

God OR Evolution?

Goran Šušljic



While all scientific theories are developed experimentally and empirically step by step from various axioms of a hypothesis on the valid theory, it is exactly the opposite with the evolution hypothesis. One claims almost fanatically that evolution is the only truth and confirmed theory, and then passionately and almost desperately searches for evidence which is absolutely against the principles of science. It is no wonder, however, that this is how it works, since the power circles have almost all universities firmly in their hands, so that the professors who are against evolution, after a few verbal "warnings" not to leave "empirical science". They often lose their jobs, as do the journalists who don't stick to the prescribed "tolerant" for a long time, even if we don't officially have censorship. This type of censorship actually works in all areas in the spirit of the new world order, including politicians. Since scientific analysis does not find any evidence for evolution, everything is simply interpreted in this direction and at the same time everything that disturbs this picture is eliminated. If, as a physicist, one were to delve deeper into the well-established C-14 radiocarbon dating method, one would realise how pointless, imprecise, and misleading this method can be when estimating very long periods of time.

For example the lava stones that have just formed or the petrified trees caused by them are provided with millions of years, e.g. after the eruption of Mount St. Helens in the USA in 1980, new stone trees also yielded such results when they were measured, but this was of course not passed on to the public, since C-14 measurements are among the main arguments of evolutionists, and thus everything would tip over. After a deeper academic analysis, one can only see that the "evolution theory" (actually, it can only be called a hypothesis according to the criteria of science) is neither provable nor reasonable, and will remain so. And within this hypothesis there are innumerable axioms that are mutually exclusive, which also proves that one is looking for evidence only after the fact, rather than building a theory according to empirical results. The fact is that under all chemical-physical laws it is impossible for living matter to arise spontaneously from dead elements in the primordial swamp, let alone DNA and RNA, which should arise at the same time. Besides that, DNS is more complicated and has more memory than the most powerful computer today. And should it have arisen spontaneously, at the same time as RNA, without which it cannot perform its function? And this should already have been with the first "primordial swamp cell", otherwise it would not have functioned and would not have been able to multiply! Not to mention the supernatural life energy that cannot be scientifically explained. The fact of the matter is that if you reproduced all the chemical compounds in a cell, you couldn't make a cell out of it because the life energy would be missing.

In all laboratory experiments, where all circumstances were optimised without limits and life energy was wanted to be "added" e.g. with electric shock, etc., it was never possible to create the simplest cell, since only God can create living things. Because of the failure, they gave the process billions of years as an excuse, when it should have happened. And even that number of millions of years of strata is shifted back and forth by hundreds of millions, depending on the approach to the explanation, depending on what one is trying to argue. In the mathematical science of probability, all numbers multiply, not add. Actually, the probability of only a partial axiom of evolution is lower than the number zero, after whose comma there would be the other zeros in the amount of all atoms in the universe before the number one. It's a trillion times more likely that when an atomic bomb explodes on some mountain, New York, with all the buildings, furniture and the rest of the smallest details, will spontaneously emerge. And even if the primordial cells were there despite the impossibility, their spontaneously arose connection to the higher multicellular organisms is against all biological laws, no matter how much time is available. That's why we still have unicellular and multicellular organisms that God created that way. Since this is so clear, everything has been argued with millions of years, and the second impossible has been added, that a subdivision slowly developed from a cell within it, which ultimately resulted in a multicellular organism. But a cell is the smallest biologically complete unit, and it can only multiply, and not divide into several completely independent subcells as subunits of the future multicellular organism.

Billions of generations can be observed in the laboratory, at least in short-lived bacteria, and never before has such a change been detected or stimulated. One could actually break off the discussion after each point, since at each of these points it becomes very clear how impossible this hypothesis is. Also, the depth of the river in the Grand Canyon is impossible unless all strata were soft immediately after the Flood, and no evolutionist or geologist can answer how these could have formed. But with the Biblical Flood, the answer comes automatically and logically. Some skeletons of nearly 4 meter tall humans have been found that are hidden or labeled as a ridiculous fake as this also destroys evolutionary principles. At the beginning of the Bible are the rules of the health laws. You can already see that the first people before the flood, of course with non-degenerate and therefore better genes than today, lived with only plant food for around 900 years. When people started eating meat after the Flood (because of the, in the first phase afterwards, almost plantless world), their lifespan shortened dramatically in just a few generations, of course also because of other factors such as cosmic rays and gene mutations that were impossible before the flood. Why were mutations impossible? Usually, the main causes of gene mutations are environmental pollution, which didn't exist back then, and cosmic rays. Why did these mutations not exist? According to the Bible, before the Flood the atmosphere was enveloped on the outside with water in an unknown aggregate state. The cosmic rays were absorbed in this layer of water.

