol 7. p. 675 - 1962 edition emphasis supplied}

Many theologians are desperately looking for the confirmation of this believed heresy, with arguments, that this verse is "correct", but our church has incomplete information. Luther's original 1545 Bible did not contain these incorrect words. They were ADDED after Luther's death in 1581, and then unfortunately also taken over into King James Bible 1611. These verses refer to the sacrifice of Jesus and not to the Trinity.

- "And there are three that **bear witness in earth, the spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one.**" {1. John 5:8 (5:7)}

John repeats the same thing that he described in the Gospel:

- "But one of the soldiers with a **spear pierced His side, and forthwith came there out blood and water.**" {John 19:34}

The Catholic humanist and theologian Desiderius Erasmus von Rotterdam inserted this described 'Comma Johanneum' into the New Testament, which he dedicated to Pope Leo X, in 1516. Allegedly because "suddenly" the scripts of Greek "refugees" from Constantinople appeared. Scientific methods have shown that these manuscripts Minuskel 61-Codex Monforti date from the 16th century. That was the first "Greek" script with Comma Johanneum in 1 John 5:7,8.

All other writings with such content appeared "by chance" afterward. However, this "version" has now been removed from almost all modern Bible editions after the pressure of the evidence has become too strong. This verse, 1 John 5:7, is the biggest falsification ever. Bible translators of past centuries were so zealous to find support for their belief in the triune God formula in the New Testament that they added it. Here is the passage:

- "For there are three that bear witness in heaven, the **Father, the Word, and the holy Spirit: and these three are one.**" {1 John 5:7} King James 2000 Bible

Let's now bring forth evidence from the experts. Let's see what their views are on 1 John 5:7 i.e. is it a genuine verse or is it a forgery?

Brooke F. Westcott gave a long essay on 1 John 5:7 he then made the following Summary on 1 John 5:7:

"THE WORDS ARE NOT FOUND":

- "In any independent Greek MS (more than 180 MSS and 50 Lectionaries are quoted). Both the late MS which contain it have unquestionably been modified by the Latin Vulgate."
- "In any independent Greek writer. The very few Greek writers who make use of the words derived their knowledge of them from the Latin (not in Ir Cl.Al Orig Did Athan Bas Greg. Naz Cyr.Al)"
- "Not in any ancient version except the Latin; and it was not found in the Old Latin in its early form (Tert Cypr Aug), or (b) in the Vulgate as issued by Jerome (Codd. Am fuld or (c) as revised by Alcuin (Cod. Vallicell)"
- "In any Latin Father earlier than Victor Vitensis or Vigilius Tapsensis (not in Tert Cypr Hil Ambr Hier Aug Leo)"

Henry S. Francis:

"The dogma of the **Trinity three persons in one God – was formulated by St. Augustine.**"

Professor Keith Ward:

- "It **took the church hundreds of years to develop** what we now think of as the doctrine of the **Trinity.**"

Jonathan Hill:

- "It will be remembered that in the second century, Christian theologians such as Justin Martyr had used the old 'logos' idea – ultimately taken from pagan philosophy – to try express this relationship. Christ was the 'logos', a sort of Qausi-God who functions as Gods agent. **This had formed the basis for the development of what would become the doctrine of the Trinity.**"

Bruce Metzger says:

- "Among the criticism levelled at Erasmus one of the most serious appeared to be the charge of Stunica, one of the editors of Ximenes Complutensian Polyglot, that his Text lacked part of the Final chapter of 1: John, namely the Trinitarian statement concerning Father, the word and the holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth' (1 John v.7-8 King James Version). **Erasmus replied that he had NOT found any Greek manuscripts containing these words...** Erasmus promised that he would insert the Comma Johanneum, as it is called in future editions if a single Greek manuscripts could be found that contained the passage...**As it now appears, the Greek manuscripts had probably been written in Oxford about 1520 by a Franciscan friar named Froy (or Roy), who took the disputed words from the Latin Vulgate....** Among the thousands of Greek manuscripts of the New Testament examined since time of Erasmus only three others are known to contain this spurious passage They are Greg. 88, a twelfth-century manuscript which has the comma written in the margin in a seventeenth-century hand; Tisch. W 110, which is a sixteenth-century manuscript copy of the Complutensian Polyglot Greek Text... **The Comma probably originated as a piece of allegorical exegesis of the three witnesses and may have been written as a marginal gloss in a Latin Manuscripts of 1 John, whence it was taken into the text of the Old Latin Bible during the fifth century. The passage does NOT appear in Manuscripts of the Latin Vulgate before about A.D. 800."**