At the same time, the refraction of light took place in it, which had produced the same light and heat intensity at every place on earth. As a result, there was only good weather, with a stable and comfortable temperature in the sense of a positive "greenhouse". As a result, the temperature was equalised worldwide, even at the poles, and without seasons. That's why you find a lot of fossils of a tropical kind at the earth's poles, which could never have lived in the cold. Due to the lack of fluctuations in temperature, there were no clouds or rain either, just the morning dew after a mild, colder night. The air pressure in the atmosphere was noticeably higher (similar to the experiment mentioned at the beginning of the book), which made all living things significantly larger and stronger, which can be seen in the fossils of all species. It's actually still evidence against evolution, since according to this theory, only the strongest and largest survive selection, but all are smaller today. Actually, the argument that the bigger ones died out because they couldn't eat enough is pointless, since according to the principles of evolution a big one just kills the weaker and smaller ones in order to have enough for themselves. In the case of the fossil finds, there was rather a plethora of plant and animal species present, which meant that the food for all the stronger and weaker alike was unlimited. During evolution, new species are said to have emerged, but in the last few centuries of present science we can only observe that there are fewer and fewer species, apart from desired crossings or adaptations within a species, as humans have done with e.g. dogs or horses. It is argued that species extinction occurs from the toxins of civilisation, but the same thing happens in the non-poisoned parts of nature as well.

And the comparison of different horse species and their alleged stages of evolution is actually just observing many subspecies of horses that are now extinct. One could set up super good "stages of evolution" with dogs of different breeds crossed from wolves. It is impossible to find an evolution from one animal species to another. This can only be observed empirically within species boundaries. All that is possible in terms of adaptation is turning off or turning on certain existing sets of genes, as the need arises. For example, a fish in a cave without any light has no eyes after a few generations, and vice versa, which has also been observed in some insect species. But this is also reversible, depending on the environment, but only within the species and already existing genes. Any mutation occurs only in terms of harm, and absolutely no random mutation has ever been observed that brought benefits! Even a very complex ear develops either randomly and immediately completely or not at all, since no intermediate step makes any evolutionary sense and so could not be further developed through mutations. So many species disappear each year that efforts are actually made to conserve some. But the same thing was just as strongly present before the air pollution of the last few decades, only accelerated even more at the moment. Why did the evolutionistically superior saber-toothed tiger and related cat family go extinct first, even though they are much stronger than normal tigers and cats? At this exponential rate, if Jesus didn't come soon, most species on earth would have become extinct within a hundred years.

The whole world is actually in an extreme and strongly degenerative deevolution, which came about after the rebellion against God, and like a spiral it is getting faster and wider. Many very large and heavy tools have been found archaeologically, such as the axe, etc., which only a 4m tall person could use, made of alloys which, in today's atmosphere, cannot even be produced with the latest technologies. There are some discovered fossilised and very large, gigantic human footprints alongside those of the dinosaurs. But all this is rather concealed, as well as the finds of human skeletons, which are almost 4 meters tall. There are many photos of proof, in which today's man comes no more than waist-deep in such a skeleton, and which, among other things, are posted on the Internet by honest people from the archeological teams. At the same time, the other side also puts just as many manipulated and obvious fakes online, which in turn make the whole thing ridiculous. This is the best kind of censorship that doesn't even exhaust resources. This is presented to mankind as a legend, although much evidence is emerging from all quarters to actually confirm it. If we look at the layers of the earth with supposed phases of millions of years, we find in many places fossilised tree trunks that go through 2-3-4 layers vertically, and therefore "obviously" have grown many millions of years, since the evolutionary approach divides and defines these layers in this way.

However, since all these petrified layers of earth and sand were created during the Flood in a short time, according to the Bible report it is months, at that time soft layers from various attempts in some places covered some trees that had not been uprooted. We can also observe the same when evaluating the St. Helens eruption of 1980. These layers of millions of years that have such smooth transitions contradict any geological law of erosion, since even over such long periods of time everything should have eroded oddly and differently. Even if the hypothesis of millions of years were correct, geologically speaking there would have to be only one layer without boundaries, the structure of which gradually changes from bottom to top. In fact, these precisely delineated strata are some of the strongest geological evidence against evolution. In addition, in many places on earth, some intermediate layers are suddenly missing. And these layers, which are present worldwide, are significantly thicker in some places, despite the smooth transitions. They shouldn't be, either, since they would have to be a few million years older at these points, and the part next door, which is allegedly eroded evenly thinner, should not be smooth up and down worldwide. You can ask any geologist how an erosion must look like. And elsewhere in the world the layers of a few million years are suddenly missing, although all transitions up and down to the rest of the layers before and after are also smooth, without any erosion, which is a geological obligation! Consequently, some parts of the earth would have to have a different calendar.

As if the earth were many millions of years older in some focused places, while time must have been frozen in the remaining parts of the earth! This is quite in accordance with the principles of physics, isn't it? Or? Hence the question: Was there a responsible geologist at the time who always said, ok, now we're going to make a new layer for the next few million years starting this year, and put it on top of the last one? If something grows continuously and has smooth transitions, this is only possible in a living world, or in a cave where the stones are created by drops. Like the rings in a tree, for example, which can only take place under complete isolation from the rest of the environment... Should the entire earth have had constant calcareous drops all over the world for hundreds of millions of years? That can only be realistic in a fairy tale. And even if it would have been so, the uneven erosion would be much faster. Even with the stalactites and stalagmites in the caves, which were also provided with millions of years, it was found experimentally that under given circumstances it could even be done in months or years. In today's powerful earthquakes in oceans, one sees the same spreading of the smooth mud layers over thousands of kilometers that look the same as the old ones. Even fossils, in which one observes the finest structures in animals and plants everywhere, are impossible today, since decomposition leaves only the bones. Then it is said that this was possible due to local instantaneous burial. But this is not only local, and the fossils are distributed homogeneously worldwide, which would be impossible with the slow build-up of these stone layers, and the worldwide deluge also confirms, since the layers look the same worldwide.

So much is done to deny God and His creation! So that even the most highly educated, intelligent and cultured people force themselves to believe in evolution, a theory that would make even a child shake his head after a few logical reflections. The most important thing for the theory of evolution, the transitional forms between the species, were never found, since this is of course not possible either, because they do not exist at all. An alleged transitional form between reptiles and birds was presented with great excitement everywhere, until later one had to realise for oneself that it was just one species of bird. It is therefore interesting that many scientists, in the spirit of their evolutionary religion, are constantly constructing the desired human ancestors from a single piece of bone or a buried pile of found bones of various species. They don't search empirically for what happened, but try with all your might to create the desired situation from a bone and 2 teeth. As already said, this is against any approach to logic, and yet it is hailed by most as proof. The imagination is so deeply developed that such approaches would never get through in a court if you had to prove some things by reconstruction. There you are only allowed to reconstruct a face from an existing skull or half of it, and not from just a tooth and bone, although it is not even certain that they belonged to the same individual. And this is also strong evidence that evolution is not a science but a religion. A real science, as already mentioned, is a theory confirmed and shaped by empirically constructed knowledge of correct axioms of a growing hypothesis.