Ezra Abbott:

- "...I will here simply remind the reader that Erasmus introduced the passage into his third edition of the Greek Testament in 1522 and Luther died in 1546.... Luther after quoting the passage of three heavenly witnesses, remarks:- 'These words are not found in the Greek Bibles; but it seems as if this verse had been inserted by the Orthodox against the Arians..... **We may observe finally that the other early reformers and friends of Luther generally rejected the passage;** so Zwingli, Bullinger, Ecolampadius, Bugenhagen (Rickli, ubi supra, pp. 35, 36). So, also, according to Kettner (Histpria dicti Johannei... 1 John v. 7 etc., 1713, cap. 13), Melanchthon, Crucigner), Justus Jonas, Forester, Aurogallus. (see Semler, Hist. U krit. Sammulugun uber 1 John v. 7, 1. 248.) Bugenhagen, as we have seen, was especially strenuous against it; see his Exposito Jona, 1550, cited by Rickli, p. 39. It was also omitted in the Celebrated Lati version of the Bible by Leo Judae, Pellicanus, Peter Cholin, Rudolph Gualther, and others printed at Zürich in 1543. Fol., and commonly called the Zurich Bible or version Tigurina. A Marginal note explains the reason for its rejection.... To trace the history of this gross corruption of the Text in modern Translations, Catechisms and confessions of Faith, especially in the Greek church since the sixteenth century, and in modern editions of some ancient versions, as Peshito Syriac, Armenian and Slavonic, might be interesting and instructive, psychologically as well as critically; **but there is no room for it here."**

Joseph Priestley:

- "It has been shown that there is **no such doctrine** as that of the **Trinity in the Scriptures**, but I will now add that, if it had been found there, it would have been impossible for a reasonable man to believe it, as it implies a contradiction which no miracles can prove."

Pulpit Commentary:

- "Verse 7. – For those who bear witness are three, and thus constitute full legal testimony (Deuteronomy 17:6; Deuteronomy 19:15; Matthew 18:16; 2 Corinthians 13:1). It will be assumed here, without discussion, that the remainder of this verse and the first clause of verse 8 are spurious. **Words which are not contained in a single Greek uncial manuscript, nor in a single Greek cursive earlier than the fourteenth century (the two which contain the passage being evidently translated from the Vulgate), nor are quoted by a single Greek Father during the whole of the Trinitarian controversy, nor are found in any authority until late in the fifth century, cannot be genuine."**

Edward Gibbon:

- “The Memorable text which asserts the unity of the THREE who bear witness in heaven is condemned by the universal silence of the Orthodox fathers, ancient versions and authentic manuscripts. It was first alleged by the Catholic bishops whom Huneric summoned to the conference of Carthage. An allegorical interpretation in the form, perhaps, **of a marginal note invaded the text of the Latin Bibles which were renewed and corrected in the dark period of ten centuries. After the invention of printing, the editors of the Greek Testament yielded to their own prejudices, or those of the times; and the pious fraud, which was embraced with equal zeal at Rome and at Geneva, has been indefinitely multiplied in every country and every language of modern Europe.**” It is quite clear from all the above scholarly statements that 1 John 5:7 is a forgery, and it originally was not part of Bible but was added. Let us now quote references and see where did the Trinity come from? Is the Trinity in the Bible? Did the Triune – God formula come from Jesus teachings or someone else i.e. the Church Fathers?

Theodore D. Beacon:

- “It is generally recognized that the doctrine of the trinity is not directly taught in the Bible, but it was claimed that we do find there set forth with great earnestness the various elements, the disjecta membra, as they are called, **from which the doctrine was built up.**”

Conclusion:

-None of the disciples baptized: “in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the holy Spirit.”