But even if all evolutionary axioms were correct, the problem of the origin of matter or entropy "elimination" would remain inexplicable without God. Although one confines entropy to the thermodynamic micro-level in the gases to appease one's conscience, the macro-level, particularly with moons, planets, stars and galaxies, is constructed and physically functioning in the same way as atoms and molecules are at the micro-level. Like the gas particles, these celestial bodies are not bound to any fixed structure. But this would mean that a supernatural force is holding everything together in harmony. When observing the movements of the planets, solar systems and galaxies in time recordings, one can even observe symmetrical figures of unimaginable precision and beauty, instead of the physically unavoidable solar systems and galaxies colliding at times with annihilation and entropically diverging. How is it that in many places in space, like Orion, there are nebulae with clustered and grouped stars, contrary to every law of physics and entropy. In the despair of continuing to reject God, further nonsense comes, such as the imagined invisible and never confirmed black matter, which logically pulls everything inexplicable back in the desired direction, since missing gravitational and attractive forces suddenly arise as desired. You could almost say that a human wants to take over the role of the creator, which actually often happens, and started with Lucifer's rebellion in heaven. In the continuation of this strong passion to deny creation, the big bang theory comes at the end, since the man of this religion, which does not want to accept God as king and creator of everything in the universe, does not understand timelessness, eternity, the origin of matter and life. The big bang is the most illogical axiom and one that is deprived of any scientific principle.

As in a vicious circle, this in turn demands evidence for an expansion of the universe, which would have to be present in the event of a big bang, which is possible at first glance with the redshift of the light spectrum of distant galaxies in the telescope. In fact, the redshift can represent both the rotational movements and the expansion at very great distances. We all know that the empirically analysed universe in the vicinity works only rotating, in the sense of atoms, solar systems, galaxies, etc. And since everything is so without any doubt, one would have to follow the scientific principles, consequently under these two ways of interpretation from the redshift (this only happens in the distance) choose the rotational movement, as this corresponds to the known pattern. Or do distant galaxies and celestial objects suddenly move differently? Do different laws of physics apply depending on the distance of the same objects? In principle, for example, the walking person in the desert under strong sunlight and many kilometers away should fly like a bird, because behind our powerful binoculars it could look like walking and flying cannot be distinguished because of the great distance and circumstances, and the leading scientists made the decision that it should be counted as flying and not walking. In the case of the big bang theory, one again interprets an unclear matter in the desired direction, so that at the same time, while distracting oneself, one forgets that a big bang is not even theoretically possible and logical, because from a "primordial particle" of the big bang, smaller than an atom, new stars cannot always come forth! That would be a perpetuum mobile like no other! In a universe expanding after the big bang, the distance between all celestial objects and galaxies would have to increase constantly.

With that in mind, even our solar system or the Milky Way should be slowly drifting apart! Very logical, isn't it? Was it observed? But you can't see it anywhere, as everything stays very close together, and even many nebulae exist. For this, an ever-expanding spherical center of the universe which is absolutely empty would have to be seen. Since it doesn't exist, the escape route is found again at a distance of too many light years. But if the big bang was that many years ago, even our galaxy shouldn't be together, since it would also be very far from the big bang point, so this expansion should have taken place long ago. This means that no matter what excuse comes up, everything already in the visible range speaks against the big bang. Even today the density in the universe is not getting smaller. Actually, in a universe expanding after the big bang, the big bang point should be like a water source that constantly sends new "water", i.e. new particles, into the "river" of space, so that the river is always full. And even the compression of the particles into the celestial objects is illogical, since in the vacuum all particles are entropically pulled apart, and only a large rotating celestial object would have the gravitational force to hold the particles together. But then how would the gravitational force come spontaneously, even if such a dense celestial object could form? Gravity needs a rotating object, which cannot arise spontaneously.

And also in the present universe, this rotation of the celestial objects would have to become slower and slower, since the planets are not a perpetuum mobile. This alone shows that God keeps the whole universe in motion with His supernatural power. In addition, only the free, uniformly flying particles could come out of a smallest point of matter at the big bang, and no compacted matter, which would have to move apart more and more according to the expansion principle. All of this would actually mean that the "natural" big bang point of a particle or concentrated energy smaller than an atom, like an eternal source, would still constantly spit out new galaxies. I'm sorry, but even a small child wouldn't call that a smart idea. But the highly educated scientists are in a position to call such things truth. And even if everything were correct, everything would be impossible just because of the entropy and the constant gravitational rotation, since it is without any doubt physically clear that free-flying particles and gases diverge in the vacuum and do not concentrate into planets and stars like the behaviour of the particles created by the big bang and gases that are actually impossible under these circumstances are described. Then the scientists again make the statement that the principles of physics were not valid during the big bang. Was there a point in time when the principles of physics suddenly became valid? Physics is probably also evolutionary. Either there are principles of physics or there aren't! The big bang is like shooting a cannon of buckshot, the many particles of which would recombine at a distance, and in a vacuum where everything is being pulled apart!

You get caught up in more and more illogical things just because you don't want to admit that God created the universe and holds it together today. Even if we wished to distance ourselves from God, as a human being we would feel deeply uncomfortable venturing such meaningless thoughts with scientific pride. But the scientists cheer each other and make each other big compliments. Most people are much impressed by this behaviour, think that these are great minds and that calms one's own conscience. President Kim is also greeted with clapping hands everywhere in "free" North Korea, but people looking from outside the country feel deep condolences for this sadly blinded population so passionately celebrating a so limited, self-defeating and illogical "reality". In the whole history of the earth the majority has never been right, but only imposed its own concepts and goals, if possible seductively! State and religion were always united, which is no different today. A believing friend of mine from the largest Christian church frankly told me the other day that these intolerant terrorists who are disturbing the world with their stupid concept of creation must be removed from society. My question is who would actually be intolerant of this and why one shouldn't democratically present both concepts in parallel in all schools, so that everyone could make their choice freely, since we are all told that we live in a democratic world, whereby at the same time very, very many scientists do not support evolution? In the end, the smartest scientists capitulate and admit that there must be some supernatural force that started it all, otherwise all approaches would collapse. But again this "force" is not a god, but something undefinable, without personality.

At least my professor of mathematics told me in private, after deepest calculation research, that this discipline also only points to God, and he finally had to calculate that God must be a being of concentrated energy from the entire universe. With the evolutionary approach devoid of any scientific credentials, anyone who claims otherwise will be attacked, and schools and universities have a duty to have this officially endorsed teaching in their programs. And had man developed through evolution, he would have no conscience and no goodness, and would not help weaker humans and animals, as this would interfere with survival selection. It is no coincidence that the Bible says that Lucifer clouds the mind in such a way that the wiser one being on earth without God presents himself, the more limited his thinking becomes as seen from heaven. The Bible also says that in the very end, before Jesus comes, mankind will reject the Creator. In fact, the principles of evolution in the human world would produce the inhuman fascism that we have seen very well. The theory of evolution actually comes from the other side that is against God and unfortunately will hold power on our planet until the second coming of Jesus. Since this approach is touted as a confirmed theory by the top academic circles (either because of its own success or because of its direct affiliation with the evil circles), most actually very intelligent academics accept it as the truth and don't delve deeper into it because the arguments for it seem logical (only) at first glance, and it has to be right because the majority confirms it.

Just as the majority before the flood laughed at God's warning, so does the majority today. Shortly before the second coming of Jesus it indirectly laughs at God, and is therefore unfortunately soon destroyed, as was the case with the Flood. Revelation, the last book of the Bible, says that the last people of God, before His coming, will sanctify the Creator and keep His commandments, both waiting for His very soon coming and being persecuted just before it. All the signs of the imminent coming of the Bible have already been fulfilled. There are these two mutual considerations of the origin of this world, life and the universe. These are not just two different views and religions, but two confronting camps that have directly or indirectly chosen their respective sides. In the eternal sense, our choice will decide our own future, regardless of whether it was made consciously or unconsciously. Every person has been given enough opportunities by God to find the truth and use their conscience to choose who to choose in all areas of life. Therefore, the term unconscious is only so at first glance, and rather means that one was not interested in the love and truth offered by God and easily accessible, and thereby rightly lost eternal life. One religion is belief in God's creation as recorded in the Bible, and the other is belief in evolution, which is actually anti-God, although the majority of Christians now believe that these approaches are compatible. But that would be a degradation of God, and would render His creation and power meaningless.