-The Scholars quoted all agree that it was not part of the original text but an interpolation.

All the evidence quoted by the experts proved that 1 John 5:7 is a fraud, it was added in the 1500th century by Erasmus. The words that are quoted by the KJV for 1 John 5:7 are not found in any Greek MSS. All in all the evidence we provided is in our favor that Matthew 28:19 and 1st John 5:7 are not genuine verses. Christians need to let go of the Trinity – Triune God, for Jesus never taught such doctrine. God never said he was a Trinity. The Bible never mentions anything about God being “three.” The Bible never mentions anything about God referenced as a “Person.” Lastly, the Bible never mentions anything about the “holy Spirit” as God. I will finish off with these verses from Jesus' mouth and what God says of Himself in the Old Testament.

Westcott and Hort Codex Sinaiticus Codex Vaticanus

The source of modern manipulated translations! Nowadays, almost all Bible translations have been adapted to the formulation in the Codex Sinaiticus (and Codex Vaticanus). Does this tendency come from God? The origin of the Codex Sinaiticus was the city of Alexandria, which was a mystical-spiritualistic center of fallen Christians. Many words have been changed, to deny, that Jesus was always the literal Son of God. Interestingly, this Codex was just discovered on Mount Sinai in 1844, when God exalted His last people and His prophet Ellen White. Was that a coincidence? Westcott and Hort corrected the King James Bible (1881 NT and 1884 AT) and replaced the Textus Receptus as a basis through the Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus. This modified version from 1885 has the name “Revised Version”. The Codex Sinaiticus is serving today as the basis for almost all modern Bible translations since the beginning of the twentieth century.

The theologian Dr. James R. White, director of the Alpha and Omega Ministries, described the following facts about Westcott and Hort's translation of the Bible:

- "Westcott and Hort use Sinaiticus and Vaticanus to elaborate the New Testament, the **work that eliminates the text used in the King James Bible, later known in scientific studies as the Textus Receptus.**" {Dr. James R. White: The King James Only Controversy, p. 33}
- "We see the work of Westcott and Hort on the Greek New Testament as the central point of the effort to **remove the King James Version** from the throne and to **replace the Greek text as its basis with the Textus Receptus.**" {Dr. James R. White: The King James Only Controversy, p. 99}

Did such manipulations of the Bible by Wescott and Hort leave any consequences or influences? This explains Wikipedia best:

- "The international committee that prepared the Greek New Testament of **United Bible Societies** Greek New Testament not only **took the Westcott & Hort edition as a textual basis**, but also followed its methodology." {Wikipedia: "The New Testament in the Original Greek"}

Is there real evidence that the enemy is active to change the Bible? Helena P. Blavatsky, the founder of the anthroposophists and well-known spiritualist ("coincidentally" from the time of Ellen White) has written openly in many places that her "God" is Lucifer. She has conducted the spiritualistic séances with Wescott and Hort as well as with Charles Darwin. She wrote that Westcott and Hort were the "true" students who enabled the "errors" in the King James Bible to be eliminated, and that a "true" translation of the Bible would be based only on the Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus, and that this should be a "revelation" of the twentieth century, through which the Bible can finally be "better understood" because the old Protestant version of the KJB was not "compatible" with the Codex Sinaiticus from Alexandria.

She also wrote that only the occultists were "able" to save the "true" form of the Bible and that this new translation had finally broken the connection with the old translation of the King James Bible. One of the first Bibles based on the Codex Sinaiticus was the Elberfelder Bible in Germany. For this reason, the Elberfelder Bible is not recommended, although some details have been translated more precisely. These correct texts only lead a reader to view the manipulated verses as a more precise translation. Such a kind of seduction has always been the enemy's tactic. The Codex Vaticanus also comes from the other side! And its influence is not only found in the Catholic Bible. And the Adventist theologians today are those who are using the newly discovered spiritualistic Codex Sinaiticus as "proof" that Jesus is "not" a literal son.