This topic gets its full depth through the short text below:

[World dictatorship + religion = imminent coming of Jesus – Past and future of the whole universe](#) 8 Pages DOWNLOAD PDF

Addition:

Miracle of my healing - GOD literally interrupted my dying

I want to share with you the experience of my miraculous healing by the power of God, so that we can see that even the healthiest diet without God makes no sense. In 2010, at the age of 38, I got a brain cancer that was about 4 cm in diameter. I switched to a healthy vegan diet, followed by highly concentrated plant extracts such as Boswellia Serrata, Green Magma, and freshly squeezed juices so that the tumour shrunk down, and a biopsy confirmed only inactive tissue. Since I was very weak from the disease, I was not able to make right decisions. Today I would not have allowed a biopsy, since it can stimulate activation of the tumour. Even when there is cancer, its development and activity can be observed through MR scan (Magnetic Resonance) and only in explosive growth some intervention, which otherwise brings more harm than good, makes sense. But with most tumours, inactivity or residual scarring can only be observed when transitioning to an extremely healthy life. Unfortunately, the biopsy, in addition to activating the tumour (through irritation), brought me an injury in one place that causes instability, so I was forced to take toxic anti-epileptics, which to some extent prevented the full effects of my healthy life. After the biopsy intervention, I lost about 10 kg and became very weak.

But as I began to live entirely on health principles, I soon gained more strength than before I got the tumour. My lost weight returned in muscle shape, without touching meat or milk, or applying heavy weight training (which was impossible after having brain surgery). I felt as good as never before in my life, so I no longer felt the desire for closer contact with God or to make a clear decision of belonging only to Him. After one year, MRI control showed that my brain was in a stable state without tumour growth. Then I separated myself even further from the Saviour and decided that I did not need such an "extremely" healthy diet because I was already "strong" and "healthy". A month later, there was a new explosion of the disease in my brain, where an additional, new big process grew on the other, until then, untouched half of my brain, which through explosive growth additionally caused several bleedings, the largest as a ball of almost 3 cm. I can say that almost my whole brain was symbolically „eaten". If I had not applied many aspects of natural medicine before that, which comes from God's pharmacy, such bleeding would have caused apoplexy, even in me, by bursting tissue with the removal of one side of the body or even instant death. But since my tissue was not corroded by too unhealthy life, it was elastic, so that despite all the bleeding, I was able to walk and move normally. Due to a strong headache, I ended up in the hospital again.

The doctors told me that I should go home and say goodbye to my family, because healing was impossible, and death was right in front of me. I was thinking about my meaningless life without God and I decided to accept Him again with all my heart, no matter what would happen to me. That Saturday, I invited several faithful people and a preacher to our home to perform an anointing prayer. It was a day with a blue sky, without a single cloud and dry air. We were praying with tears in our eyes that the Almighty would give me life if it was His will. After the prayer, it suddenly started hailing. We did not expect hail because of the nice weather. We had the impression that the ceiling was shaking from loud thunder. And I immediately felt better. On Monday, the MRI showed that the new process on the other side of my brain, and the entire lesion, and all the bleeding were completely gone, as if they had never happened. Even when someone survives such heavy bleeding, it takes a couple of months for the lesion to disappear, and the lesion usually leaves scars. The team of professors then said that this was inexplicable with the natural teachings and medicine, and some of them had wet eyes, because they could recognise God's miracle and that He really does exist.

On the other hand, God then left a primary cancer on the other side of the brain as a warning that I must change completely, of course only through God's guidance and power. The Bible describes how our Holy and glorious God personally gave the Ten Commandments to His prophet Moses on Mount Sinai. Strong thunder could be heard all the time. Our Creator thus signed, so that no one would think that Moses Himself engraved those Ten Commandments, but so that everyone would receive proof from Whom they came. This is exactly how our Saviour signed my miraculous healing so that no one could claim that it was "spontaneous" healing, as God's miraculous action is often signified, to silence the co-teaching that calls for a change of life and acceptance of the truth. Despite everything, at that time I still did not fully come to God, but my religious life took the form of a sine wave. After some time, I slowly stopped taking extremely healthy nutrients and plant extracts such as Boswellia Serrata. God had to continue to comfort me to come even closer to Him. My tumour reactivated and grew again. In August 2018, I was literally dying, but my mom and wife spent the whole night on their knees, supported by prayers from a large number of humble people.



And God said NO to death! I stayed alive, and I was taken directly to the hospital. The doctors told me that they did not expect the possibility of staying alive with this constellation, but that they would at least try to operate on me, although even then if I survive the operation at all, I will probably live only a few weeks to 2-3 months. After the operation, the surgeon told me that he was holding a small cut part of my tumour in his hand and that it was the most dangerous and fastest tumour with Glioblastoma (grade of malignancy 4). Only after that did I fully realise that I was dying again, and I gave my life completely to God. In one deep prayer, I promised Him that with every atom of my strength I would reveal HIS OLD trampled truth of our pioneers about God the Father and His literal Son Jesus (against pagan [Trinity](#), which came into Christianity in the [Year 325 AD](#), and not in the Bible exists), and share my book "[First Commandment OR Trinity](#)" and the Ellen White's book "[The Great Controversy between Christ and Satan](#)" as an announcement of [Jesus' immediate coming](#). Immediately at the end of the prayer, I heard an extremely clear voice:

“I will not let you die eternally”

The word "eternal" was never present in my expression, so God showed me that these were really His words, not my thoughts! My understanding is that He told me that I would stay alive until the coming of Jesus (of course only if I remained in communion with Him). For God to practically prove that these were indeed His words, a few hours later I received a phone call (which is not common in Germany) from the chief doctor of that medical field, who was not in charge of my case and who I had not met with before. He said with a trembling and shocked voice, that there was an incomprehensible change in the diagnosis of my tumour. Tissue analysis showed that the tumour was of another form, in which (although the grade of malignancy was 3) treatment (not a cure, but only to slow down growth) with chemotherapy in capsules and photon radiation was possible! God said His own!

My tumour was incomprehensible almost 10 × 9 cm before the operation, and after the operation, it still remained almost 8 cm large. After my prayer, many patients and employees from various departments of the hospital, and even clergy, began to ask me questions about the real truth, accepting the truth and the “Great Controversy between Christ and Satan” in hand, even by a Catholic priest, who heard in the conversation who the beast (from Revelation in the Bible) is, what our past was and what preceded the coming of Jesus, and who then shared this book also with an evangelical pastor. I could write an even longer article about the supernatural miracles of testimonies that happened during my hospital stay, where God literally prepared His children to receive the truth. Many of them showed complete happiness that they were given an opportunity to know the truth. In January 2019, the MRI found that the tumour had shrunk from 8x8cm to 4x2cm! God's people continued to pray for me, and I continued to have great experiences with unknown people of this world, some of them coming directly to me and asking to hear the truth! After accepting the truth, many people said they thought God had performed a literal miracle to give them a chance to hear the truth.

It happened to one doctor that he interrupted the medical conversation, and told me that he felt God's presence in the whole room and that he saw the whole room literally illuminated by supernatural light! He thanked me with all his heart for the “Great Controversy between Christ and Satan” and for getting to know God directly because before that he was not sure if God really did exist! In June 2019, after half a year of faith and prayer by many dear people, I went for a new MRI. After the examination, I saw two different screens, one with the current image and one with an image from a few months ago, and noticed that the tumour was much bigger on one of the screens. At that moment, I almost lost hope of a cure. But then the doctor invited me for a conversation and showed me that the MRI image of the smaller tumour was the one I had gotten done that day! For my type of tumour, there is usually no reduction but only explosive growth! Shocked by this fact, the doctor could not let me go, even though several patients were already waiting for a conversation that normally lasts a maximum of 10 minutes! He tried all the time to deny God's miracle and tried to find evidence of his position, but after an hour he had to give up his argument, and come to terms with the fact that God really does exist! The MRI from February 2020 showed that my former malignant brain tumour with a diameter of almost 10 × 9 cm NO LONGER EXISTS! **MRI scan of my brain cancer while I was dying (left picture) and after my miraculous healing (right picture):**



Today I am completely healthy and in every aspect as normal as 10 years ago. I still have a lot of missionary experiences today. I share "The Great Controversy" daily and through that, I end up in blessed conversations with many sincere people, and a lot of them show great joy at being given the opportunity to hear eternal truth. The Spirit of God in this last phase before the coming of Jesus has a special effect on human minds, and never before have people been so ready to hear and accept the truth than now. May God make us ready for the soon coming of Jesus in glory, and to spend eternity together in heaven! For our salvation, Jesus risked His eternal existence when He came to Earth to die for us! May God bless us and lead us to complete truth and eternal life while the time of probation still lasts!

**Gratitude, glory,
and honor to
God
the Father
and to
His Divine Son
Jesus Christ**